# RESEARCH CONCERNING THE PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHANGES AT THE ITALIAN SALAMI

Jude Eugen<sup>\*</sup>, Bara Vasile<sup>\*\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St.,410048 Oradea, Romania, <sup>\*\*</sup>University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St.,410048 Oradea, Romania,

#### Abstract

The quality of a food product is hard to define as a general concept. The best definition of quality is what the public likes more ", some researches believe that the term quality should be" all subjective assessments of a large number of consumers." But this definition of quality refers only to certain sensorial evaluated characteristics of food: visual, tactile and gustative.

The term quality is thus a much broader concept that includes both the nutritional values and the sensory properties, while food must be wholesome. Thus, a quality food is one which assures the innocuity of the organism, covering the needs of the body of nutrients and satisfying your appetite.

Key words: Italian Salami. specific quality indicators

### INTRODUCTION

At the heat treatment of food products containing lipids, there are produced modifications in terms of appearance, taste, smell, nutritional value and toxicity. There can be thermally degraded both saturated fats and unsaturated ones, such degradations being especially evident when frying food.

### **MATERIAL AND METHOD**

In experiments carried out on Italian Salami there have been pursued the specific quality indicators, pH, amino nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen, amino nitrogen ratio - total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen ratio - total nitrogen and hydrogen sulfide as an indication of degradation of nutrients.

These determinations will be evaluated at:

P1 At obtaining P2 After 24 h P3 After 15 days P4 After 30 days P5 At alteration

The quality determinations, pH, amino nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen, amino nitrogen ratio - total nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen ratio - total nitrogen and hydrogen sulfide have been conducted in the laboratory of the Food Control Department of Environmental Protection Faculty Oradea through established methods with the latest apparatus.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Table 1

			The p	bh	
No.	Variant		Repetition	Average	
		R1	R2	R3	
1	At obtaining	7.28	7.34	7.33	7.31
2	After 24 h	6.79	6.83	6.80	6.80
3	After 15 days	6.34	6.37	6.40	6.37
4	After 30 days	6.13	6.15	6.17	6.15
5	At alteration	5.60	5.63	5.57	5.60

Table 2

Table of comparisonsInfluence of factor 5

	1 401	or companisons	minuence of fuetor	0
Symbol	Variant	%	Difference	Signification
51	7.32	100.0	0.00	Mt.
52	6.81	93.0	-0.51	000
53	6.37	87.1	-0.95	000
54	6.15	84.1	-1.17	000
55	5.60	76.5	-1.72	000
DL (p 5	%)	0.04		
DL (p 19	%)	0.06		
DL (p 0.	1%)	0.09		

pH when obtaining is below 7.31 and then decreases to 6.15 after 30 days of obtaining and 5.60 since the first signs of deterioration.

Table 3

	Total nitrogen						
No.	Variant		Repetition	Average			
		R1	R2	R3			
1	At obtaining	3.41	3.44	3.42	3.42		
2	After 24 h	3.20	3.16	3.18	3.18		
3	After 15 days	2.90	3.00	2.97	2.95		
4	After 30 days	2.60	2.58	2.57	2.58		
5	At alteration	2.00	2.08	2.03	2.03		

Table 4

Table of comparisonsInfluence of factor 5

	1 able	e of comparisons	influence of factor	5
Symbol	Variant	%	Difference	Signification
51	3.42	100.0	0.00	Mt.
52	3.18	92.9	-0.24	000
53	2.96	86.4	-0.47	000
54	2.58	75.5	-0.84	000
55	2.04	59.5	-1.39	000
DL (p 59	%)	0.06		
DL (p 1%)		0.09		
DL (p 0.	1%)	0.13		

The total nitrogen, since production is 3.42 g% and after 30 days of storage of 2.58 g%. altered organoleptic changes occur at values of 2.03 g%.

	Amino nitrogen						
No.	Variant		Repetition		Average		
		R1	R2	R3			
1	At obtaining	63.14	64.42	63.23	63.59		
2	After 24 h	75.63	78.51	76.43	76.85		
3	After 15 days	98.22	99.02	97.37	98.20		
4	After 30 days	175.30	177.28	176.33	176.30		
5	At alteration	270.37	273.20	271.40	271.65		

Table 6

Table 5

Table of comparisonsInfluence of factor 5

	14010	or comparisons	influence of fuetor	5
Symbol	Variant	%	Difference	Signification
51	63.60	100.0	0.00	Mt.
52	76.86	120.9	13.26	***
53	98.20	154.4	34.61	***
54	176.30	277.2	112.71	***
55	271.66	427.2	208.06	***
DL (p 5%	6)	1.01		
DL (p 1%	6)	1.47		
DL (p 0.	1%)	2.20		

The total nitrogen, since production is 3.42 g% and after 30 days of storage of 2.58 g%. altered organoleptic changes occur at values of 2.03 g%.

Table 7

			Ammonia r	nitrogen	
No.	Variant		Repetition		Average
		R1	R2	R3	
1	At obtaining	16.43	17.01	17.03	16.82
2	After 24 h	19.12	19.23	19.16	19.17
3	After 15 days	36.60	36.73	36.70	36.67
4	After 30 days	42.36	42.38	42.38	42.37
5	At alteration	69.23	68.72	68.69	68.88
6	Maximum admitted witness	45.00	45.00	45.00	45.00

Table 8

Table of comparisonsInfluence of factor 5 Signification Symbol Variant % Difference 56 45.00 100.0 0.00 Mt. -28.18 -25.83 -8.32 -2.63 23.88 51 52 53 16.82 37.4 000 19.17 42.6 000 81.5 36.68 000 54 94.2 42.37 000 55 \*\*\* 68.88 153.1 DL (p 5%) DL (p 1%) DL (p 0.1%) 0.37 0.53 0.77

	Ratio of amino nitrogen – total nitrogen							
No.	Variant		Repetition	Average				
		R1	R2	R3				
1	At obtaining	1.85	1.87	1.84	1.85			
2	After 24 h	2.36	2.48	2.40	2.41			
3	After 15 days	3.38	3.30	3.27	3.31			
4	After 30 days	6.74	6.87	6.86	6.82			
5	At alteration	13.51	13.13	13.36	13.33			

Table 10

Table 9

Table of comparisons	Influence of factor 5
----------------------	-----------------------

Symbol	Variant	%	Difference	Signification
51	1.85	100.0	0.00	Mt.
52	2.41	130.2	0.56	***
53	3.32	179.0	1.46	***
54	6.82	368.2	4.97	***
55	13.33	719.4	11.48	***
DL (p 59	%)	0.20		
DL (p 1%)		0.30		
DL (p 0.	1%)	0.45		

Table 11

Ratio of ammonia nitrogen – total nitrogen						
No.	Variant		Repetition	Average		
		R1	R2	R3		
1	At obtaining	0.48	0.49	0.49	0,48	
2	After 24 h	0.59	0.60	0.60	0.59	
3	After 15 days	1.26	1.22	1.23	1.23	
4	After 30 days	1.62	1.64	1.64	1.63	
5	At alteration	3.46	3.30	3.38	3.38	

Table 12

Table of comparisons		Influence of factor 5		
Symbol	Variant	%	Difference	Signification
51	0.49	100.0	0.00	Mt.
52	0.60	122.6	0.11	**
53	1.24	254.1	0.75	***
54	1.63	335.6	1.15	***
55	3.38	694.5	2.89	***
DL (p 5%)		0.07		
DL (p 1%)		0.10		
DL (p 0.1%)		0.16		

Ammonia nitrogen when obtaining the product is 16.82 mg% and 42.37 mg% after 30 days, at deterioration it reaches 68.88 mg%.

Table 13

	Hydrogen sulfide							
No.	Variant	Repetition			Average			
		R1	R2	R3				
1	At obtaining	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent			
2	After 24 h	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent			
3	After 15 days	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent			
4		Weak	Weak	Weak	Weak positive			
	After 30 days	positive	positive	positive				
5	At alteration	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive			

### CONCLUSIONS

At the Italian Salami, dynamic physical-chemical changes during storage follow the same course as with the rosy sausage (Parisian).
The amine nitrogen, during storage of products increases from 63.59 mg% (in production) to 176.30 mg% after 30 days. In altering its value reaches 271.65mg%.
The ratio of amine nitrogen- total nitrogen when obtaining the Italian salami is of 1,85, at 30 days after obtaining its value increases to 6,82 and at alteration is of 13,33.
The ratio of ammonia nitrogen- total nitrogen when obtaining the product is of 0,48 or then increases to 1.62 after 20 days of stemps, and at the ammons of alteration.

an then increases to 1,63 after 30 days of storage, and at the appearance of alteration signs has the value of 3,38.

5. Hydrogen sulfide occurs in the product after 30 days with a weak positive reaction and it becomes positive at the alteration of the product.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Anghel I. Toma N. et al., 1989 - Biologia și tehnologia drojdiilor vol.1. Ed. Tehn. București;

2. Anghel I., Vassu T., Herlea V., Dan V. et al., 1991 - Biologia și tehnologia drojdiilor. Vol. 2., Ed. Tehn.;

3. Banu, C. *Tehnologia preparatelor din came crude*. Editat Universitatea "Dunărea de Jos" Galați, 1996

4. Banu, C. *Structura și compoziția chimică a cărnii; transformările postsacrificare din carne.* Editat Universitatea "Dunărea de Jos" din Galați, 1996.

5. Banu, C. și colab., 2002, Tratat de chimia alimentelor, Ed. Agir, București.

6. Banu, C, Vizireanu Camelia, Petru A. *Procesarea industrială a cărnii*. Editat Editura Tehnică, București, 2003.

7. Bara V., 1997 - Microbiologia generală a mediului, Ed. Univ. din Oradea;

8. Bara V. și colab., Tehnologii de prelucrare și microbiologia produselor agroalimentare, Edit. Universității din Oradea, Oradea, 2001;

9. Bara V., Chipurici M., Bara C, Zabik A. M., Paul V. Gavril, Derevenco N., Bonta M., 2000 - Metode generale de microbiologie practică, Ed. Univ. din Oradea; 10. Bara V., Țiril G., 1997 - Lucrări practice de microbiologie, Ed. Univ. Oradea;