THE PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WASTEWATERS FROM FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRY

Oneț Cristian *, Romocea Tamara, Pantea Emilia, Oneț Aurelia

University of Oradea-Faculty of Environmental Protection cristyonet@yahoo.com

Abstract

This study was conducted to evaluate the physical and chemical characteristics of the wastewaters from dairy and meat processing industry. Wastewater samples were collected before treatment at the plant and were analyzed in terms of the following parameters: chemical oxygen demand (COD, mg/l), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD, mg/l), suspended solids (mg/l), pH (pH units), chlorides (mg/l), nitrogen (mg/l) and phosphorus (mg/l). The results shows that the wastewaters from dairies contain high biological oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) compared to those in the meat industry. The values of the suspended solids and the chlorides concentrations were higher in the samples collected from the milk unit compared to the values registered in the samples from the meat factory. The wastewaters from meat processing industry contained high levels of nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations.

Key words: wastewater, food industry, quality indicators, pollution.

INTRODUCTION

Wastewater from different industries represent a significant pressure on the environment and treatment is normally required before discharge. The indicator assesses the proportion of wastewater that undergoes different levels of treatment (www.un.org./esa/sustdev/). Treatment plants remove varying amounts of contaminants from wastewater, depending on the level of treatment they provide. In many countries a large proportion of the wastewater is discharged to the environment with little or no treatment. Low water quality reduces the availability of water resources for specific uses, in particular domestic needs, and has adverse implications for public health (Olsson, 1999). As well as containing organic matter and nutrients, wastewater can also contain hazardous substances. The level of treatment of these hazardous substances before discharge and the sensitivity of the receiving waters will affect their impact on the aquatic ecosystem (www.fao.org.). An important environmental impact of the animal processing industry results from the discharge of wastewater. Most processes in slaughterhouses, tanneries and dairy plants require the use of water. This water and water used for general cleaning purposes will produce wastewater. The strength and composition of pollutants in the wastewater evidently depend on the nature of the processes involved.

The main objective of this research was to determine the physical and chemical characteristics of the wastewater generated in the dairy industry and to compare these characteristics with those of the wastewater in the meat industry.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The wastewater samples were collected, in 2013, from a milk and meat processing factory. The monitored food units are placed in Satu Mare County. The physical and chemical parameters of the wastewater from milk and meat processing factories were monitored before treatment at the plant. All samples were analysed for determination of the following parameters: chemical oxygen demand (COD, mg/l), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD, mg/l), suspended solids (mg/l), pH (pH units), chlorides (mg/l), nitrogen (mg/l) and phosphorus (mg/l). Analyses were done according to methods outlined in G.D.188/2002: biochemical oxygen demand was obtained by determining the dissolved oxygen content in water after harvest and after 5 days, and the difference was BOD5; chemical oxygen demand was determined by potassium dichromate method; total suspensions were determined by their separation with filtration or centrifuging, depending on their size; pH of the wastewater - using a pH meter; chlorides were analyzed by titration with silver nitrate using chromate as indicator (Mohr method); total nitrogen was determined with Kjeldahl method; total phosphorus was determined using ammonium molybdate spectrometric method.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the figs. 1-7 are presented the mean values of the wastewater quality indicators monitored in the studied food units: COD, BOD, total suspended solids, pH, chlorides, total nitrogen and total phosphorus.



Fig. 1. Values of the chemical oxygen demand (mg/l)



Fig. 2. Values of the biochemical oxygen demand (mg/l)

The chemical oxygen demand (COD) represents the oxygen consumption for chemical oxidation of organic material under strongly acid conditions. The COD test yields results within a period of a few hours and therefore provides direct information. In this test biodegradable as well as non-biodegradable compounds are oxidized (APHA, 1995). The COD therefore only provides an indirect indication of the potential oxygen depletion that may occur from the discharge of organic material in surface waters. Wastewater often contains organic materials that can be decomposed by microorganisms, using oxygen as this happens (Rein, 2003). If the wastewater contains too much organic material and is discharged into a stream it can cause a drop in the oxygen content of the stream as the microorganisms decompose the organic material.

The amount of oxygen consumed by the microorganisms in breaking down the waste is known as the BOD. So by monitoring the BOD of the wastewater, we can make sure that too much organic material is not discharged (www.pollutionsolutions-online.com).

The results presented in the figs. 1 and 2 reveal that the wastewaters from dairies contain high biological oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) compared to those in the meat industry.



Fig. 3. Values of the suspended solids (mg/l)

Suspended solids are insoluble organic and inorganic particles present in wastewater. Discharge of suspended solids increases the turbidity of water and causes a long term demand for oxygen because of the slow hydrolysis rate of the organic fraction of the material. This organic material may consist of fat, proteins and carbohydrates. The natural biodegradation of proteins (from for instance meat and milk), will eventually lead to the discharge of ammonium. Ammonium oxidation into nitrite and nitrate by nitrifying bacteria, leads to an extra consumption of oxygen (www.fao.org).

The fig. 3 show that the values of the suspended solids are higher in the samples collected from the milk unit compared to the values registered in the samples from the meat factory.



Fig. 4. Ph values



Fig. 5. Values of the chlorides concentrations (mg/l)

Wastewaters monitored in the milk factory presented values of the chlorides concentrations higher than that of the wastewater monitored in the meat factory (fig. 5). Also there were high levels of chloride concentrations as washing water resulting from the processing of butter and manufacturing the cheese are heavily loaded with inorganic salts .



Fig. 6. Nitrogen concentrations



Fig. 7. Phosphorus concentrations

In wastewater nitrogen is usually present as fixed in organic material or as ammonium. Occasionally also nitrate may be present (this may be the case in dairy industries where HNO_3 is used for cleaning operations). Nitrogen and phosphorus are important because these two nutrients are responsible for the growth of aquatic plants. The presence of phosphorus (P) is determined photometrically. Nitrogen and phosphorus removal can be achieved through special wastewater purification systems, which are based on either biological or physic-chemical processes (Johns, 1995). The graphics presented in the figs. 6 and 7, evidence that the wastewaters from meat processing industry contains high levels of nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations.

CONCLUSIONS

The wastewaters from the dairy processing industry contain high concentrations of organic material such as proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids, high concentrations of suspended solids, chlorides, or high biological oxygen demand (BOD) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) comparative with the wastewater from meat industry wich contain high nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations. Industrial wastewater effluents are usually highly variable, with quantity and quality variations brought about by bath discharges, operation start-ups and shutdowns, working-hour distribution and so on. A long-term detailed survey is usually necessary before a conclusion on the pollution impact from an industry can be reached. Foodprocessing wastewater can be characterized as nontoxic, because it contains few hazardous and persistent compounds. With the exception of some toxic cleaning products, wastewater from food-processing industry is organic and can be treated by conventional biological technologies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper has been financially supported within the project entitled "Horizon 2020 -Doctoral and Postdoctoral Studies: Promoting the National Interest through Excellence, Competitiveness and Responsibility in the Field of Romanian Fundamental and Applied Scientific Research", contract number POSDRU/159/1.5/S/140106. This project is co-financed by European Social Fund through Sectoral Operational Programme for Human Resources Development 2007-2013. Investing in people!

REFERENCES

- 1. American Public Health Association, APHA, 1995, Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 19th Ed, APHA, Washington D.C.;
- 2. Banu C., 2002, Food Industry Engineer Book, Tehnical Publishing House, Bucharest;
- 3. Bara V., Oneț C., 2008, Hygiene Guide in Food Units, University of Oradea Publishing House;
- 4. Bull, M.A., Sterritt R.M. and Lester J.N., 1982, The treatment of wastewaters from the meat industry: A review. Environmental Technology Letters 3:117-126;

- Campos, J.R., Foresti E. and Camacho R.D.P., 1986, Anaerobic treatment in the food processing industry: Two case studies, Water Science Technology 18:87-97;
- 6. Dague, R.R., Urell R.F. and Krieger E.R., 1990, Treatment of pork processing wastewater in a covered anaerobic lagoon with gas recovery, Proceedings of the 44th Industrial Waste Conference, 815-823. Ann Arbor, MI: Ann Arbor Science;
- G.D. 188/2002 Annexe 2. Normative regarding conditions of wastewater discharging in municipal sewer and in plant units, NTPA-002/2002;
- G.D. 188/2002 Annexe 3. Normative concerning pollutant loading limits for industrial and municipal wastewater at discharging in natural receivers, NTPA-001/2002;
- 9. Johns, M.R., 1995, Developments in wastewater treatment in the meat processing industry: A review, Bioresource Technology 54:203-216;
- 10. Köteles N., 2014, Hidrologie, Editura Universității din Oradea,
- 11. Moza (Pereş) Ana Cornelia, 2008, Noțiuni teoretice și practice de poluare micotoxicologică, Editura Universității din Oradea, ISBN 978-973-759-519-5, 154 p
- 12. Olsson, G., and Newell B., 1999, Wastewater Treatment Systems Modelling, Diagnosis and Control, IWA Publishing, London, UK.;
- Oneţ C., 2010, Bacteriological analysis of potable water used in food industry, Analele Universităţi din Oradea, Fascicula Ecotoxicologie, Zootehnie şi Tehnologii de industrie alimentară, vol.IX, Anul 9, Editura Universităţii din Oradea, ISSN 1583-4301;
- 14. Oneţ C., 2010, Monitoring of hygienic quality of potable water in food industry, Analele Universităţi din Oradea, Fascicula Ecotoxicologie, Zootehnie şi Tehnologii de industrie alimentară, vol.IX, Anul 9, Editura Universităţii din Oradea, ISSN 1583-4301;
- Oneţ C., 2010, Characteristics of the untreated wastewater produced by food industry, Analele Universităţi din Oradea, Fascicula Protecţia Mediului, vol.XV, Anul 15, Editura Universităţii din Oradea, ISSN 2065-3484;
- Oneţ C., 2010, Wastewater quality monitoring in meat industry, Analele Universități din Oradea, Fascicula Protecția Mediului, vol.XV, Anul 15, Editura Universității din Oradea, ISSN 2065-3484.;
- Oneţ C., 2011, Comparative assessement of hygiene quality of potable water used in food industry, Analele Universități din Oradea, Fascicula Ecotoxicologie, Zootehnie şi Tehnologii de industrie alimentară, vol.X, Anul 10, Editura Universității din Oradea, ISSN 1583-4301;
- 18. Oneţ C., Oneţ Aurelia, 2010, Monitoring of the untreated wastewater quality produced by food industry, Natural Resources and Sustainable Development, University Of Oradea Publishing House;
- Oneţ C., Oneţ Aurelia, 2011, Enumeration of bacteria in water used in milk industry, Analele Universităţi din Oradea, Fascicula Protecţia Mediului, vol. XVII, Anul 16, Editura Universităţii din Oradea, ISSN 1224-6255.;
- Oneţ C., Oneţ Aurelia, 2011, Dynamics of water usage in food industry according to technological process, Analele Universităţi din Oradea, Fascicula Protectia Mediului, vol. XVI, Anul 16, Editura Universităţii din Oradea, ISSN 1224-6255;
- Oneţ C., Oneţ Aurelia, 2011, Management of the wastewater discharged by the milk and meat processing factories, Analele Universităţi din Oradea, Fascicula Protecţia Mediului, vol. XVI, Anul 16, Editura Universităţii din Oradea, ISSN 1224-6255;
- 22. Pantea Emilia, Romocea Tamara, Ghergheleş Carmen, Cărbunar Mihai, 2012, The Impact of Wastewater from Treatment Plant of Oradea on Seed Germination of Lycopersicon esculentum, Fascicula Protecția mediului, Fascicula Protecția mediului, vol XIX, ISSN 1224-6255. P. 769-776;
- Pantea Emilia, Romocea Tamara, Ghergheleş Carmen, 2013, Comparison of Efficieny of Dfferent Types Systems for Wasterwater Treatement, Fascicula Protecția mediului, vol XXI, ISSN 1224-6255, p. 665-674.

- 24. Rein, M., 2003, Industrial Wastewater Characteristics, The Baltic University Programme (BUP), Sweden;
- Romocea Tamara, Pantea Emilia, Groza Lidia, 2013, Research on the quality of water consumed by rural areas inhabitants of Bihor county, Fascicula Protecţia mediului, vol XXI, ISSN 1224-6255, p. 693-700.
- 26. Romocea Tamara, Oneț Cristian, 2013, Water in Food Industry, University of Oradea Publishing House
- 27. Sayed, S.K.I., et al., 1988, Anaerobic degradation of the various fractions of slaughterhouse wastewater. Biological Wastes 23:117-142;
- Şerban, Eugenia, 2012, Protecția şi monitoringul mediului. Volumul I: Protecția şi monitoringul aerului şi apei, Edit. Universității din Oradea, Oradea, ISBN: 978-606-10-0959-6, 218 p.
- 29. Tritt, W.P. and Schuchardt F., 1992, Materials flow and possibilities of treating liquid and solid wastes from slaughterhouses in Germany. Bioresource Technology 41:235-245.