

## INFLUENCE OF THE FORRERUNNER PLANT AND NUTRITION REGIME ON THE DYNAMICS OF FITOMASS ACCUMULATION IN WINTER WHEAT CULTIVATED ON BROWN SOILS IN THE WESTERN PLAIN OF ROMANIA

Bandici Gheorghe\*, Ardelean Ileana\*

\* University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048, Oradea, Romania, e-mail: [gbandici@yahoo.com](mailto:gbandici@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

*The knowledge of fitomass accumulation dynamics in winter wheat, correlated to concrete edaphic and climatic conditions, race and cultivation technologies, offers the possibility of guiding the process toward the realization of higher and stable production efficiency per surface unit.*

*Research and production results were employed at the elaboration of the present work, mainly original researches developed by author referring to the fitomass accumulation dynamics in winter wheat cultivated on brown-luvic soils in central area of the Western Plain of Romania. Data from scientific literature were also used in the present work.*

*The theoretical and practical importance as compared to other similar works is enhanced by a strict reference to a particular area in western Romania.*

*The present work is adding new information to an actual scientific area of interest and offers technical solutions for efficient technical interventions in correlation with biological capacity of the plant to put them into value.*

**Key words:** fitomass, fertilisation, phenophase, climatic conditions, accumulation, brown luvic.

### INTRODUCTION

Domuța et al, 2007, 2008, after long run tests demonstrated the importance of crop rotation on wheat yields on brown-red soils in Romanian Plain. On clay-illuvial podzols, the introduction of ameliorative plants such as red clover represented an element of utmost importance for wheat yield increase.

Dinca et al (1971) made some references on the role of crop rotation on wheat yield, on organic accumulation in whole plant and grains, respectively.

It is demonstrated that after 10-year monoculture, wheat yield decreases continuously as compared to crop rotations. It fluctuates as a consequence of changing climatic conditions (Bandici, 1997; Bandici et al, 2003; Ardelean, 2009; Muntean et al, 2011). Under such circumstances, fertilization does not induce a significant yield increase. A particularly important problem is linked to wheat crop increment, which must fit the rising consumption needs of world population (Guș, Bandici, 2001; Domuta, 2012).

The author presents a synthesis of researches developed in Romania, emphasizing the positive correlation between plant growth, fitomass accumulation and climatic evolutions within cultivated areas of Romania.

The complex influence of crop rotation is in relation with fertilization. Hera (1986 a, b) remarked that on acid soils, the fertilizers' effect was greater in crop rotation as compared to monocultures characterized by a low fitomass accumulation correspondingly, a low yield.

Advances in biomass accumulation dynamics in winter wheat pedo-climatic conditions of Western Plain of Romania were made by Zăhan, Zăhan (1989) during the studies on Transsylvanian wheat race.

The influence of each of studies factors on dry biomass accumulation in wheat shows that crop rotation and fertilization determines essential differences in what concerns the accumulation of dry fitomass (Zăhan, Zăhan, 1989).

Concerning the influence of fertilization on biomass accumulation in winter wheat, frequent researches put in a direct relationship the fitomass accumulation and utilized fertilizers (Salisbury, Ross, 1995; Soltner, 1990).

Dynamics of fitomass accumulation in winter wheat is sustained by experimental data gathered between 2010 and 2012 at Oradea, crops obtained on luvic soil and Delia wheat race being employed.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The research was set at Agrozootechnical Researches Experimental Station (A.R.E.S) Oradea, between 2010-2012, on soils characterized by temporary excess of humidity as brown luvic soils are having the realization of total biomass as function of forerunner plant, agrofund and phenophase.

The experimental design was polyfactorial in subdivides stands using as factors interaction: forerunner plant, agrofund and phenophase. As biological material, the Delia race of wheat was employed.

Experimental results (biomass accumulation) were analysed by ANOVA (analysis of variance) and expressed as g of dry weight/10 plants.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

There is a positive correlation between the quality of crop rotation plant and the evolution of values found in grain biomass accumulation. Thus, as compared to wheat monoculture with an average value of 12.55 g d.w./10 plants, corn and pea as wheat crop rotation plants determined distinct to very significant crop increments between 1.38-5.10 g d.w./10 plants (table 1).

Wheat cultivated after pea registered highest values in grain as well as in whole plant, surpassing significantly to distinctly significant the values obtained after corn (1.46-5.32 g d.w./10 plants).

Concerning the obtained agrofund (see data in table 1), it was observed a positive correlation between total biomass accumulation in grains and fertilization level.

As compared to unfertilized alternative with respect to total plant biomass accumulation and grain biomass accumulation, mineral fertilization and mineral- organic fertilization determined very significant increments between 5.15-7.29 g d.w./10 plants and 5.08-7.00 g d.w./10 plants respectively, in grain. Highest values were found in organic-mineral fertilization alternative (25.36 g d.w./10 plants and 18.54 g d.w./10 plants).

Dynamics of biomass accumulation are expressed in table 2. Data analysis reveal an increase in plant biomass accumulation from beginning of winter to maturity (0.53-46.98 g d.w./10 plants).

During the first part of vegetation period, the differences in accumulation are reduced (including the first internode phase), there is an important increase in values immediately after this period (beginning with straw differentiation phase) to 12.04 g d.w./10 plants (straw elongation phase).

Biggest differences between phenophases was found during straw elongation phase to ear differentiation phase when there was an significant increase to a maximum of 16.36 g d.w./10 plants.

Compared to the beginning of winter phenophase in wheat (0.53 g d.w./10 plants), the increment of biomass accumulation is very significant varying between 0.42 and 46.45 g d.w./10 plants.

Concerning the grain, there is a parallel between the phenophase and biomass accumulation from 12.09 g d.w./10 plants during the early spring to 19.11 g d.w./10 plants at complete ripening, including the interval from 2.94 g d.w./10 plants to 7.02 g d.w./10 plants.

Percent participation of synthesized substances before fructification in grain differentiation was of 26.4% and represented a particularly important contribution of organic mass accumulated prior to grain differentiation to realization of grain efficiency per plant.

Table 1

The effect of crop rotation plant and agrofund on dry phytomass accumulation dynamics in winter wheat on brown luvisc soils, Oradea 2010-2012

Investigated factor	Quantity of dry phytomass (g. d.w./10 plants)							
	Total dry phytomass, of which:			Grain			Straw g	%
	g	Difference ±	Significance	g	Difference ±	Significance		
<b>a. Crop rotation</b>								
Wheat monoculture (Mt)	19.69	-	-	12.55	-	-	7.14	-
Corn (W-C)	21.07	+1.38	***	14.01	+1.46	**	7.06	-
Pea (P-W-C)	23.29	+3.60	***	17.18	+4.36	***	6.11	-
Pea (P-W-C-C)	24.79	+5.10	***	17.87	+5.32	***	6.92	-
DL 5 %		0.139			2.27			
DL 1 %		0.115			3.28			
DL 0,1 %		0.292			4.80			
<b>b. Created agrofund</b>								
N <sub>0</sub> P <sub>0</sub> (Mt)	18.07	-	-	11.54	-	-	6.53	-
N <sub>120</sub> P <sub>80</sub>	23.32	+5.15	***	16.62	+5.08	***	6.60	-
N <sub>100</sub> P <sub>80</sub> + 10 t/ha manure	25.36	+7.29	***	18.54	+7.00	***	6.82	-
DL 5 %		0.050			0.92			
DL 1 %		0.070			1.35			
DL 0,1 %		0.093			2.27			

Statistical significations:

1. for Total phytomass: under 0.050= insignificant; 0,050-0.070 = significant; 0.070-0.093 = distinct significant; over 0.093 = very significant;
2. for Grain: under 0.92= insignificant; 0.92-1.35 = significant; 1.35-2.27 = distinct significant; over 2.27 = very significant;

Table 2

The effect of crop rotation plant, agrofind and phenophase on dry fitomass accumulation dynamics in winter wheat on brown luvic soils, Oradea 2010-2012

Investigated factor	Quantity of dry fitomass (g. d.w./10 plants)							
	Total dry fitomass, of which:			Grain			Straw g	%
	g	Difference ±	Significance	g	Difference ±	Significance		
<b>a. Phenophase</b>								
At winter beginning	0.53	-	-	-	-	-	0.53	-
At the end of winter	0.95	+0.42	***	-	-	-	0.95	-
The beginning of vegetation	2.56	+2.03	***	-	-	-	2.56	-
The formation of first interned	5.04	+4.51	***	-	-	-	5.04	-
Straw elongation	12.04	+11.51	***	-	-	-	12.04	-
The formation of spike	28.04	+27.87	***	-	-	-	28.04	-
Beginning of seeds formation	37.86	+37.33	***	-	-	-	37.86	26.4
Early ripening	42.28	+41.75	***	12.09	-	-	30.19	-
Incomplete ripening	45.44	+44.91	***	15.03	+2.94	***	30.41	-
Complete ripening	46.98	+46.45	***	19.11	+7.02	***	27.87	-
DL 5 %		0.096			1.03			
DL 1 %		0.124			1.42			
DL 0,1 %		0.159			1.82			

Statistical significations:

1. *for Total phitomass*: under 0.096= insignificant; 0.009-0.124 = significant; 0.124-0.159 = distinct significant; over 0.159 = very significant;

2. *for Grain*: under 1.03 = insignificant; 1.03-1.42 = significant; 1.42-1.82 = distinct significant; over 1.82 = very significant.

## CONCLUSIONS

During the last phenophase, stem contributed substantially to total biomass accumulation being positively correlated to crop rotation plant and created agrofund.

Stem weight of total biomass raised proportionally to agrofund increment due to mineral and organo-mineral fertilization, regardless to crop rotation plant, being more accentuated in the case of wheat monoculture and after corn as crop rotation plant respectively after pea fertilized with mineral fertilizers.

Main contribution to biomass accumulation had ear during last phenophase, regardless to crop rotation plant and agrofund, crop rotation plant and mixed fertilization, as compared to wheat monoculture.

## REFERENCES

1. Ardelean I., 2009, Agrotehnica, Editura Universității din Oradea.
2. Bandici, G., E., 1997, Contribuții la stabilirea influenței premergătoarei și a fertilizării asupra dinamicii acumulării biomasei, la grâul de toamnă, cultivat pe soluri cu exces temporar de umiditate, în centrul Câmpiei de Vest a României. Doctoral thesis. Univ. of Agriculture Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, [in Romanian], p. 158.
3. Bandici, G., E., Guș P., 2001, Dinamica acumulării de biomasă la grâul de toamnă. University of Oradea Press, p. 107.
4. Bandici G., E., Domuța C., Ardelean I., 2003, The influence of the forerunner plant, fertilisation level and climatic conditions on the total wet and dry gluten content of winter wheat seeds cultivated on brown luvisc soils in the Western Plain of Romania, *Lucrări științifice USAMVB, Seria B*, vol. XLV, București, pp. 281-284, p. 300.
5. Dincă D., 1971, Influența rotației asupra producției, valorificării îngrășămintelor și calității biologice a recoltelor de grâu și porumb pe solul brun roșcat de pădure, *Probleme agricole*, nr.9, pp. 56-59, p. 70.
6. Domuta C., Bandici G., Ciobanu G., Csep N., Ciobanu C., Samuel A., Bucurean E., Sandor M., Borza I., Bunta G., Ardelean I., Domuta C., 2007, Asolamentele în Câmpia Crisurilor. Editura Univ. din Oradea, p. 254.
7. Domuța C., Bandici G., Ciobanu G., Ciobanu C., Samuel A., Csep N., Bucurean E., Borza I., Sandor M., Bunta G., Ardelean I., Domuta C., 2008, Asolamentele in sistemele de agricultură, Editura Univ. din Oradea, p. 297.
8. Domuta C., 2012, Agrotehnica, Editura Universității din Oradea.
9. Hera C., 1986a, Influența fertilizării asupra unor indici calitativi ai recoltelor de grâu, *Probleme de agrofitotehnie teoretică și aplicată*, nr.2, vol.VIII, pp. 71-76, p. 100.
10. Hera C., 1986b, Influența unor factori tehnologici asupra calității grâului, *Cereale și plante tehnice*, nr.7, pp. 47-52, p. 88.
11. Muntean L., S., Cernea S., Morar G., 2011, *Fitotehnie*, Academic Press Printing House, Cluj-Napoca, pp. 83-135, p. 224.
12. Salisbury F., B., Ross C., W., 1995, *Fisiologia vegetale*. Seconda edizione italiana condota sulla quarta edizione americana. Editura Zanichelli.
13. Soltner D., 1990, *Phytotehnie speciale*, Colection sciences et Techniques Agric., Angers.
14. Zăhan P., Zăhan R., 1989, Cercetări privind acumularea biomasei vegetale radulare și calitatea recoltei obținute, sub influența plantei premergătoare și a fertilizării la grâul cultivat pe soluri podzolice cu exces temporar de umiditate din Câmpia de Vest a țării (II). *Probleme de agrofitotehnie teoretică și aplicată*, nr. 1, vol. XI, pp. 237-240, p. 300.