Analele Universității din Oradea, Fascicula: Ecotoxicologie, Zootehnie și Tehnologii de Industrie Alimentară, 2014

# Aspects of the Design of Wind Power Plant with an Installed Capacity of 10MW at an Agritouristic Company - Choosing the Best from a Horizontal Axis and a Vertical Axis Turbine

#### Naghiu Iuliana Maria\*, Donca Gherghe\*\*, Popențiu-Vlădicescu Florin\*\*\*

\*University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048 Oradea, Romania, e-mail: iulianaghiu@yahoo.com

\*\* University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048 Oradea, Romania, e-mail: donca.gheorghe@gmail.com

\*\*UNESCO" Department, University of Oradea, 1 Universității Str., Oradea, Romania; Academy of Romanian Scientists, 54, Splaiul Independenței, 050094, Bucharest, Romania

#### Abstract

This paper aims to design, using The Analysis Software of Clean Energy Project RETScreen International, of two wind power plants with installed capacity of 10MW, equipped with horizontal axis wind turbines, and vertical axis wind turbines respectively; we will choose the optimal technical and economic option.

Key words: horizontal axis turbine, vertical axis turbine, wind farm

## INTRODUCTION

Since European policy for renewable energy, we decided to implement in a mini wind farm on a agritouristic company for the following reasons :

- by delivering energy to the national energy system and receiving green certificates, we will have a new line of business that can help us in the years with poor results,

- from the park, we will power the unit guesthouse in the agritouristic company,

- if power is interrupted from the national energy system, we have produced new energy for us.

Through this project, we prove the profitability of installing a mini wind farm, although the Romanian Government by Governmental Decision No. 994/11.12.2013, reduced the number of green certificates received.

# MATERIAL AND METHOD

In order to design and adopt of optimal variant from a financial point of view, we will use *The Analysis Software of Clean Energy Project* **RETScreen International.** We will use Method 2, a type specific for the analysis software of RETScreen International. This method involves calculating the wind farm achieved, in four steps, namely: -Technical sizing calculation;

- -The power model;
- Calculation of emissions;
- Economic calculation, consisting of
  - Cost analysis;
  - Financial analysis.

Wind farm design equipped with a horizontal axis wind turbine are shown in figures 1-4.



Analiza RETScreen pentru redu	serea emisillor	Project prod	usere en. elestrică					
🖾 Analiza emisiilor								
♥ Metoda1 ○ Metoda2 ○ Metoda3								
Converterint's distant on all (Nin	ol do referint".)							
Tar5-regiune [Romania □ Modificări nivel ref. în timpul proi	rotului	- Foate tipurile	Factor de emisie GES (Înainte T&D) tCO2/MVb 0.413	Pierderi T&D X 6.0%	Factor de emisie GES tCO2/MVh 0.440			
Sumarul GES pentru cazul de re	ferință (Nivel de	referin(5)						
Debit sombustibil	Amestec de combustibili ×					Consum de combustibil MVb	Factor de emisie GES	Emisii de GES
Energie electrical	100.0%					31,290	0.440	13,762.4
Total	100.0%					31,290	0.440	13,762.4
Sumar GES pt. cazul propus (P	olect producere	en, electrică)						
Debit combustibil	Amestec de combustibili					Consum de combustibil MVh	Factor de emisie GES tCO2/MVh	Emisii de GES
Total	100.0%					21,230	0.000	0.0
Energie electrică livrată în rețea	Mwh	31,290		Pierderi T&D		344	0.440 Total	151.4 151.4
Sumar pentru reducerile emisiil	or de GES							
Proiect producere en. electrică		Emisii de GES caz de referință tCO2 13,762.4	Emisii de GES caz propus tCO2 151.4			Reducere anuañ bruŭ emisii GES tCO2 12,611.0	Taxele tranzacțiilor pentru creditele GES X	Reducere anuali neti emisii GES tCO2 12,611.0
Reducerea anuată netă a emiziilo	r de GES	15,611	1002	este echival. cu	5,040,260	Litri de benzini ne	sutilizați	

Fig. 3 Analysis of Emissions

America Organization of DE Line and De Line and			
			Constant and all and an and all an
Converse at			Contort mittale Doubt manage Cumulate
Window Williams		3.2.2	Description 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
Walking a characteria	1980	11.056	A 0% & 000,000 1 0,050,005 2,050,005 -5,075,41
End with shade an owned			100000 prostation and provide
F In the set of the			2 0.077.000 0.100.001
Print B. (Print or Br	-	60.0%	6 0.100.000 0.01.00 0.01.000
Excellence of		1 3.401 .400	All address of the second seco
Cost & Hotel Months		7.000	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
The restory shadowing	and a	1 200 000	10 PONDING PONDING PONDING
		• (maximized process)	Constant provide at priority 2.2, 102,200 2.2, 102,200
a single and a second discussion of the second second			E-10-00-0 13 13-00-0488 10-04-048 10-04-048
Artaliza brighter block and second			
			Constant amounts for all and a scenario 10 500,010 20,000,000
			Contraction for a state of the
1			Contrate in contrator ' Y and ' > 0.000,000 10 Y66/33 F66/33 F56/33
1			210 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
			53 5364,000 5364,000 500,000
			Fearment at any and a second s
Manager and and and and			Constant and a second s
Warrall chies corner gate entered a to & filter at &			
Protection, considerable statements and	8.8.5.5.		
WHEN HAS BELLEVING AND ADD AVAILABLE AVAILABLE		2.000.0000	Martin and generating the RC - 1 (cape, 8 - 0.109.013)
T BLOCK LINE WORKS AND AN ANY OFFICE ALL PROPERTY AND		4.69	Constantial and another the second of the second se
Wand din radiatara da OFR			
Seducere OES neta	toogran	10.011	California de Ca
	1 - C - C - M	0.40181.0	Fift Exercise Property 26 0.5%
			PEPE după impositi-cap, proprii % 322.1%
			PEPE duph impositi-active % 8.8%
			Con executiones electric and the second seco
the effect of the set		173	Restabilities on provide an 3.0
			Val. astualizată retă (VAN) 8 10,471,680
			Economi anuale in durata de viata Stan 1,243,411
			Report cost-beneficia (C-D) 2.17
			Recuperarea datoriei 0.04
			Cost producere energie \$44545 35.64
		173	Costuri de reducere a OES BAGO2 (91)
( viniaturi (constart)			for after at search those surface monopolation
			10,000,000
			40.000.000
			38,000,000
the start of the second s		103	and here a second se
Toshajara EC	P-P-C-P1	21,290	30,000,000
Treat producere EC	B-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0	0.100	
/enit din producție de EC		2.129.014	25.000.000
Aurata credit producere EC	ars.	10	and becar becar
			PT 20.000.000
and a support of the production of the			The second
and a supervise of ease producere de EC	nurata	a state of the sta	
lebit combustibil	award.	curată	1 15.000.000
solit combustibil	51,253	curată Da	2 15,000,000
lebit combustibil	S1,200	curata	15,000,000
abit combustibil	ST 200	curată Da	15,000,000 - 10,000,000 -
lebit combustibil	645455 51,250	curată Da	16,000,000
rolen computibil	649453 51,200	curată Da	5,000,000
inibit combustibil	51,200	curată Du	1 10,000,000 10,000,000 0 0
nobe combustible	91.253	curata Co	
noise combustibil	51,250	curata co	
Construction of the second sec	91.253	curată co	
	51,250	curată co	1 15.000.000 15.000.000 0 1 2 5 4 6 6 7 6 6 10 11 12 15 14 16 16 17 10 10 20 21 25 25 24 -4.000.000
And a second sec	51.255	Curata Cos	
and a second sec	ST 250	Curată <sub>Cos</sub>	
and a construction	607418 (09970) 51,290	curată corată pa	
and sources and an	61,290	Cursta Cos	

Fig. 4 Financial Analysis

Wind farm design equipped with vertical axis wind turbines are shown in figures 5-8.

Sistem producere energie electricà caz propus					
Tigud exhilizes Finaluarea resurrantor Metoda de exhilizere e resurrantor	0	Vitecoda 1 Metoda 2 Metoda 3 Vitecola 3		© Indisā informatia	1084 ( 0801)
			Con and the s		
Viteze vilettului - enuellä Mäsurat la Comficialettula for tecare e viletului Temperature ettochesto - enuellä Produluo ettochestolia - enuellä	m/m m NPa	7.5 80.0 0.2 10.5 989.9	2.6 10.0 10.5 98.9		
Turbiniti collanti Capacitate electrică pe turbină Capacitate Notet Notet Notet Unifermen econ de colație Dimensi a color dur pe turbină Dimensi a color du	Nov Inversion In Inversion	40.0 ENVERSATE eV1200 - 40eV 250 0 0 24.0 24.0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	6.9 m/s		Sezi baza de date de oroduse
	🗆 Indică informe	dia .			
Dieroleri prin efect turbioner Dieroleri le novelul peletelor Pieroleri diverse Cosportiditate	8888	3.0% 2.0% 2.0% 97.0%		83 Indieä informația Producție necorectată de energie Coeficient de presiune Coeficient de temperatură.	Pe turbină M/41 3,750 0.877 1.010
Sumar Factor de utilizare Energie electrică svretă în rețea	×	961.6% 842,892		Coefficient al plan deriter Rendement apercific	0.90 kvvhvim* 67,307
Pret en. electrică exportată	54404	91.00			

Fig. 5 Power Model

ietāri						
Metoda 1	6	Note/Domeniu		Not	te/Domeniu	
O Metoda 2	0	Monedă secund.			Nici una	
	C	Alocarea costurilo	er			
Cost initial (credite)	Unitate	Capitate	_	Point uniter	Sama	Cost colutio
Studiu fozabilitato						
Studiu fezebilitete	cost	1 1	1.5	330.000 \$	330.000	
Sub total:					330,000	1.65%
Dezvoltare						
Dezvoltare	cost	1	5	880,000 \$	880,000	
Sub total					880.000	3.9%
Inginerie						
Inginerie	cost	1 1	1.5	\$ 000,008	000,000	
Sub total:		•		\$	890,000	4.0%
Sistem producere energie electrică						
Turbină eoliană	KVV	9,900.00		1,182 \$	11,701,800	
Călacces	km	3	5	55,000 \$	165,000	
Linii electrice	kan	10	8	71,600 \$	715,000	
Post de transformare	project	1	3	2,200,000 \$	2,200,000	
Măsuri de eficiență energetică	project			5		
				5	-	
				3		
Sub total:					14,781,800	66.2%
Echilibrare sistem și diverse						
Piese de schimb	~	2.0%	5	11,701,800 \$	234,036	
Transport	protect	6	8	77,000 \$	462,000	
PIF și instruire	p-z		-	5		
Instalare	cost	1	8	2,200,000 \$	5'500'000	
Neprevazute	0.000	10.0%	- 2	19,777,836 \$	1,977,784	
Dobar (2) In uniper construction	8.00%	0 641000		21,700,020	580,180	
SUBTOTAL				-	0,403,969	24.4%
osturi totale inițiale					55,336,769	100.0%
osturi apualo (crodito)	Unitate	Contitato	_	Cost unitar	Sumā	
Exploatare si intretinere						
Piese & Mond de Noria	project	2		18,000 \$	36,000	
Definite de utilizator	cost	2	1.5	470,000 1 8	940,000	
Neprevázute	26		13	976.000 \$		
Cub total					976 000	
A.000.10100.					_10,000	
Costuri periodice (credite)	Unitate	An		Cost unitar	Sumā	
Definite de utilizator	cost	13	5	2,600,000 \$	2,600,000	
Valoare reziduală project	cont		-			
V SECOND V PRANCESSING DESCENT	LUM N					

Fig. 6 Cost Analysis	
----------------------	--

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Analiza emiziilor								
e e entre e en									
P Hannel 2   P	Metoda 1								
A beaution in the set (Marcel do default) Construct to th	O Metoda 2								
Construction Participantial and an elementary of the second sec	O Metoda 3								
Caracteristic & Electric & Electr	- 11100040								
Carl a carl and a card									
Protect of the section of th	Caz referință sistem en. el. (Nis	rel de referin(%)							
Farther in the state in the									
Image: State				Concerned and a second state		F			
Answer of the set of the se				Factor de binnete	Editor de si	- autor up			
Image: Construction of the second				Gaulata Thirty)	Terro				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Test sensions			L COOMMAND		-COOLEGE (			
Compared and a service of the s	Demosis		To she him will a	0.413	6.014	0.440			
D Adendal industrief infinite protected	(Homania		TO ace optime	1 0.415	0.003	0.440			
Summarial CECE parato asso de lateria (Chiel de la farine) Accesso de la farine de	Modificări pivet ref. în timput proi	ient utui							
Section (LEG) (another description (LEG) (another description) (LEG) (another descript									
American and an analysis of the second secon									
Amount of the second	Sumarul cal 5 pentru cazul de re	Nivel de	recently 5.1						
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							Concurs	Exclor de	
Definition <th></th> <th>America de</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>de</th> <th>emisie</th> <th></th>		America de					de	emisie	
Definition     Desine and the set of the		combustibili					combustibil	GES	Emisii de GE9
Open activities     Open activities     Open activities     Open activities     Open activities       Output activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities       Open activities     Compare the control of activities       Open activities     Compare the control of activities       Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities       Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activities     Compare the control of activit	Debit combustibil	*					MVh	CO2/MVh	1002
Total     Bit Docs     Bit Docs     Bit Docs     Bit Docs     Bit Docs     Bit Docs     Distribution       Constraint of Colspan="4">Constraint of Colspan="4"Colspan="4"Colspan="4">Constraint of Colspan="4"Colspan="4"Colspan="	Energie electrică	100.035					842,332	0.440	370,484.0
Conservation of the set of the se	Total	100.0%					842,332	0.440	370,484.0
Conservation produces and electrical       Conservation produces and electrical and elect									
Comparison     Comparison     Participant     Comparison     Participant     Comparison     Participant     Comparison     Comp	from an Child and a sould assume (15								
Autors & de pertor     Autors & de pertor     Autors & de pertor     Partors & Partors & Parto		Torrest producere	en enectines						
Description							Consum	Factor de	
Defit combucted     combuted		Amestes de					de	emisie	
Definit combustibility     Signature     Definition     Signature     Signature </th <th></th> <th>combustibili</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>combustibil</th> <th>GES</th> <th>Emisii de GES</th>		combustibili					combustibil	GES	Emisii de GES
Total     0000000     000000000     00000000000     000000000000000000000000000000000000	Debit combustibil						MVb	CO2/MVb	1002
Total     Total <th< th=""><th>Eolian</th><th>100.034</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>842,332</th><th>0.000</th><th>0.0</th></th<>	Eolian	100.034					842,332	0.000	0.0
Energie electrică livreă în reșe Project producere en. Frontere anuă red a erricăcio de GES Project producere en. Project project producere en. Project pr	Total	100.034			Discussion of The D		842,332	0.000	0.0
Link give exclude on a similar of a constraint of the constraint o	Encoder also said filles of the same	B. 42-44-	010.000		Pierden ToL		0.000	0.440	4.075.0
Standard period of definition	Energie electrica livrata in rețea	1919/h	042,002		1.155		9,200	0.440	4.075.3
Stinker solate followering entities de GES								rotai	4,010.0
Structure perifici de date callo de call									
Emissible GES Protect producers en.     Emissible Test of the State of th	Sumar pentru reducerile emisii	lor de GES							
Protect produces en			Frankeski alas				El a deserver a	Tennin	Badmann
Protect producers en.     Ensiste et 618 + 0.000     Body (1000)     CO20 + 0.0000     State (1000)							ducere		
Protects producers en.     referents     assign producer     assign producers     assign producers <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Emirii de DES</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>brath emicii</th> <th>the second second</th> <th>and the fit</th>				Emirii de DES			brath emicii	the second second	and the fit
VCO2     CO2     CO2     VCO2     V			referint's	OBX DIODUC			GE 9	creditele GES	GEG
etectricis	Project producere en.		1002	CO2			1002	26	1002
Reducerea anual neti a emisilior de GES 260,409 t.C.O2 exte echival. cu 107,425,749 Litra de benzint netitikati	electrich		370,404.0	4.075.3			366,400,7	1	366,400,7
Reducerea anuall nelli a emisilior de GES 299,409 tCO2 este echival. ou 157,425,749 Litri de benaîre neutilizaj									
Reducerea anuali netii a emisiitor de GES 266,409 tCO2 este echival. cu 157,425,749 Litri de benzinii neutilizați									
	Reducerea anuati netii a emisiilo	or de GES	266.409	1CO2	este echival. cu	157,425,749	Litri de benzină ne	- satiliji.atji	

Fig. 7 Analysis of Emissions

Parament Officiant Inc.			Cost project al aconomite generate			Column 1	flow anneal		
General			Conturt Initialo			-	Ant. Impost	Dupà Impozit	Curroutativ
Valoare subscare combustike		0.0%	Studiu texabilitate 0.0%		320,000	<u>#</u>	210 510 200	110.010.200	710 010 700
Visition discount		3.8.2	Dervolare 0.0%		0.000.000	i i	27 500,002	22 500 000	-002 930 260
Example works project	-	20	Tasten proclusiere energe electrică 46.4%		942,200,000	÷	29,613,629	29,613,626	663,322,750
							01,695,930	01,695,930	-621,626,609
Thrantaro						1.2	33,027,472	33,827,472	-507,799,336
Forth chattering		05.0%				ě.	30,230,504	30,230,504	-013.002.423
Datorii		4,319,630,212	Exhibitrary sistem sistem 53.0%		1.076.836.480	7	40,518,214	40.510.214	473.034.209
Cap. propri investe		710,516,266	Conturi totale initiale 100,0%		2,030,046,490		42,047,004	42,047,004	-430,107,125
Plant the short-shrwith	-	7.00%					45,224,932	46,224,932	-384,962,994
Field defection	200	124 554 317				110	AV 1051,050	10,1001,000	-337,310,030
P IN I CONCOURSE		12420042010	Conturt anuale at plata datorii			12	52,647,553	52,647,563	234,537,469
			Exploatano asintrativara		10,736,000		55,215,729	66,216,729	-179,921,741
Analiza impozitului pe venit		0	Cost combustibil - cas propus		0	14	07,029,207	67,829,207	-121,492,634
			Fonteri analati totala		1,01,003,317	122	60,406,607	60,406,507	2,100,222
			Contait and an internet		12022002211	17	65.927.215	00.027.210	66.107.992
			Costuri periodice (credite)			10	68,706,386	68,206,386	136,014,379
						19	71,521,759	71,621,769	208,336,137
						20	74,370,631	74,370,631	202,706,769
						22	120,477,432	120.477.432	520,755,307
			Conomii și venituri anuale			23	123,406,015	123,406,015	644,162,222
Manual annual			Cost combustible - caz de referintă	:		24	126,355,260	126,355,260	770,517,402
Warnin annual			Venit das energie electricit Evridit	•	76,662,176	29	120,317,609	120,317,609	099,030,172
Energie electrich toroth in reten	1000	042,332							
Prot on: electricit exportable	8.6.6.161	91.00							
Venit din energie electrică livrată		76,652,175	Venit des productes de EC - 20 arx	-	04,233,159				
Taxa de indexare energie exportatà		2.0%	Economit și venituri anuale totale	•	160,005,333				
Venit din reducerea de GES		0	L						
ucere citta netă	1002/00	366,409	Viabilitate financiară						
ucere OEE netă - 26 ani	1003	8,160,218	PUP: stoph impount - cap, propril	200	-1.45	211			
			THE CHIEF INDOUT CON DECIDE	796.	5.03				
			KIR duph imposit-active	1962	-1.41	é			
and a state of the second s			Per. emortizare simplă	-	12	5 I I -			
			real addition of the property		10	ЧI.			
			Economia anvale in durate de vietă	E (an	-232,788,84	611			
			Recuperarea datorial		7.3	2			
			Costuri de reducere a OES	140.02	111.2	211			
enituri (costuri)		0	firefic at cash flow, writer monetare						
			1.000.000.000 -						
din produceres de energie curstă (EC)		6.7	000,000						
Nicere EC	Presson and a second	042,232							
a din producție de EC		04,233,159	600,000,000						
lare indexere credit producere de EC	30	20	400,000,000						
	Byrete	Energie	Sec. 1					-	
n narrdaastikal	640.000	ELECTRICAL CO.	200.000.000						
			.e						
				o y o	9 10 11	12 .	10 14-15 10	12 10 19 2	0 21 22 20 2
			a -200,000,000 -						
			8						
			-400,000,000 -						
			- 000,000,000						
			- 000,000,000						

Fig. 8 Financial Analysis

Comparative analysis of the two wind farms with installed capacity of 10MW is made from two aspects.

Analysis in terms of building and function are presented in tables 1 and 2.

Table 1

which turns with a nonzontal axis which turblic						
Loss vortex effect 3.0%	Uncorrected energy production 5 817 MWh					
Loss on the blades 2.0%	Pressure coefficient 0.977					
Various losses 2.0%	Temperature coefficient 1.016					
Availability 97.0%	Total production of energy					
	5,771MWh					
Utilization factor 36.1%	Loss coefficient 0.90					
Electricity delivered to the system	Specific yield 988kWh/mp					
31,290 MWh	1 5 1					
Exported electricity price 65.0\$MWh						
6 Horizontal axis wind turbine VESTAS V66-1,65MW-67m						

Wind farm with a horizontal axis wind turbine

Table 2

Wind farm with a vertical axis wind turbine

Loss vortex effect 3.0%	Uncorrected energy production
	3,758MWh
Loss on the blades 2.0%	Pressure coefficient 0.977
Various losses 2.0%	Temperature coefficient 1.016
Availability 97.0%	Total production of energy
	3,729MWh
Utilization factor 961.7%	Loss coefficient 0.90
Electricity delivered to the system	Specific yield 67,387kWh/mp
842,332MWh	
Exported electricity price 65.0\$MWh	
250 Vertical axis wind turbine Enverge e	eV1200-40kW

Analysis in terms of economic and financial are presented in table 3.

Table 3

Wind farm with an installed power of 10 MW							
	Wind Turbine	Wind Turbine					
	VESTAS V66-1,65MW-67m	Enverge eV1200–40kW					
Feasibility Study	330,000 \$	320,000 \$					
Development	880,000 \$	890,000 \$					
Engineering	890,000 \$	9,800,000 \$					
Electricity production system	14,781,800 \$	942,200,222 \$					
Balancing system and various	5,453,969 \$	1,076,836,480 \$					
Total initial cost	22,335,769 \$	2,030,046,480 \$					
Annual maintenance costs	976,000 \$	10,736,000 \$					
TOTAL COST	23,311,769 \$	2,040,782,480 \$					
Savings and total annual	2,374,872 \$	160,885,333 \$					
revenues							
Electricity delivered	31,665 MWh	842,332 MWh					
Revenues from electricity	2,033,859 \$	76,652,175 \$					
supplied							
Net GES reduction	13,611 tCO <sub>2</sub> /year	366,409 tCO <sub>2</sub> /year					
Net GES reduction-25 years	340,275 tCO <sub>2</sub>	9,160,218 tCO <sub>2</sub>					
IRR after taxing their	32.1%	5.6%					
capabilities							
IRR after taxing assets	8.5%	-1.4%					
Simple payback period	5.3 years	13.5 years					
Net Updated Value (NUV)	10,471,690 \$	-232,755,541 \$					
Electricity production cost	35.64 \$/MWh	111.23 \$/MWh					
Payback period	3 years	16 years					

Chart of money cash-flows for both types of turbines is shown in Figures 9 and 10.



Fig. 9 Horizontal axis wind turbine VESTAS V66-1, 65MW-67m



Assessment of the wind farms designed is done using the following two methods.

a. Analysis based on a Net Updated Value (NUV)

From an economic perspective, the Net Updated Value (NUV) of a series of cash-flows, both incoming and outgoing, is defined as the sum of the present values of the individual cash-flows of that entity.

If future cash-flows are input and cash-flow is the purchase price, the NUV is effectively the UV of future cash-flows minus the purchase price. NUV is a central tool of discounted cash-flow and is a standard method for using the time value of money to appraise long time projects. NUV can be defined as "the amount of difference" between low amounts: input and output cash. It compares the current value of money with the updated value of money in the future, and it is considered as a sequence of cash-flows and an updated rate or discount curve.

Net Updated Value (NUV) of the project emphasizes the expected impact of the project on the company's value.

Projects with positive NUV are considered that will increase the company's value. Rules for evaluation based on NUV specified that all projects with a positive NUV should be accepted. If NUV is positive, the project is acceptable as the revenues are sufficient to obtain the benefit of determining initial capital return before the end of the life of the investment. If NUV is zero, return on invested capital is achieved at the end of its life and investment is much less attractive.

In the analysis based on the Net Updated Value, in case of projects with positive NUV, there should be accepted the project with the highest NUV value.

## b. Analysis based on Internal Rate of Return (IRR)

Represents an indicator of economic efficiency, based on the difference between the effect and economic effort, determines the update rate, called the internal rate of return (IRR) for updated net income is zero:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{t} \frac{H_{r} - G_{r}}{(1 + IRR)^{r}} = 0$$
(1)

IRR is that update factor for which updated revenues equal updated costs or, cash-flow is equal to zero.

The value of the indicator IRR is determined by linear interpolation, using integers. The value obtained by linear interpolation is larger than the real one due to the fact that the variation curve of the IRR is convex. Investment is feasible if: IRR  $\geq a$ ; so, for the energy field (a = 10 %), the feasibility is provided by :

$$IRR \ge 10\% \tag{2}$$

After calculating the IRR for many projects, they are classified by the size of the IRR, cost-effective options are those for which the IRR is greater than the normal rate of return in terms of economic data ( $\geq 10\%$ ).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Our analysis based on a Net Updated Value showed that projects of wind farms have:

- for the wind farm equipped with horizontal axis wind turbines VESTAS V66-1,65MW-67m, NUV = 10,471,690.

- for the wind farm equipped with vertical axis wind turbine Enverge eV1200-40kW, NUV = -232755541.

The project to be supported is the wind farm with installed capacity of 10MW, equipped with 6 horizontal axis wind turbine type VESTAS V66-1,65MW-67m, NUV = 10,471,690.

In case of the two wind farm projects discussed above, based on previous data of Internal Rate of Return we have:

- for the wind farm equipped with horizontal axis wind turbines VESTAS V66 -1,65MW - 67m, the IRR after taxing their own capacities equals 32.1%;

- for the wind farm equipped with vertical axis wind turbine Enverge eV1200 - 40kW, the IRR after taxing their own capacities is equal to 5.6%.

The project to be supported is the wind farm with installed capacity of 10MW, equipped with 6 horizontal axis wind turbine type VESTAS V66 -1,65MW - 67m, for which the IRR after taxing their own capacities is equal to 5.6 %.

The constructive-functional and economic analysis shows that for the installed capacity of 10 MW, the optimum design and execution of a wind farm is that with horizontal axis wind turbines VESTAS V66-1,65MW - 67m.

# CONCLUSIONS

The software RETScren International allows economic and technical design of the wind power plant with installed capacity of 10MW and the optimal solution for this project, at agritouristic company, is to equip its axis wind turbine of horizontal type VESTAS V66 -1,65MW - 67m, NPV = 10,471,690.

### REFERENCES

- Arvesen A., E. G. Hertwich, 2012, Assessing the life cycle environmental impacts of wind power: A review of present knowledge and research needs, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 16, Issue 8, Pages 5994-6006
- Chourpouliadis C., Eleni Ioannou, Andreas Koras, Anestis I. Kalfas, 2012, Comparative study of the power production and noise emissions impact from two wind farms, Energy Conversion and Management, Volume 60, Pages 233-242
- Chen K., M.X. Song, X. Zhang, 2013, A statistical method to merge wind cases for wind power assessment of wind farm, Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, Volume 119, Pages 69-77
- 4. Ladenburg J., Mette Termansen, Berit Hasler, 2013, Assessing acceptability of two onshore wind power development schemes: A test of viewshed effects and the cumulative effects of wind turbines, Energy, Volume 54, Pages 45-54
- 5. Lin Grier C. I., Nagalingam Sev V., 2000, CIM justification and optimisation. London: Taylor & Francis. p. 36. ISBN 0-7484-0858-4.
- Lin J., Yuan-zhang Sun, Lin Cheng, Wen-zhong Gao, 2012, Assessment of the power reduction of wind farms under extreme wind condition by a high resolution simulation model, Applied Energy, Volume 96, Pages 21-32
- Lydia M., S. Suresh Kumar, A. Immanuel Selvakumar, G. Edwin Prem Kumar, 2014, A comprehensive review on wind turbine power curve modeling techniques, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 30, Pages 452-460
- Mauch B., Jay Apt, Pedro M. S. Carvalho, Mitchell J. Small, 2013, An effective method for modeling wind power forecast uncertainty, Energy Systems, Volume 4, Issue 4, pp 393-417
- Soleimanzadeh M., Rafael Wisniewski, Stoyan Kanev, 2012, An optimization framework for load and power distribution in wind farms, Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, Volumes 107–108, Pages 256-262
- Soleimanzadeh M., Rafael Wisniewski, Kathryn Johnson, 2013, A distributed optimization framework for wind farms, Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, Volume 123, Part A, Pages 88-9
- Zhang Y., J. Wang, X. Wang, 2014, Review on probabilistic forecasting of wind power generation, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 32, Pages 255-270
- 12. Product Catalog VESTAS, 2013
- 13. Product Catalog Enverge, 2012
- 14. http://www.retscreen.net, 2013
- 15. http://www.dg.history.vt.edu/ch2/sources.html, 2014