

THE NEXUS BETWEEN ANIMAL NUTRITION, HEALTH, AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY IN RURAL AREAS

Raluca-Aniela GHEORGHE-IRIMIA¹, Cosmin ŞONEA^{1#}, Lavinia UDREA³, Paul-Rodian TĂPĂLOAGĂ^{2*}, Dana TĂPĂLOAGĂ^{1*}

1) University of Agronomical Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Bucharest, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Bucharest, Romania

2) University of Agronomical Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Bucharest, Faculty of Animal Productions Engineering and Management

3) Valahia University of Targovişte, Faculty of Environmental Engineering and Food Science

REVIEW

Abstract

This review examines the interconnectedness of animal nutrition, health, and environmental sustainability, with a specific focus on rural settings. It aims to uncover how improvements in animal nutrition can positively impact both the health of livestock and the sustainability of rural environments. This exploration is crucial for understanding the role of animal agriculture in supporting rural livelihoods, ensuring food security, and driving economic growth, while also considering its environmental ramifications.

Key findings from the review point to a significant link between enhanced animal nutrition and improved health outcomes for livestock, which in turn can lead to more efficient use of resources and a reduction in the environmental impacts of farming practices. Specifically, the adoption of sustainable nutritional strategies, such as the use of environmentally friendly feed and precision feeding techniques, has been shown to improve livestock productivity while simultaneously minimizing waste and reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

The implications of these findings are profound for the sustainable development of rural areas. By adopting sustainable animal nutrition practices, rural communities can achieve a dual goal: enhancing the economic viability of livestock farming and preserving environmental quality.

Keywords: animal nutrition, environmental sustainability, rural development, livestock health, sustainable farming practices

#Corresponding author: cosmin_sn@yahoo.com

*Coordinator

INTRODUCTION

In the rural tapestry, animal agriculture emerges as a cornerstone, pivotal to livelihoods, nutritional well-being, and the economic vibrancy of communities. Ellis (2000) underscores its role in fortifying livelihoods and catalyzing economic stability through employment and income generation. This diversity in rural livelihoods, inclusive of animal husbandry, is instrumental in poverty alleviation and the broader rural development agenda, opening avenues for income generation and economic resilience.

Moreover, the role of animal agriculture in buttressing food security and nutritional adequacy in rural areas cannot be overstated. As highlighted by Brown et al. (2020), domestic livestock production furnishes rural populations with a sustainable protein source, essential nutrients, and dietary diversity, thereby contributing to enhanced nutritional outcomes and general well-being. The

incorporation of livestock products into local diets is vital for nutritional fulfillment and securing food security in rural settings, demonstrating the integral link between animal husbandry and the health of rural communities. Animal agriculture also acts as an economic catalyst in rural areas, spurring local economies into a cycle of growth and prosperity. Davis & López-Carr (2014) detail how livestock farming boosts revenue through the sale of products, thereby energizing local markets, businesses, and agricultural value chains. Beyond mere farm gate sales, the economic contributions of animal agriculture extend to fostering economic diversification and enhancing the livelihoods of rural communities, highlighting the multifaceted impact of livestock on rural economies.

The pursuit of sustainable animal agriculture practices holds the promise of advancing environmental conservation, resource efficiency, and the health of ecosystems in rural landscapes (Gheorghe-Irimia et al., 2023).

Briedenhann & Wickens (2004) advocate for sustainable farming methodologies like agroecology and integrated systems, which elevate soil fertility, conserve natural resources, and mitigate environmental degradation. These sustainable practices are crucial for preserving biodiversity, soil health, and ecosystem services, underpinning the long-term sustainability of rural landscapes, thereby underscoring the essential balance between agriculture and environmental stewardship.

Central to the efficacy and sustainability of livestock farming is animal nutrition, a significant determinant of livestock health, growth, and productivity (Petrean et al., 2024, Colibar et al., 2013). Kadiyala et al. (2014) accentuate the direct impact of nutritional status on farm incomes and economic well-being, with Smith et al. (2005) emphasizing the importance of nutritional quality in animal products for addressing malnutrition and promoting health in rural areas. This connection between nutrition and economic stability in rural settings is a critical area for intervention.

However, animal production is not without its environmental challenges. Moraes et al. (2014) and MacLeod et al. (2018) point to the substantial greenhouse gas emissions from livestock farming, necessitating mitigation strategies to diminish the sector's environmental footprint. The environmental degradation associated with livestock farming, as noted by Leinonen (2019) and Koneswaran & Nierenberg (2008), calls for sustainable practices and technologies to enhance feed efficiency, optimize nutrient management, and valorize waste, emphasizing the need for environmental consciousness in livestock management.

Confronting the environmental challenges of animal production demands a multifaceted strategy that embraces sustainable practices, informed policy-making, and active stakeholder engagement. Nayaka et al. (2018) and Moraes et al. (2012) emphasize the need for collaborative efforts to forge a balance between the economic imperatives of animal production and the imperatives of environmental conservation and climate resilience. This collective approach is essential for ensuring that animal agriculture contributes positively to the sustainability and development of rural areas. In light of this backdrop, the aim of this review is to meticulously explore how improvements in animal nutrition can amplify health outcomes and bolster environmental sustainability in

rural settings, thereby informing policies and practices that support sustainable development and resilience in these communities.

THE INTERPLAY BETWEEN ANIMAL NUTRITION AND ANIMAL HEALTH

The nexus between animal nutrition and health emerges as a fundamental aspect of livestock production, a notion increasingly supported by scholarly research. Central to this discourse is the understanding that nutrition underpins not only the basic metabolic functions but also significantly influences animal health, productivity, and longevity. According to Onyango et al. (2019), the nutritional needs of livestock are dictated by a myriad of factors, including species-specific requirements and environmental conditions. This complexity necessitates tailored, region- and season-specific nutritional strategies to address variations in the nutritive value of feedstuffs, which are further impacted by climate and soil conditions (Onyango et al., 2019).

Delving deeper, Costa et al. (2021) shed light on the intricate nutritional needs and their varied impacts across different livestock species, emphasizing the profound role of nutrition in aspects such as growth, feed intake, and feed efficiency (Murdoch et al., 2016). It is crucial to acknowledge that satisfying these nutritional requirements is paramount for optimal health and performance (Woelber & Vach, 2022), with a growing recognition of nutrition's pivotal role in sustaining animal health beyond mere sustenance (Ameye & Chee, 2006).

Expanding on this notion, Pencharz & Ball (2004) argue for the necessity of understanding the diverse nutritional requirements among livestock to optimize their growth and development. Furthermore, innovative dietary interventions, such as the inclusion of mustard and cumin seeds, have been demonstrated to enhance feed utilization, milk production, and the overall nutritional status in goats, thereby indicating lactational performance improvements and health and productivity enhancements as reflected by nutritional status markers like serum total proteins (Morsy et al., 2018).

This leads to the understanding that the provision of high-quality feed and adequate nutrition is critical for supporting the immune system, enhancing livestock resilience to diseases and infections, and thus improving their overall well-being and productivity (Mlejnková et al., 2016). Equally important is

the impact of maternal mineral nutrition during critical fetal development stages, highlighting its long-term influence on the productivity and longevity of livestock (Anas et al., 2023).

The thread of nutrition extends to its direct linkage with livestock productivity. Proper feeding practices significantly influence various productivity traits, including growth, milk production, reproductive performance, and longevity (Torre et al., 2015; Martini et al., 2021). Villalba et al. (2019) further illuminate the importance of managing nutritional doses and interactions among nutrients to ensure optimal health and beneficial phytochemical ingestion.

Additionally, dietary strategies, such as reducing protein and specific amino acids (e.g., methionine), have been correlated with health and lifespan benefits in livestock, underscoring the role of nutrition in promoting longevity (Hoffman & Valencak, 2020). The adaptability and resilience of local livestock breeds further contribute to their longevity, emphasizing the significance of targeted breeding strategies for enhancing feed efficiency and lifetime productivity (Agustine et al., 2023; Chagas et al., 2019).

Transitioning to the economic perspective, improved animal health through nutritional management offers significant economic advantages for rural farmers, by reducing veterinary costs and boosting productivity. This minimization of disease and infection decreases the necessity for expensive veterinary interventions, while healthy livestock demonstrate improved feed conversion efficiency, resulting in increased output and profitability (Zanon et al., 2021; Robi, 2023; Ha et al., 2022). Moreover, the health status of livestock positively influences market access and the potential to command higher prices for their products, aligning with consumer expectations for animal welfare and food safety (Geary et al., 2012; Zanon et al., 2021; Robi, 2023).

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS OF ANIMAL NUTRITION

Optimizing animal nutrition stands at the forefront of enhancing resource efficiency within livestock production, embodying a pivotal role in elevating feed conversion rates and diminishing water consumption. Moorby & Fraser (2021) articulate that through the adoption of innovative feeding strategies and bespoke nutritional plans, farmers are

positioned to actualize more judicious resource utilization, yielding benefits for both the environment and the economy. Such efficient management of nutrition directly influences feed conversion rates, facilitating a more effective transformation of feed into animal products like meat, milk, and eggs. This not only mitigates the demand for feed per unit of product but also amplifies the productivity of livestock systems, as elucidated by Valdez-Arjona & Ramírez-Mella (2019) and further supported by Herrero et al. (2013), who champion the optimization of dietary nutritional content for achieving heightened feed conversion efficiency.

Moreover, the pursuit of optimized nutrition contributes significantly to water conservation in livestock production. Precisely balanced diets can curtail excessive nutrient excretion, thereby alleviating water pollution and fostering improved water management practices (Zhao et al., 2023; Zanten et al., 2018). This nuanced approach to nutrition management extends its benefits to the sustainable utilization of arable land and other pivotal resources. By ensuring livestock receive essential nutrients in accurate proportions, farmers can maximize land productivity while simultaneously minimizing environmental footprints, a concept explored by Hegarty et al. (2007) and further expanded upon by Hejna et al. (2021), who underscore the role of sustainable feeding systems in augmenting resource efficiency and bolstering the long-term sustainability of livestock production.

Addressing environmental pollution emerges as a critical concern within the sphere of livestock production, where inefficient management practices contribute significantly to issues such as water pollution, greenhouse gas emissions, and soil degradation (Huis & Oonincx, 2017). However, the integration of enhanced nutritional practices offers a pathway to mitigate these adverse impacts, promoting environmental sustainability. A notable strategy in reducing waste production involves the utilization of by-products and waste materials as feed, exemplified by the repurposing of almond by-products and pumpkin waste, thereby diminishing organic waste contributions to pollution (García-Pérez et al., 2021; Valdez-Arjona & Ramírez-Mella, 2019). This circular economy approach to resource utilization is echoed by Rakita et al. (2021), advocating for the minimization of waste production.

Innovative nutritional strategies, such as the incorporation of insect-based feeds or the inclusion of fruit and vegetable waste into animal diets, represent progressive steps towards reducing waste generation and environmental pollution (Seyedalmoosavi et al., 2022; Tedesco et al., 2021). The revaluation of waste materials as feed ingredients facilitates the reduction of waste disposal and fosters a more sustainable livestock production system (Kalyahe et al., 2022). Such approaches, highlighted by Pinotti et al. (2019) and Poveda (2021), underscore the significance of alternative and sustainable feed sources in diminishing the environmental footprint of agriculture, promoting resource efficiency, and enhancing the sustainability of livestock production.

Insects emerge as a sustainable alternative to conventional livestock feeds, offering an efficient and effective protein source. Reared on organic waste, insects convert waste into valuable nutrients for livestock, thereby fostering the principles of a circular economy and minimizing environmental pollution (Poveda, 2021). Simultaneously, the conversion of by-products from food processing industries into livestock feed represents a sustainable maneuver to reduce waste and augment resource efficiency. This strategy not only contributes to the reduction of organic waste disposal but also optimizes the use of available resources, providing a sustainable solution for the integration of food by-products into animal nutrition (Pinotti et al., 2019, Mierlita et al., 2022).

Additionally, the incorporation of plant-based by-products and agricultural waste streams into animal diets enhances the sustainability of livestock production. By leveraging these alternative feed sources, farmers can mitigate the environmental impact of agriculture, champion efficient resource utilization, and contribute to a more sustainable food system (Sorjonen et al., 2019, Tudor et al., 2023, Şonea et al., 2023). These innovative feed alternatives offer a pragmatic solution for minimizing waste production and reducing the environmental footprint of livestock farming, underlining the essential role of optimized animal nutrition in the pursuit of environmental sustainability.

SUSTAINABLE PRACTICES AND INNOVATIONS

The advent of precision management in animal nutrition and health epitomizes the confluence of advanced technologies and methodologies designed to refine feeding strategies, closely monitor health parameters, and make well-informed decisions within livestock production systems. Gonzalez et al. (2018) assert that such precision-oriented approaches are geared towards bolstering animal welfare, augmenting productivity, and curtailing environmental repercussions. A pivotal element in this domain is the employment of biomarkers and novel protein design for customizing amino acid nutrition in livestock diets, as delineated by Cambra-López et al. (2022). This individualized tactic not only caters precisely to the amino acid requisites of animals, fostering their optimal growth and health, but also facilitates more efficient nutrient utilization and minimizes waste output in livestock operations.

Further expanding on precision nutrition, technologies encompassing omics tools, bioinformatics, and metabolomics stand at the forefront of predicting and optimizing the nutritional impacts on animal health, as highlighted by Toro-Martín et al. (2017). These technological advancements offer insights into how individual animals respond to specific diets, enabling the tailoring of personalized nutrition plans that bolster metabolic health and prevent diseases.

In the broader landscape of precision livestock farming, Menendez et al. (2022) emphasize the significance of mathematical modeling and data-driven methodologies in refining animal nutrition and management practices. The deployment of virtual fencing and sensor systems for real-time surveillance of animal behavior and health indicators empowers precise livestock management across vast terrains, thus enhancing resource efficiency, environmental sustainability, and overall production system efficacy.

Integrated farming systems are championed as a linchpin for sustainability in agriculture, facilitating nutrient recycling and waste reduction through the amalgamation of diverse agricultural pursuits such as crop cultivation, livestock rearing, and waste management (Ayantunde et al., 2018). By reincorporating livestock manure back into the soil, these systems enrich it with vital nutrients essential for crop production, thereby reducing reliance

on synthetic fertilizers, curtailing waste, and sustainably promoting soil health and fertility. Smallholder farms stand to gain immensely from the implementation of industrial symbiosis principles, orchestrating material and energy flows to enhance resource optimization and waste reduction (Alfaro & Miller, 2013). Establishing symbiotic linkages within the farming ecosystem, like repurposing crop residues as livestock feed or using animal manure as crop fertilizer, amplifies efficiency and diminishes environmental impacts. Moreover, integrated farming systems advocate for waste bioconversion and recycling, transforming organic waste into valuable resources such as compost or biogas, thereby not only mitigating waste accumulation but also generating renewable energy and improving soil health (E.Y. et al., 2022). Emphasizing integrated nutrient management, these systems encourage the on-farm production of organic manures and the recycling of farm wastes to supplant inorganic fertilizers, enhancing soil fertility, minimizing nutrient runoff, and elevating crop productivity in an eco-friendly fashion (Siddappa et al., 2023). Supportive policies and robust community engagement are indispensable for the fruition of sustainable practices within agriculture. Policies championing sustainability set the stage for farmers to embrace eco-conscious practices, guiding decisions towards enduring environmental stewardship (Kang, 2018; Buchan & Aiken, 2008). Simultaneously, engaging local communities in sustainable farming endeavors not only cultivates awareness and participation but also ingrains a sense of communal responsibility towards environmental preservation (Munasib & Jordan, 2011). Community participation further bolsters the adoption of sustainable practices, through social support, resource sharing, and a collective environmental ethos. Initiatives like farmer-to-farmer networks and community gardens provide platforms for knowledge exchange, skill enhancement, and mutual support in sustainable practice implementation (Ohmer et al., 2009). Additionally, community-university partnerships emerge as vital conduits for advancing sustainable agriculture education and civic engagement, facilitating access to expertise, research, and educational resources, thereby fostering the development of sustainable solutions attuned to local needs

(Zhu et al., 2018; Riedel, 2015; Niewolny et al., 2012).

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES

Rural communities are often confronted with significant challenges in adopting sustainable nutritional practices for livestock, encompassing economic constraints and informational deficits. These challenges serve as impediments to transitioning towards farming practices that are not only environmentally friendly but also efficient in resource utilization. Economic barriers are particularly formidable, where limited financial resources may preclude farmers from investing in sustainable feed options, securing veterinary care, or adopting technologies that enhance animal health and welfare (Patel & Sabapara, 2023). The financial burden associated with adopting advanced feeding management practices, acquiring quality feed, or implementing sustainable farming techniques poses a significant obstacle, especially for smallholder farmers operating within resource-limited environments (Patel & Sabapara, 2023).

The scarcity of information and awareness about sustainable nutritional practices constitutes another critical challenge. Farmers' restricted access to knowledge, training, or resources concerning sustainable animal nutrition impairs their capacity to make well-informed decisions and adopt best practices (Maliotou & Liarakou, 2022). A lack of awareness regarding the advantages of sustainable practices and insufficient training in eco-friendly farming techniques further complicates the adoption of such methods in animal husbandry (Maliotou & Liarakou, 2022). Compounded by inadequate infrastructure and support systems, these challenges exacerbate the difficulties faced by rural communities in embracing sustainable nutritional practices. Limited access to extension services, veterinary care, and markets for sustainable products restricts farmers' ability to transition to more sustainable operations (Shiferaw et al., 2008). Practical constraints, such as the poor availability of seeds, the absence of irrigation facilities, and a lack of knowledge in balanced ration formulation, further impede the adoption of sustainable practices (Patel & Sabapara, 2023).

Addressing these challenges necessitates the implementation of supportive policies, community engagement, and capacity-building initiatives. Policies that offer incentives for

sustainable practices, alongside financial support and promotion of knowledge sharing, can facilitate overcoming economic barriers and foster the adoption of sustainable nutritional practices (Piñeiro et al., 2020). Community engagement efforts that elevate awareness, provide training, and encourage collaboration among farmers are pivotal in enhancing the dissemination of information and uptake of sustainable methods. Furthermore, capacity-building programs aimed at improving access to resources, advancing technical skills, and reinforcing local support networks are critical in empowering rural communities to embrace sustainable nutritional practices for livestock (Kebede, 2020).

Future research and development in the realm of animal nutrition and health present promising avenues for innovation and progress in sustainable practices. Investigating novel feed ingredients, such as bioactive substances derived from plants like curcumin, offers potential for innovative feed additives that contribute to animal health, growth, and disease prevention while minimizing antibiotic use and promoting sustainable farming practices (Pan et al., 2022). The exploration of exosome-based vaccines introduces an avant-garde approach to animal health, with the potential to transform vaccination strategies against veterinary infectious diseases, enhancing vaccine efficacy, safety, and animal immune response (Montaner-Tarbes et al., 2021). Moreover, advancements in genetic selection for efficiency and resilience in livestock promise to elevate productivity and sustainability in animal production systems. Future research endeavors should also prioritize the strategic use of feed ingredients and additives to bolster gut health and nutrient utilization in young animals, alongside the exploration of sustainable feed sources such as insect-based feeds and yeast proteins, to provide viable solutions for aquaculture and livestock nutrition (Agboola et al., 2020).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this review has delved into the intricate nexus between animal nutrition, health, and environmental sustainability, uncovering a multifaceted relationship that underscores the importance of integrated approaches for achieving sustainable development in rural areas. The key findings reveal that optimized animal nutrition not only enhances livestock health and productivity but

also plays a pivotal role in mitigating environmental impacts associated with livestock farming. Through precision nutrition, the adoption of sustainable feed sources, and innovative breeding strategies, stakeholders can significantly contribute to more efficient resource use, reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, and improvement in animal welfare. The implications of these findings for rural development are profound. Sustainable nutritional practices offer a pathway to bolstering the resilience of rural communities, enhancing food security, and promoting economic stability. To capitalize on these benefits, there is a need for policies that support the adoption of sustainable animal nutrition practices. Such policies should aim to reduce economic barriers, improve access to information and resources, and foster infrastructure development. Furthermore, the integration of sustainable practices into rural development strategies can enhance soil health, reduce dependency on chemical inputs, and promote biodiversity, thereby contributing to the overall sustainability of rural landscapes.

A collective effort from farmers, policymakers, and researchers is essential to realize the potential of sustainable animal nutrition strategies fully. Farmers play a crucial role in implementing these practices on the ground and can benefit from support in the form of training, access to sustainable feed options, and incentives for adopting environmentally friendly practices. Policymakers are tasked with creating an enabling environment through supportive legislation, funding mechanisms, and awareness campaigns that highlight the benefits of sustainable nutrition practices. Researchers, on the other hand, must continue to innovate and provide evidence-based recommendations that guide the development and implementation of effective and efficient nutrition strategies.

In urging stakeholders to collaborate, the call to action is clear: by working together to pursue sustainable animal nutrition strategies, it is possible to achieve a triple win of enhancing animal health, promoting environmental sustainability, and supporting the socio-economic development of rural communities. The path forward requires a commitment to innovation, sustainability, and collaboration, principles that will guide efforts to ensure a resilient and prosperous future for rural areas worldwide.

REFERENCES

- Agboola, J., Øverland, M., Skrede, A., & Hansen, J. 2020. Yeast as major protein-rich ingredient in aquafeeds: a review of the implications for aquaculture production. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 13(2), 949-970. <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12507>
- Agustine, R., Muzayyanah, M., Putra, A., & Baliarti, E. 2023. Utilization of farmer priority on local beef cattle development strategy in central java, indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d240159>
- Alfaro, J. and Miller, S. 2013. Applying industrial symbiosis to smallholder farms. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 18(1), 145-154. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12077>
- Ameeye, L. and Chee, W. 2006. Untitled. *Arthritis Research & Therapy*, 8(4), R127. <https://doi.org/10.1186/ar2016>
- Anas, M., Diniz, W., Menezes, A., Reynolds, L., Caton, J., Dahlen, C., ... & Ward, A. 2023. Maternal mineral nutrition regulates fetal genomic programming in cattle: a review. *Metabolites*, 13(5), 593. <https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo13050593>
- Ayantunde, A., Duncan, A., Wijk, M., & Thorne, P. 2018. Review: role of herbivores in sustainable agriculture in sub-saharan africa. *Animal*, 12, s199-s209. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s175173111800174x>
- Blaga Petrean, A., Daina, S., Borzan, M., Macri, A. M., Bogdan, L., Lazar, E. A., & Popescu, S. 2024. Pasture Access Effects on the Welfare of Dairy Cows Housed in Free-Stall Barns. *Agriculture*, 14(2), 179.
- Briedenhann, J. and Wickens, E. 2004. Tourism routes as a tool for the economic development of rural areas—vibrant hope or impossible dream?. *Tourism Management*, 25(1), 71-79. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0261-5177\(03\)00063-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0261-5177(03)00063-3)
- Brown, V., Miller, R., McKee, S., Ernst, K., Didero, N., Maison, R., ... & Shwiff, S. 2020. Risks of introduction and economic consequences associated with african swine fever, classical swine fever and foot-and-mouth disease: a review of the literature. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 68(4), 1910-1965. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13919>
- Buchan, J. and Aiken, L. 2008. Solving nursing shortages: a common priority. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 17(24), 3262-3268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2008.02636.x>
- Cambrá-López, M., Marín-García, P., Lledó, C., Cerisuelo, A., & Amorós, J. 2022. Biomarkers and de novo protein design can improve precise amino acid nutrition in broilers. *Animals*, 12(7), 935. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12070935>
- Costa, M., Reckling, M., Chadwick, D., Rees, R., Saget, S., Williams, M., ... & Styles, D. 2021. Legume-modified rotations deliver nutrition with lower environmental impact. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2021.656005>
- Colibar Olimpia, Hotea Ionela, Moț Maria, Nichita Ileana (2013) – Possible advantages of substituting soybean meal with other meals in broiler chickens feed, *Lucr. șt. Med. Vet. Timisoara*, vol XLVI(1), pag. 53-56.
- Davis, J. and López-Carr, D. 2014. Migration, remittances and smallholder decision-making: implications for land use and livelihood change in central america. *Land Use Policy*, 36, 319-329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2013.09.001>
- E.Y., T., Azizah, S., Kurniawan, S., Ridjal, A., & Yuniarti, R. 2022. The strategy of organic farming implementation through waste bio-conversion in developing baran edu-tourism kampung, malang, indonesia. *Universal Journal of Agricultural Research*, 10(4), 388-396. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujar.2022.100408>
- Ellis, F. 2000. The determinants of rural livelihood diversification in developing countries. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 51(2), 289-302. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-9552.2000.tb01229.x>
- García-Pérez, P., Xiao, J., Munekata, P., Lorenzo, J., Barba, F., Rajoka, M., ... & Simal-Gandara, J. 2021. Revalorization of almond by-products for the design of novel functional foods: an updated review. *Foods*, 10(8), 1823. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10081823>
- Gonzalez, L., Kyriazakis, I., & Tedeschi, L. 2018. Review: precision nutrition of ruminants: approaches, challenges and potential gains. *Animal*, 12, s246-s261. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731118002288>
- Ha, L., Thao, L., Hoa, N., & Giam, D. 2022. An assessment of the economic efficiency of swine farms applying biosecurity practices in bac ninh province, vietnam. *Vietnam Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5(2), 1492-1505. <https://doi.org/10.31817/vjas.2022.5.2.06>
- Hegarty, R., Goopy, J., Herd, R., & McCorkell, B. 2007. Cattle selected for lower residual feed intake have reduced daily methane production. *Journal of Animal Science*, 85(6), 1479-1486. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2006-236>
- Hejna, M., Onelli, E., Moscatelli, A., Bellotto, M., Cristiani, C., Stroppa, N., ... & Rossi, L. 2021. Heavy-metal phytoremediation from livestock wastewater and exploitation of exhausted biomass. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), 2239. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052239>
- Herrero, M., Grace, D., Njuki, J., Johnson, N., Enahoro, D., Silvestri, S., ... & Rufino, M. 2013. The roles of livestock in developing countries. *Animal*, 7, 3-18. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731112001954>
- Hoffman, J. & Valencak, T. 2020. A short life on the farm: aging and longevity in agricultural, large-bodied mammals. *Geroscience*, 42(3), 909-922. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-020-00190-4>
- Huis, A. & Oonincx, D. 2017. The environmental sustainability of insects as food and feed. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 37(5). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-017-0452-8>
- Kadiyala, S., Harris, J., Headey, D., Yosef, S., & Gillespie, S. 2014. Agriculture and nutrition in india: mapping evidence to pathways. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1331(1), 43-56. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12477>
- Kalyahe, M., Hofer, H., & East, M. 2022. Do anthropogenic sources of food increase livestock predation in the area surrounding ruaha national park?. *Environmental Conservation*, 49(2), 105-113. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s037689292200008x>
- Kang, S. 2018. Communicating sustainable development in the digital age: the relationship between

- citizens' storytelling and engagement intention. *Sustainable Development*, 27(3), 337-348. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.1905>
- Kebede, E. 2020. Grain legumes production in ethiopia: a review of adoption, opportunities, constraints and emphases for future interventions. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture - Food Science and Technology*, 8(4), 977-989. <https://doi.org/10.24925/turjaf.v8i4.977-989.3254>
- Koneswaran, G. & Nierenberg, D. 2008. Global farm animal production and global warming: impacting and mitigating climate change. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 116(5), 578-582. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.11034>
- Leinonen, I. 2019. Achieving environmentally sustainable livestock production. *Sustainability*, 11(1), 246. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11010246>
- MacLeod, M., Eory, V., Wint, W., Shaw, A., Gerber, P., Cecchi, G., ... & Robinson, T. 2018. Assessing the greenhouse gas mitigation effect of removing bovine trypanosomiasis in eastern africa. *Sustainability*, 10(5), 1633. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10051633>
- Maliotou, M. and Liarakou, G. 2022. Teachers' perceptions and educational practices on sustainable nutrition in Cyprus. *Journal of Education for Sustainable Development*, 16(1-2), 61-79. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09734082221116858>
- Martini, D., Ragone, G., Cazzini, F., Cheli, F., Formici, G., Porta, C., ... & Violini, L. 2021. The need for a multidisciplinary approach to face challenges related to food, health, and sustainability: the contribution of CRC I-WE. *Sustainability*, 13(24), 13720. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413720>
- Menendez, H., Brennan, J., Gaillard, C., Ehler, K., Quintana, J., Neethirajan, S., ... & Tedeschi, L. 2022. ASAS-NANP symposium: Mathematical modeling in animal nutrition: opportunities and challenges of confined and extensive precision livestock production. *Journal of Animal Science*, 100(6). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skac160>
- Mierlita, D., Santa, A., Mierlita, S., Daraban, S. V., Suteu, M., Pop, I. M., ... & Macri, A. M. 2022. The effects of feeding milled rapeseed seeds with different forage: concentrate ratios in Jersey dairy cows on milk production, milk fatty acid composition, and milk antioxidant capacity. *Life (Basel, Switzerland)*, 13(1), 46.
- Mlejnková, V., Horky, P., Kominkova, M., Skladanka, J., Hodulíková, L., Adam, V., ... & Sochor, J. 2016. Biogenic amines and hygienic quality of lucerne silage. *Open Life Sciences*, 11(1), 280-286. <https://doi.org/10.1515/biol-2016-0037>
- Montaner-Tarbes, S., Fraile, L., Montoya, M., & Portillo, H. 2021. Exosome-based vaccines: pros and cons in the world of animal health. *Viruses*, 13(8), 1499. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13081499>
- Moorby, J. and Fraser, M. 2021. Review: New feeds and new feeding systems in intensive and semi-intensive forage-fed ruminant livestock systems. *Animal*, 15, 100297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.animal.2021.100297>
- Moraes, L., Strathe, A., Fadel, J., Casper, D., & Kebreab, E. 2014. Prediction of enteric methane emissions from cattle. *Global Change Biology*, 20(7), 2140-2148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12471>
- Moraes, L., Wilen, J., Robinson, P., & Fadel, J. 2012. A linear programming model to optimize diets in environmental policy scenarios. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 95(3), 1267-1282. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4651>
- Morsy, T., Kholif, A., Matloup, O., El-Ella, A., Anele, U., & Caton, J. 2018. Mustard and cumin seeds improve feed utilisation, milk production and milk fatty acids of Damascus goats. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 85(2), 142-151. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022029918000043>
- Munasib, A. and Jordan, J. 2011. The effect of social capital on the choice to use sustainable agricultural practices. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics*, 43(2), 213-227. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s107407080000417x>
- Murdoch, B., Murdoch, G., Greenwood, S., & McKay, S. 2016. Nutritional influence on epigenetic marks and effect on livestock production. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2016.00182>
- Nayaka, G., Somanagouda, G., Patil, R., & Salakinkop, S. 2018. Studies on the effect of green manuring, spacing, and nitrogen levels on nutrient uptake and crop equivalent yield of safflower. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(08), 694-700. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.708.076>
- Niewolny, K., Grossman, J., Byker, C., Helms, J., Clark, S., Cotton, J., ... & Jacobsen, K. 2012. Sustainable agriculture education and civic engagement: the significance of community-university partnerships in the new agricultural paradigm. *Journal of Agriculture Food Systems and Community Development*, 27-42. <https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2012.023.005>
- Ohmer, M., Meadowcroft, P., Freed, K., & Lewis, E. 2009. Community gardening and community development: individual, social and community benefits of a community conservation program. *Journal of Community Practice*, 17(4), 377-399. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705420903299961>
- Onyango, A., Dickhoefer, U., Rufino, M., Butterbach-Bahl, K., & Goopy, J. 2019. Temporal and spatial variability in the nutritive value of pasture vegetation and supplement feedstuffs for domestic ruminants in western kenya. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 32(5), 637-647. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.18.0114>
- Pan, S., Yan, J., Chen, Y., Chen, X., Li, F., & Hua, X. 2022. Current development and future application prospects of plants-derived polyphenol bioactive substance curcumin as a novel feed additive in livestock and poultry. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(19), 11905. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231911905>
- Patel, P. and Sabapara, G. 2023. Constraints faced by dairy farmers for adoption of improved dairy husbandry practices in valsad district of gujarat. *Indian Journal of Animal Production and Management*, 37(1), 7-11. <https://doi.org/10.48165/ijapm.2023.37.1.10>
- Pencharz, P. and Ball, R. 2004. Amino acid needs for early growth and development. *Journal of Nutrition*, 134(6), 1566S-1568S. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/134.6.1566s>
- Piñeiro, V., Arias, J., Dürr, J., Elverdin, P., Ibáñez, A., Kinengyere, A., ... & Torero, M. 2020. A scoping review on incentives for adoption of sustainable agricultural practices and their outcomes. *Nature Sustainability*, 3(10), 809-820. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00617-y>

- Pinotti, L., Giromini, C., Ottoboni, M., Tretola, M., & Marchis, D. 2019. Review: insects and former foodstuffs for upgrading food waste biomasses/streams to feed ingredients for farm animals. *Animal*, 13(7), 1365-1375. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731118003622>
- Poveda, J. 2021. Insect frass in the development of sustainable agriculture. a review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 41(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-020-00656-x>
- Rakita, S., Banjac, V., Đuragic, O., Cheli, F., & Pinotti, L. 2021. Soybean molasses in animal nutrition. *Animals*, 11(2), 514. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11020514>
- Gheorghe-Irimia, R.-A., Tăpăloagă, D., Şonea, C., Ilie, L.-I., & Tăpăloagă, P.-R. (2023). From tradition to technology: Harnessing precision livestock farming for advancing rural economies in developing countries. In *Proceedings of the Modern Trends in the Agricultural Higher Education Conference* (pp. 118-118), Chişinău, Moldova, October 5-6, 2023.
- Riedel, A. 2015. Sustainability as an ethical principle: ensuring its systematic place in professional nursing practice. *Healthcare*, 4(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare4010002>
- Robi, D. 2023. Evaluation of livestock farmers' knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding the use of veterinary vaccines in southwest Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine and Science*, 9(6), 2871-2884. <https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.1290>
- Seyedalmoosavi, M., Mielenz, M., Veldkamp, T., Daş, G., & Metges, C. 2022. Growth efficiency, intestinal biology, and nutrient utilization and requirements of black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae compared to monogastric livestock species: a review. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-022-00682-7>
- Shiferaw, B., Kebede, T., & You, L. 2008. Technology adoption under seed access constraints and the economic impacts of improved pigeonpea varieties in Tanzania. *Agricultural Economics*, 39(3), 309-323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.2008.00335.x>
- Siddappa, R., Sumitha, S., Maheswarappa, H., & Basavaraju, T. 2023. Evaluation of integrated nutrient management of coconut (*cocos nucifera*) based cropping system in the central zone of Karnataka. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 93(1). <https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v93i1.107357>
- Smith, L., Ruel, M., & Ndiaye, A. 2005. Why is child malnutrition lower in urban than in rural areas? Evidence from 36 developing countries. *World Development*, 33(8), 1285-1305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2005.03.002>
- Şonea, C., Gheorghe-Irimia, R. A., Tăpăloagă, D., & Tăpăloagă, P. R. 2023. Nutrition and animal agriculture in the 21st century: A review of future prospects. *Annals of the University of Craiova - Agriculture, Montanology, Cadastre Series*, 53(1), 303-312.
- Sorjonen, J., Valtonen, A., Hirvisalo, E., Karhapää, M., Lehtovaara, V., Lindgren, J., ... & Roininen, H. 2019. The plant-based by-product diets for the mass-rearing of *Acheta domesticus* and *Gryllus bimaculatus*. *Plos One*, 14(6), e0218830. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218830>
- Tedesco, D., Scarioni, S., Tava, A., Panseri, S., & Zuurro, A. 2021. Fruit and vegetable wholesale market waste: Safety and nutritional characterisation for their potential re-use in livestock nutrition. *Sustainability*, 13(16), 9478. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169478>
- Toro-Martín, J., Arsenault, B., Després, J., & Vohl, M. 2017. Precision nutrition: A review of personalized nutritional approaches for the prevention and management of metabolic syndrome. *Nutrients*, 9(8), 913. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9080913>
- Torre, A., Recoules, E., Blanc, F., Ortigues-Marty, I., d'Hour, P., & Agabriel, J. 2015. Changes in calculated residual energy in variable nutritional environments: An indirect approach to apprehend suckling beef cows' robustness. *Livestock Science*, 176, 75-84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2015.03.008>
- Tudor, L., Pişuru, M. T., Gheorghe-Irimia, R. A., Şonea, C., & Tăpăloagă, D. 2023. Optimizing milk production, quality and safety through essential oil applications. *Farmacia*, 71(5).
- Valdez-Arjona, L. & Ramírez-Mella, M. 2019. Pumpkin waste as livestock feed: Impact on nutrition and animal health and on quality of meat, milk, and egg. *Animals*, 9(10), 769. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9100769> (Note: This reference is listed twice and may be a duplication.)
- Villalba, J., Beauchemin, K., Gregorini, P., & MacAdam, J. 2019. Pasture chemoscapes and their ecological services. *Translational Animal Science*, 3(2), 829-841. <https://doi.org/10.1093/tas/txz003>
- Woelber, J. & Vach, K. 2022. The emerging field of nutritional dentistry. *Nutrients*, 14(10), 2076. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14102076>
- Zanon, T., Monte, E., & Gauly, M. 2021. Effects of cattle breed and production system on veterinary diagnoses and administrated veterinary medicine in alpine dairy farms. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 20(1), 1126-1134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051x.2021.1953410>
- Zanten, H., Herrero, M., Hal, O., Rööös, E., Müller, A., Garnett, T., ... & Boer, I. 2018. Defining a land boundary for sustainable livestock consumption. *Global Change Biology*, 24(9), 4185-4194. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14321>
- Zhao, L., Liu, X., Gomez, N., Gao, Y., Son, J., Chae, S., ... & Du, M. 2023. Stage-specific nutritional management and developmental programming to optimize meat production. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-022-00805-0>
- Zhu, X., Sun, L., Song, F., Liu, S., Liu, F., & Li, X. 2018. Soil microbial community and activity are affected by integrated agricultural practices in China. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 69(5), 924-935. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12679>