THE INFLUENCE OF FERTILIZATION ON THE YIELD OF POLYANTHES TUBEROSA

Vlad Ioana Andra*, Vlad Mariana*, Vlad Ioan*

* University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048 Oradea, Romania, e-mail: <u>ioanvlad2006@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract

The long lasting cut flowers of Polyanthes tuberosa (7-12 days) as well as the beauty and the strong, pleasant fragrance of those determine high consumer demand. Recent polls made among flower growers and consumers in Europe place the species on 6th position after carnations, roses, tulips, Gerbera and Freesia. Therefore, researches were developed in Leş nursery (Bihor County) devised to contribute to the improvement of cultivation technology in order to obtain increased yields of Polyanthes tuberosa flowers.

Key words: Polyanthes tuberosa, economic efficiency, fertilizers, flower yield, profit.

INTRODUCTION

The tuberose is a perennial geophyte, semi-rustic, originating from Mexico (Şelaru, 2007). It presents an underground ovoid bulb, surrounded by numerous bulbils. The leaves are linear (1-2 cm/15-30 cm), distributed in clusters (Zaharia, 2005). The flower shoot reaches 50-110 cm height, is glabrous and red dotted. The inflorescence is a spike reaching 15-20 cm height, supporting 20-25 white or cream colored flowers, dispersing a pleasant fragrance, simple or double, depending on the cultivar (Bossard, 1992).

MATERIAL AND METHOD

We employed the Romanian cultivar Perla characterized by rich, double white and waxy flowers. The inflorescence reaches 18-20 cm height and the flowers are clustered on the shoot which reaches 80-90 cm height.

The bulbs were planted in 12 April 2011 and in 15 July 2012, in rows separated by 30 cm distance, at 10 cm deep into the soil and 20 bulbs per row.

The experimental design consisted of:

V1 – unfertilized culture (control).

V2 – fertilized culture using 200kg/ha ammonia nitrate, 200kg/ha potassium sulphate, 100kg/ha super phosphate and 100 kg/ha fertilizing complex III. V3 – fertilized culture using 400kg/ha ammonia nitrate, 400kg/ha potassium sulphate, 200kg/ha super phosphate and 200 kg/ha fertilizing complex III.

V4 – fertilized culture using 50t/ha manure.

V5 – fertilized culture using 100 t/ha manure.

The observations included the assessment of total flowers' yield, the length of flower shoots, number of flowers/inflorescence and inflorescence lengths.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 presents the harvested and sold flowers' yield: the values vary in the range of 80,600 spikes/ha in control culture and 100,750 spikes/ha in V5 (fertilized culture using 100t/ha manure).

Table I	1
---------	---

	Flowers' yield (spikes/ha)		±D	Significance	
Variants	Absolute	Relative %		of the difference	
V1 – control, without fertilization	80,600	100	-	-	
V2 - fertilized culture using 200kg/ha ammonia nitrate, 200kg/ha potassium sulphate, 100kg/ha super phosphate and 100 kg/ha fertilizing complex III.	83,900	104	3300	*	
V3 – fertilized culture using 400kg/ha ammonia nitrate, 400kg/ha potassium sulphate, 200kg/ha super phosphate and 200 kg/ha fertilizing complex III.	88,800	110	8,200	**	
V4 – fertilized culture using 50t/ha manure	91,690	114	10,090	***	
V5 – fertilized culture using 100 t/ha manure.	100,750	125	20,150	***	

Total yield of *Polvathes tuberosa* flowers obtained under fertilization regime.

LSD 5% - 3,164

1% - 5,062 0.1% - 8,210

In relative terms, flowers' yield exceeded the control with 4% in variant 2 (fertilized culture using 200kg/ha ammonia nitrate, 200kg/ha potassium sulphate, 100kg/ha super phosphate and 100 kg/ha fertilizing complex III), with 10% in variant 3 (fertilized culture using 400kg/ha

ammonia nitrate, 400kg/ha potassium sulphate, 200kg/ha super phosphate and 200 kg/ha fertilizing complex III), with 13% in variant 4 (fertilized culture using 50t/ha manure) and with 25% in variant 5 (fertilized culture using 100 t/ha manure). From statistical point of view, the difference is significant between control and variant 2, distinctly significant between control and variant 3, and extremely significant between control and variant 3 and 4.

Economic efficiency of *Polyanthes tuberosa* culture is favorable in the case of all variants but at the highest level for variant 5 (fertilized culture using 100 t/ha manure) (Table 2).

Economic efficiency of <i>Polyanthes tuberosa</i> culture obtained under fertilization regime.					
Variants	Spike yield in	Expenses in	Yield	Interests	
	thousands/ha	thousands	value	Thousands	
		RON/ha	thousands	RON/ha	
			RON/ha		
V1 – control, without	80.6	141.8	282.1	140.3	
fertilization					
V2 – fertilized culture	83.9	151.7	318.9	167.2	
using 200kg/ha ammonia					
nitrate, 200kg/ha					
potassium sulphate,					
100kg/ha super					
phosphate and 100 kg/ha					
fertilizing complex III					
V3 – fertilized culture	88.8	166.4	355.2	188.8	
using 400kg/ha ammonia					
nitrate, 400kg/ha					
potassium sulphate,					
200kg/ha super					
phosphate and 200 kg/ha					
fertilizing complex III.					
V4 – fertilized culture	91.69	184.2	394.2	210	
using 50t/ha manure					
V5 – fertilized culture	100.75	222.4	493.6	271.2	
using 100 t/ha manure					

				1 u o i c 2
Economic efficiency	y of Polyanthes tuberos	a culture obtained	under fertilization	regime.

Table 2

CONCLUSIONS

- A particular feature of the species *Polyanthes tuberosa* is the relatively low proportion, 40-50% of flowering bulbs from the total amount of planted bulbs even under best cultivation conditions.
- The cultivation of this species is a pleasant activity, also profitable but differentiated according to the amount of employed fertilizers.

- The best results were obtained in variant 5 (fertilized culture using 100 t/ha manure) from the point of view of the yield and also, from the point of view of the number of first quality flowers, as well as concerning the obtained interests.
- Good results were obtained also in the case of variant 4 (fertilized culture using 50t/ha manure).
- Tuberoses are demanding with respect to soil conditions; they require good soil aeration and the absence of weeds which implies repeated weeding of the culture.
- The harvest of the flowers must be performed when half of the flowers are blooming. The harvest when flowers are still closed compromises the opening when they are already placed in vases.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bohming F., 1996, Schnittblumen. (4th edition), Publishing House Neumann, Berlin.
- 2. Bossard R., 1995, Cultures florales. Publishing House. J.B. Balliere et Filis, Paris.
- 3. Bossard R., 1992, Le foreage des plantes ornamentals. Publishing House. J.B. Ballière et Filis, Paris
- 4. Charron G., 1997, Encyclopedie de jardins. Publishing House Larousse, Paris
- 5. Encke F., 1988, 1990, Pareys Blumengartnerei Band I &II. Publishing House Paul Parey, Berlin, Hamburg.
- 6. Foley D., 1976, Garden Flowers in Color. Publishing House Macmillan, New York.
- Gassner K., 2008, Zauberwelt der Zimmerpflanzen. Publishing House Paul Parey, Berlin, Hamburg.
- 8. Georget P., 2006, Floriculture. Publishing HouseSpes, Lausanne, Swizerland.
- 9. Grrisvard L.P., 2004, Le bon jardinière. Publishing House Maison Rustique, Paris.
- 10. Grunert Chr, 1997, Zimmerblumen. Publishing House Vel Deutscher Landu, Berlin.
- 11. Penningsfeld F., 1999, Die Ernährung im Blumen ard Zierpfl. Publishing House Paul Parey, Berlin, Hamburg.
- 12. Şelaru E., 1996, Floricultură, (2nd edition). Publishing House Universitatea Deschisă Fermierul, București.
- 13. Şelaru E., 2001, Flori cultivate în grădină. Publishing House MAST, București.
- 14. Şelaru E., 2007, Cultura florilor de grădină. Publishing House ceres, București.
- 15. Taylor P., 1994, Low maintenance gardens. Publishing House Sunset, USA.
- 16. Taylor P., 1995, Shade gardens. Meredith Books, USA.
- 17. Țepordei R., 2002, Floricultura. Publishing House Editura Științelor Agricole, București.
- 18. Vilmorin A., 2009, Les fleurs de pleine terre. J.B. Balliere et Filis, Paris.
- 19. Vlad I., 2011, Floricultură. Publishing House University of Oradea.
- 20. Zaharia D., 2005, Floricultură. Risoprint Publishing House, Cluj-Napoca.