

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF MINIMAL INVASIVE PROCEDURES OF PREVENTING INTRATHORACIC INFECTIONS AFTER TUBE THORACOSTOMY FOR TRAUMATIC PNEUMO AND HAEMOTORACES –THE EXPERIENCE OF ONE EMERGENCY COUNTY HOSPITAL

Grigorescu Daniel*, Maghiar Adrian **

*Emergency County Hospital Oradea, Senior MD, Head of Thoracic Surgery Department, Gh Doja
65 Str. Oradea, Romania, e-mail: daniel17g@gmail.com

**University of Oradea, The Faculty of Medicine, MD, PhD, Professor and Head of the Surgery
Cathedral, Universitatii 1 Str. Oradea, Romania, e-mail: amaghiar@gmail.com

Abstract

The aim of the paper is to observe the effectiveness of minimal invasive procedures versus iterative thoracostomy in preventing empyema thoracis and pneumonia after tube thoracostomy for traumatic pneumo and haemotoraces. It is an observational retrospective study over ten years (2002-2011) conducted in The Emergency County Hospital Oradea, on 939 trauma patients who underwent an initial tube thoracostomy for traumatic collections and in case of retained/persistent collections were treated either by a second conservative thoracostomy or by a minimal-invasive surgical exploration of the pleural space. The exploration of the pleural space (VATS), was effective in reducing septic intrathoracic complications and was cost-efficient, with few extra morbidity and no mortality. VATS should be favoured instead of iterative tube thoracostomy if general anaesthesia and single lung ventilation are not prohibited. VATS equipment should belong to the standard armamentarium of the operative suite of any emergency county hospital.

Key words: minimal invasive procedures, tube thoracostomy, trauma, empyema, pneumonia

INTRODUCTION

Most of the thoracic trauma cases are treated without thoracotomy(1,2,3,4,5,6). Tube thoracostomy is the definitive treatment for 85-90% of trauma pneumo or/and haemothoraces(1,2,3,4,5,6). Trauma lowers immunity, jeopardises tissues making them vulnerable to infection and colonises spaces that are normally sterile. Thoracostomy itself can bring infection into the thorax either by procedural contamination or by missing complete evacuation of collections that afterwards may become infected. Forty percent of traumatic hemothoraces which become complicated by respiratory failure, empyema or fibrthorax need thoracotomy with the associated morbidity(2). In trauma setting the incidence of pneumonia and

empyema after tube thoracostomy may be 2-38%, with specific mortality as high as 30%(3,4). Preventing such evolution should take into account both surgical strategy and specific measures against pathogens. When the second chest tube fails the complete drainage, the minima-invasive exploration of the pleural space is efficient and avoids thoracotomy(2).

MATERIAL AND METHOD

It is an observational retrospective study, over ten years between 2002-2011, on trauma cases who underwent tube thoracostomy for pneumo or hemothoraces, exclusive the cases whom needed urgent thoracotomy in the first 48 hours.

We identified the patients who underwent a second surgical procedure for a persistent/retained collection after the first tube thoracostomy: either conservative (the second chest drain) or a minimal-invasive procedure such as open thoracostomy, or since 2008 and only on selected patients a VATS procedure. We noticed the administration and the non-administration of antibacterial drugs, the occurrence of pneumonia and/or empyema 48 hours or longer after the closed tube thoracostomy, and mortality events.

The statistical analysis searched for correlations between the presence of the risk factors for intrathoracic infection after a selected list(7,8,9,10,11) (COPD, TB, diabetes mellitus, heart diseases, liver diseases, renal diseases, neoplasms, alcohol consumption, obesity, age over 65 years, leucocytosis, polytrauma, multiple rib fractures or flail chest, more than one chest drain) and the occurrence of pneumonia and/or empyema. We compared the inhospital lengths of stay, the lengths of stay in the ICU, the costs of care, the infectious morbidity and overall and specific mortality for patients treated with and without antibacterials, and for those who underwent conservative versus minimal-invasive surgical procedures. Because both the dedicated trauma severity scores (AIS, ISS...) and the physical and physiological status scores (ASA, APACHE) were seldomly evaluated both in the prehospital and inhospital settings, we assessed the case severity as the presence of serious traumatic lesions in more than one anatomical region of the body (polytrauma). The statistical analysis was performed with MedCalc 9.4.2.0 (MedCalc® Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). The results were presented as 'nul' hypothesis probability (p), which value <0,05 proved statistical significance of the variables.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In our hospital, during the ten years period between 01.01.2002 and 31.12.2011, were admitted 939 patients with thoracic trauma who underwent tube thoracostomy with the intent of definitive treatment of penumo and/or hemothoraces. Of the 234 cases who evolved with persistent/retained pleural collections, 71 patients underwent the second conservative closed chest tub thoracostomy, but 3 of them died and 6 suffered intrathoracic infectious morbidity. So that, the failures of the second chest tube were 9 (12,7%), and the success rate was 87,3% (n=62). Only 163 out of 939 patients were afforded a second, minimal-invasive procedure with general anaesthesia as a definitive treatment for persistent/retained traumatic collections. None of these patients died, but among them occurred one postoperative pneumonia and 8 intraoperative conversions to thoracotomy, so that the minimal-invasive procedure success rate was 94,4% (n=154), with a failure rate of 5,6%. Out of 705 patients who managed without persistent/retained collections after the initial thoracostomy, 33 became failures of the procedure as intrathoracic infections and 25 as deaths. However 6 of the them died with infections, so that the final failure rate of the procedure was 7,3% (n=52) and the success rate, 92,7% (n=653).

Morbidity: We identified 42 septic complications in 40 patients (4,25%). Two of the patients associated pneumonia with empyema. Six of the septic patients belonged to the group treated with the second chest tube (n=71) and 1, to the minimal-invasive procedure group (n=163). Thirty-four out of 40 patients with intrathoracic infections (85%) recovered with the treatemnt, and 6 (15%) died. The operative morbidity (by pneumonia and empyema) of the initial tube thoracostomy group was 4,7% (33 out of 705), the morbidity for the secondary chest tube insertion was 8,5% (6 out of 71), and was 0,6% (1 out of 163) for the minimal-invasive procedures. The retrospective analysis with logistic regresion did not find the prophylactic or curative antibiotic prescribing to lower the risk for infection outcomes (OR=0,4087; IC 95%: 0,09-1,72 $p=0,3193$). However, the minimal-invasive surgical procedures were found to associate significantly lower morbidity by intrathoracic infections (OR=0,0669; IC95%:0,0079-0,5664 $p=0,0048$) and significantly lower morbidity specifically by empyema (OR=0,0973; IC 95%:0,01-0,88 $p=0,0512$).

Mortality: Twenty-eight out of 939 patients (3%) died. Six of the dead patients had intrathortacic infections. Twnty-five of the deaths occurred among the 705 group of patients without persistent/retained pleural

collections and 3 of them among the 71 who underwent the second tube thoracostomy. There were no deaths among the minimal-invasive surgical exploration group. The operative mortality of the first tube thoracostomy was overall 3%, but specifically it was 3,5% for the initial tube thoracostomy (25 deaths out of 705 procedures), 2,3% for the second tube thoracostomy and 0 for the minimal-invasive procedures.

The conservative procedure ('the second chest tube') addressed to the persistent collections after the first tube thoracostomy (n=71) entailed a median length of stay in the hospital (12days CI95%:10-14) significantly ($p=0,0047$ *Mann-Whitney test*) longer than (10days CI95%:9-10) of the cases recovered with first thoracostomy (n=705). The cost of care (3505RON, CI95%:2812-4155) of the patients who received conservative treatment was significantly ($p=0,0111$ *Mann-Whitney test*) higher than (2770RON, CI95%:2560-2847) the cost of care for the cases without persistent collections.

The cases afforded minimal-invasive procedures (n=163) had median inhospital lengths of stay (7days CI95%:6-7) significantly ($p=0,0001$ *Mann-Whitney test*) shorter than (12days, CI95%:10-14) the patients treated with conservative iterative thoracostomy (n=71) and had median cost of care (1927 RON CI95%:1767-2192) significantly ($p=0,0001$ *Mann-Whitney test*) lower than patients who underwent the second closed chest drain (3505 RON CI95%:2812-4155). Also, the logistic regression analysis found the minimal invasive procedures to lower the morbidity by intrathoracic infections after drainage.

The tube thoracostomy is a simple procedure performed under local anesthesia and frequently indicated for traumatic pleural collections (1) like pneumo and hemothoraces. Sometimes it becomes source of infection as a matter of iatrogenic contamination, of the high virulence or resistance of bacterial pathogens, and by failure of the defending mechanisms of the host or a combination of all these factors (7,11). Empyema and pneumonia occur in 2-38% of cases after tube thoracostomy for trauma (3,4,11). The pathogenic mechanism of traumatic empyema may include retained hemothorax, persistent pneumothorax, nosocomial pneumonia (2) and seldomly an ignored diaphragmatic effraction. The tube thoracostomy is not always a definitive solution in trauma because it allows persistent collections: 18-30% of traumatic hemothoraces progress to retained hemothorax after drainage (1,2,12) and 4-24% of the drained traumatic pneumothoraces may progress to persistent pneumothorax due to prolonged air leaks (2,13). When the second chest tube insertion failed, thoracotomy was necessary in 40% of the retained clotted hemothoraces in order to avoid complications like respiratory failure, empyema or fibrothorax (1,2,5).

Empyema correlates more directly with the effectiveness of the drainage than with the antibacterial use (6,17). Accordingly the key measures to prevent empyema are the drainage of the collections, the complete lung reexpansion and of obliteration of residual/dead pleural space (5). VATS (video assisted thoracic surgery) is ideal for all these aims.

Missing VATS equipment, we limited the performance of the procedure to 72 cases where the patients afforded the rent of a mobile suit during the latest 4 years of our study. We performed alternatively 91 open thoracostomy procedures when indicated, assisted by ultrasound or CT guidance and labling preoperatively. The success rate of minimal-invasive procedures was 94,4%, comparatively to 87,3% for the second chest tube, and 92,6% for the initial closed chest tube thoracostomy. The operative morbidity by intrathoracic infections was 0,6% for the minimal-invasive procedures, as compared to 8,5% for 'the second chest drain' and 4,7% for the initial tube thoracostomy. The operative mortality was 0 for the minimal-invasive procedures, as compared to 2,3% of the 'second chest drain' and to 3,5% of the initial chest tube. Taking into account also the lengths of stay and costs of care, it results that the second chest drain is the least effective solution because implies the highest costs, morbidity and lengths of stay of all the procedures considered in our study. The excess of costs in the absence of operative room expenses is explained by the length of stay in the hospital. The retrospective analysis with logistic regression did not demonstrate that the use of antibacterials lowered the risk for intrathoracic infection outcomes neither in prophylactic, nor in the curative regimen; however the minimal-invasive surgical procedures managed to do this for both infectious outcomes and specifically for empyema as compared to 'the second chest drain'.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The tube thoracostomy successfully managed with more then 75% of the thoracic traumatic collections
2. The open chest tube thoracostomy optimally approached aided by preoperative US or CT guidance, is a feasible solution, safe and more effective than the second closed chest tube thoracostomy for draining persistent/retained traumatic collections, preventing pleural space infection and avoiding thoracotomy
3. The videoassisted thoracic surgery is even more safe and cost-efficient than open tube thoracostomy for the management of the thoracic traumatic retained collections. It deserves precedence to open thoracostomy or second closed chest tube thoracostomy when

general anesthesia and single lung ventilation are not contraindicated, because it lowers significantly the risk of morbidity and the risk for thoracotomy together with lowering the costs of care.

REFERENCES

1. Gourlay D: 2002, Management of retained traumatic hemothorax , in Thoracic trauma and critical care, eds: Karmy-Jones R, Nathens A, Stern E, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp 93-98
2. Cetindag IB, Neideen T, Hazelrigg RS, 2007, VATS applications in thoracic trauma, Thorac Surg Clin 17: pp73-79,
3. Williams BD, Raghunathan A, Spain DA, 2008, Nosocomial Pneumonia, Current therapy of trauma and surgical critical care, Ed. Asensio JA, Trunkey DD, Mosby-Elsevier, pp682-688
4. Deslauriers J, Mehran R, 2005, Principles of Pleural Drainage and Suction Systems, Handbook of perioperative care in general Thoracic surgery, Elsevier-Mosby handbook, pp415-434
5. Livingston DH, Hauser CJ: 2004, Trauma to the Chest Wall and Lung, in TRAUMA, 5TH ED. McGraw-Hill Professional, eds. Feliciano D., Mattox K., Moore E., pp335-353
6. Petersen K, Waterman P: 2011; Treatment of infections in penetrating injuries: Infections of the thorax, Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 9(1):pp81-96.
7. Uçkay I, Harbarth S, Robin P, et al. 2010,: Preventing SSI. s.l. : Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 8(6):pp657-670
8. Elliott AL: 2009, Progress in the prevention of surgical site infection. s.l., Curr. Opinion. Infect. Dis 22, pp370–375.
9. Humphreys H: 2009, Preventing surgical site infection. Where now?. s.l., J. Hosp. Infect. 73, pp316–322
10. Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, et al.: 1999. Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. s.l. : Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol 1999, 20:pp250–278
11. Aguilar M, Battisella F, Owings J, 1997, Posttraumatic empyema. Risk factors analysis, Arch Surg 132:p647
12. Ahmed N, Jones D 2004,: Video-assisted thoracic surgery: state of the art in trauma care, Int J Care Injured 35:pp479–89
13. Heniford BT, Carrillo EH, Spain DA, et al.: 1997, The role of thoracoscopy in the management of retained thoracic collections after trauma, Ann Thorac Surg 63:pp940–3
14. Watkins JA, Spain DA, Richardson JD, et al: 2000, Empyema and restrictive pleural processes after blunt trauma: an under-recognized cause of respiratory failure, Am Surg 66(2):pp210–4
15. Carrillo EH, Richardson JD.: 2005, Thoracoscopy for the acutely injured patient, Am J Surg 190:pp234–8
16. Velmahos GC, Demetriades D: 1999, Early thoracoscopy for the evacuation of undrained haemothorax, Eur J Surg 165:pp924–9
17. Cuschieri J: 2002, Tube thoracostomy, in Thoracic trauma and critical care, eds: Karmy-Jones R, Nathens A, Stern E, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp43-48