Analele Universității din Oradea, Fascicula: Ecotoxicologie, Zootehnie si Tehnologii de Industrie Alimentara, Vol. XV/B Anul15, 2016

ANALYSES OF THE EVOLUTION OF ANIMAL AND VEGETAL PRODUCTION IN ROMANIA DURING 2010-2015

Morna Anamaria*

*University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048 Oradea, Romania, e-mail: amorna@uoradea.ro

Abstract

The purpose of this research is to analyse the evolution of plant and animal production in Romania during 2010-2015.

With regard to agricultural products produced in the period 2010-2015, it is noted that Romania has recorded increases in most plant products, except in the crop of maize, potatoes, vegetables and green fodder from arable land.

The positive aspect identified after analysing agricultural production in Romania is an increased production, amid flagging of cultivated areas which took place on the background of improved yields per hectare in all cultures.

Key words: vegetal production, animal production, evolution, agricultural.

INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, agriculture, as specialized human activity, started to be addressed as part of the agri-food sector and this, in its turn, as an important component of the national economy (Anghelache C., 2015; Borş, V., 2007).

In different countries or groups of countries farms, food industry enterprises and the distribution of food products are dependent on each other in the organization of the market and the increase of economic efficiency (Aprodu I.C., 2006; Găman, V., 2011).

In the meantime, these enterprises, as a result of technological modernization, establish strong links with upstream enterprises producing technical means and other production inputs (Manoleli, D., 2004; Dincu Ana-Mariana, 2014).

Farms, regardless of their type, in order to produce efficiently and competitively, must enroll in the tendencies that currently exist at the level of world agriculture, in order to promote qualitative factors among which knowledge development, management training, technical updating, application of modern technology, computerization (Popescu Agatha, 2015; Stanciu V., 2004; Stanef, M.R., 2009).

Essential component of agro-food system – the actual agricultural production - relies on a cellular structure consisting of: land tenure, family and farm (Sponte, M., 2015).

Upstream and downstream agriculture there is a distribution of materials needed for farmers, a network of processing of agricultural raw materials, a network of recovery of primary agricultural products and food, a financial network of lending and insurance and a network of specialized technical assistance (Dona I., 2000, Zahiu, L., 2005; Zahiu, L., 2006).

All these networks, together with agricultural production, form a modern and efficient agri-food system (Toma E., 2010; Zahiu et all, 2001; Zahiu et all, 2003; Zahiu et all, 2011).

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Aim of this paper is to evaluate the evolution of plant and animal production in Romania during 2010-2015, using data obtained from the: National Institute of Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development departments, Agency for Payments and Intervention in Agriculture, and Paying Agency for Rural Development and Fisheries (www.madr.ro; www.insse.ro).

The indicators selected for the assessments were structured as follows (Toma et al, 2009): structure of agricultural production; dynamics of main crops grown on agricultural land; the structure of the main crops cultivated agricultural land; dynamic livestock (by categories of animals); livestock structure (categories of animals); dynamic average yields on crops and animal categories.

For the assessment of the impact were used (Aprodu, 2006): qualitative research methods: comparison method; process consistency and differences.

RESULTS AND DISSCUSIONS

With regard to agricultural products produced in the period 2010-2015, it is noted that Romania has recorded increases in most plant products, except in the crop of maize, potatoes, vegetables and green fodder from arable land (Table 1).

From these crops yields have fallen amid the decrease in the special areas, while other cultures production increases have taken place especially amid increasing yields per hectare (barley in 36.3%, wheat in 40.6% and 10.5% in sunflower).

Table 1

Agricultural production and average yield for the main crops cultivated in Romania during 2010-2015 period

| | Indicators | UM | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2015/2010 % |
|---|--------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|
| Cereals grains | Total production | tons | 16712883 | 20842160 | 12824138 | 20897076 | 22070736 | 19286236 | 115.0 |
| | Average production | kg/ha | 3316 | 3989 | 2357 | 3854 | 4054 | 3529 | 106.4 |
| Wheat | Total production | tons | 5811810 | 7131590 | 5297748 | 7296373 | 7584814 | 7962421 | 137.0 |
| | Average production | kg/ha | 2688 | 3663 | 2652 | 3468 | 3590 | 3780 | 140.6 |
| Barley and two- road barley | Total production | tons | 1311035 | 1329692 | 986361 | 1542247 | 1712509 | 1623163 | 123.8 |
| | Average production | kg/ha | 2542 | 3170 | 2325 | 3111 | 3319 | 3465 | 136.3 |
| Oats | Total production | tone | 304462 | 375855 | 338998 | 373783 | 381626 | 344223 | 113.0 |
| Oats | Average production | kg/ha | 1679 | 2028 | 1743 | 2051 | 2124 | 1997 | 18.9 |
| Corn | Total production | tons | 9042032 | 11717591 | 5953352 | 11305095 | 11988553 | 8984743 | 99.36 |
| grains | Average production | kg/ha | 4309 | 4525 | 2180 | 4488 | 4770 | 3449 | 80.0 |
| Sun- | Total production | tons | 1262926 | 1789326 | 1398203 | 2142087 | 2189309 | 1785763 | 141.4 |
| flower | Average production | kg/ha | 1597 | 1798 | 1310 | 1993 | 2187 | 1765 | 110.5 |
| Dotatoos | Total production | tons | 3283866 | 4076570 | 2465150 | 3289722 | 3519392 | 2625017 | 79.93 |
| Potatoes | Average production | kg/ha | 13354 | 16554 | 10777 | 15953 | 17527 | 13906 | 104.1 |
| Vegeta- bles | Total production | tons | 3863617 | 4176298 | 3535316 | 3960990 | 3802494 | 3629613 | 93.94 |
| Green fodder from arable land | Total production | tons | 13016011 | 14033033 | 11525769 | 13045646 | 13883515 | 12720084 | 97.72 |

Source: based on INS data, available online at www.insse.ro [8]

In terms of animal production in 2011, 42,3% of the meat production was provided by the pig population (though it has been reduced by 9.9%) and 34.1% by the poultry sector (Table 2).

Table 2. Farm animal production by agricultural products in Romania in the period 2010- 2015

| | UM | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2015/2010 |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Meat* | Tons live weight | 1305260 | 1357053 | 1332186 | 1299525 | 1315611 | 1430839 | 109.6 |
| - Cattle | Tons live weight | 205347 | 211971 | 198510 | 192206 | 183562 | 199712 | 97.2 |
| Share of cattle meat from total meat | % | 15.7 | 15.6 | 14.9 | 14.7 | 13.9 | 13.9 | -1.77 pp |
| - Pigs | Tons live weight | 552734 | 556694 | 554978 | 546530 | 534724 | 562277 | 101.7 |
| Share of pig meat from total meat | % | 42.3 | 41.0 | 41.6 | 42.0. | 40.6 | 39.2 | -3.0 pp |
| - Ovines and caprines | Tons live weight | 99524 | 110034 | 107335 | 103619 | 107781 | 109607 | 110.1 |
| Share of ovines and caprines meat from total meat | % | 7.6 | 8.1 | 8.05 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 7.63 | 0.03 pp |
| - Poultry* | Tons live weight | 446387 | 477529 | 470528 | 456632 | 488361 | 558013 | 125.0 |
| Share of poultry meat from total meat | % | 34.1 | 35.1 | 35.3 | 35.1 | 37.1 | 38.9 | 4.8 pp |
| Milk production (including calf consumpt.) | Th. hectolitres | 49129 | 50074 | 48337 | 48728 | 50535 | 49156 | 100.0 |
| Milk production (without calf consumpt.) | Th. hectolitres | 44799 | 45872 | 44172 | 44786 | 46615 | 45385 | 101.3 |
| Milk production (including calf consumpt.) – cow and buffalo | Th. hectolitres | 42824 | 43947 | 42036 | 42593 | 44015 | 42663 | 99.62 |
| Milk production (without calf consumpt.) – cow and buffalo cow | Th. hectolitres | 38494 | 39745 | 37870 | 38651 | 40096 | 38893 | 101.0 |
| Share of cow and buffalo cow milk from total milk | % | 86.5 | 87.2 | 86.3 | 86.8 | 86.5 | 86.2 | -0.3 pp |
| Total milk production – sheep and goat | Th. hectolitres | 6305 | 6127 | 6301 | 6135 | 6520 | 6493 | 102.9 |
| Share of sheep and goat milk from total milk | % | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 0.1 pp |
| Wool production | Tons | 20457 | 19026 | 19713 | 20719 | 21817 | 22343 | 109.2 |
| Eggs production | Million units | 6199 | 6327 | 6398 | 6388 | 6636 | 6555 | 105.7 |
| Production of ectracted honey Note: * Live weight | Tons | 22222 | 24127 | 23062 | 26678 | 18040 | 27893 | 125.5 |

Note: * Live weight of animals to be sacrificed for consumption Source: based on INS data, available online at www.insse.ro [8]

Meat of sheep and goats, though growing by 7.6%, provided only 7.63% of regional production.

Under these conditions, inside the structure of production, poultry meat increased by 0.3 percentage points, on the background of decreasing share of cattle meat.

The orientation of the animal production is obvious if we consider that although herds of sheep, goats and poultry increased, milk production has been declining compared to the pre-adhesion period.

However, reducing the production of cows' and buffalo cows' milk, the share of sheep and goats milk production increased by 0.1 percentage points, arriving in 2015 at 6.8% of the total production of milk.

There is, however, an ineffective use of secondary production from sheep sector, wool production increasing by the year 2015.

As for honey production, there is a decrease of 14.8%, even if herds have increased by over 40%.

Regarding the production of honey and wool production, there is an increase by 5.7% and by 25.5% in 2015 compared to 2010, which shows that not all production entering the commercial channels recovery.

CONCLUSIONS

With regard to agricultural products produced in the period 2010-2015, it is noted that Romania has recorded increases in most plant products, except in the crop of maize, potatoes, vegetables and green fodder from arable land.

The positive aspect identified after analysing agricultural production in Romania is an increased production, amid flagging of cultivated areas which took place on the background of improved yields per hectare in all cultures.

Acknowledgments

The researches were carried out in the project: Increasing competitiveness of the didactical station of the University of Oradea, project number CNFIS-FDI-2016-0036.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anghelache, C., 2015, România 2015. Starea economică în continuă creștere, Editura Economică, București
- Aprodu, I.C., 2006, Decalaje agricole şi dezvoltare economică regională în România, Teză ASE
- 3. Bors, V., 2007, Costurile și beneficiile aderării României la Uniunea Europeană, Teză ASE
- 4. Dincu Ana-Mariana, 2014, Studies on the dynamics of livestock production in Romania Lucrări Științifice Zootehnie și Biotehnologii (Scientific Papers: Animal Science and Biotechnologies), Editura Agroprint Timișoara, Vol. 47 (1), 2014: 317-319

- 5. Dona I., 2000, Economie rurală, Editura Economica, București, ISBN 973-590-102-1, pg 97
- 6. Găman, V., 2011, Dezvoltarea IMM-urilor în spațiul rural, Teză ASE
- 7. Manoleli D. (coord), 2004, *Ierarhizarea priorităților de dezvoltare agricolă și rurală în România. Influența noii reforme a PAC*, *Institutul* European din România studii de impact PAIS II, accesibile online la www.ier.ro
- 8. Popescu Agatha, 2015, Analysis of the evolution and distribution of maize cultivated area and production in Romania. Scientific Papers. Series "Management, Economic Engineering In Agriculture and Rural Development", Vol. 15(3): 253-260
- 9. Stanciu, V.C. (2004) *Dezvoltarea rurală durabilă*, Teza de doctorat, ASE, București, p. 99.
- 10. Stanef, M.R. (2009) Agricultura României în fața exigențelor Uniunii Europene, Teză ASE:
- 11. Sponte (Piştalu) M.., 2015, Romanian Agriculture in European Context. The Journal of the Faculty of Economics Economic, University of Oradea, Faculty of Economics, Volume (Year): 1 (2015), Issue (Month): 1 (July), pp. 320-327
- 12. Toma E. (coordonator), 2010, Sisteme de indicatori de evaluare a impactului aderării asupra producătorilor și consumatorilor, Editura Ars Academica, București, ISBN: 978-606-8017-56-3
- Toma E., Alexandri C., Dachin A. (coord), 2009, Agricultura României în procesul de integrare europeană, vol I, Editura Cartea Universitară, Bucureşti, ISBN 978-973-88932-9-0
- 14. Zahiu L., 2005, Politici și piețe agricole reformă și integrare europeană, Ed. Ceres, ISBN 973-40-0676-2
- 15. Zahiu L., 2006, Agricultura Uniunii Europene sub impactul Politicii Agricole Comune, Ed. Ceres, ISBN 973-40-0751-3
- 16. Zahiu L., Dachin A., 2001, Politici agroalimentare comparate, Ed. Economică, ISBN 973-590-365-2
- Zahiu L., Toma E., Dachin A., Alexandri C., 2011, Agricultura în economia României - între aşteptări şi realități - Editura Ceres, Bucureşti, ISBN 978-973-40-0841-4
- 18. Zahiu L., Toncea V., Lăpuşan A., Toderoiu F., Dumitru M., 2003, Structurile agrare și viitorul politicilor agricole, Ed. Economică, ISBN: 973-590-786-0
- 19. *** Site-ul oficial al Ministerului Agriculturii și dezvoltării rurale (www.madr.ro)
- 20. *** Institutul Național de Statistică, baza de date Tempo online, accesibilă la www.insse.ro