

## MONITORING *SALVIA OFFICINALIS* L. SPECIE CULTIVATED IN ORGANIC SYSTEM

Vârban Dan Ioan, Duda Matei Marcel, Rodica Vârban, Antonia Odagiu

University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Faculty of Agriculture, 3-5 Manastur Street, 400372, Cluj-Napoca, Romania, email: [dan\\_varban@yahoo.com](mailto:dan_varban@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

The bio-morphological determinations show the followings: in the 1st year of vegetation (2009), leaves represent a share of 68% of the average weight of the plant and shoots 32%; in the 1<sup>st</sup> second year (2010) the average weight of the plant, leaves and vegetative shoots increases from the first phase up to the fourth phase, harvesting, respectively, and the average weight of shoots and buds increases from the first up to the third phase, harvesting, respectively, and decreases in the fourth harvesting phase.

The fresh herbal production in the year 2010, increases from the first phase (3933 kg/haup to the fourth harvesting phase (16555 kg/ha).

The most increased content of ursolic and oleanolic acids was recorded in the first harvestin phase.

**Key words:** bio-morphological determinations, content of active principles, *Salvia officinalis* L.

### INTRODUCTION

*Salvia officinalis* L., was described by C. Linnae in 1753, and the name of *Salvia* has the origin in the latin "salvare", to be healthy, to heal, to save, and *officinalis* refers to the medical use of the plant.

The medical doctor Gallenos (II<sup>nd</sup> century a.c.) ephasized the tonic, diuretical and homeostasic traits of the sage, and beginning with XVI<sup>th</sup> century, the use of the oil of this plant is well known.

**The Greeks** used the sage for the treatments of the illness of digestive tube, gastric ulcer, and even as remedy for the snakes bites.

**In the Roman Empire** the sage was considered as holly plant and even special ceremonies were kett in the honour of the sage, when harvesting time came; it was also used as food supplement, because it was considered that it stimulates memory, but is was also used as tooth paste.

The herba (*Salviae herba*) and folium (*Salviae folium*), harvested in the beginning of flowering are used from the sage. In herba, volatile oil is present in share of 0.20 - 0.60 %, and in leaves, in share of 1 - 2%. In oil structure the **tuione** is present (up to 50%).

The tuione content of the sage oil oscilates function of the harvesting period, and depends on the plant maturity stage. The tuione content reaches a maximum in October, when plant is in vegetative phase.

It has the following actions: **tonic** upon the digestive apparatus, increasing appetite, and upon nervous system in nervous asthenia; **coleretic** stimulating the stomach and liver functions by increasing the gall secretions; **carminativ** reduces bloatings, calming stomach colic and sickness; **antisweating**; **antigalactogogus** diminish secretion.

#### MATERIAL AND METHOD

The experiments were placed in Jucu village, on the experimental field of the Department of Phytotechny, Faculty of Agriculture from the University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj - Napoca. In culture we used the population of Răzmirești.

##### **1. The bio-morphological determinations in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie, in the I<sup>st</sup> year of vegetation (2009);**

Using bio-morphological determinations, we aimed to emphasize the share of the leaves and shoots in the biomass volume.

In the year 2009 (I<sup>st</sup> year of vegetation) we perform a single harvesting when plants had vegetative shoots with 7 - 9 pairs of leaves.

In order to perform the bio-morphological determinations 10 plants were harvested.

##### **2. The bio-morphological determinations in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie, in the II<sup>nd</sup> year of vegetation (2010);**

In *Salvia officinalis* L. specie, in the second harvesting year (2010), we considered four variants, function of harvesting pheno-phase:

**F<sub>1</sub>** – when more than one half of the flower shoots formed flower buds on about one half of the length;

**F<sub>2</sub>** – when more than one half of the flower shoots had opened flowers on ½ of length.

**F<sub>3</sub>** – when more than one half of the flower shoots had immature fruits on 2/3 of the length;

**F<sub>4</sub>** – when more than one half of the flower shoots had mature fruits on 2/3 of the length.

The bio-morphological determinations must include: the share of the leaves, vegetative shoots, and flower shoots from the total bio-mass volume, in each harvesting pheno-phase.

In order to perform the bio-morphological determinations, 10 plants of each pheno-phase were harvested.

##### **3. The establishment of the vegetal raw material production in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie, in thje second year of vegetation (2010);**

We esestablished in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie four variants function of harvesting period:

**V<sub>1</sub>** – when more than one half of the flower shoots formed flower buds on about one half of the length;

V<sub>2</sub> – when more than one half of the flower shoots had opened flowers on 1/2 of length.

V<sub>3</sub> – when more than one half of the flower shoots had immature fruits on 2/3 of the length;

V<sub>4</sub> – when more than one half of the flower shoots had mature fruits on 2/3 of the length.

#### **4. Determination of the content of the active principles, in the second year of vegetation (2010), in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie**

The content and production of oleanolic and ursolic acids was determined in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### **1. The bio-morphological determinations in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie, in the I<sup>st</sup> year of vegetation (2009);**

From the bio-morphological determinations performed in the I<sup>st</sup> year of vegetation (2009) on *Salvia officinalis* L. specie results that from the average weight of the plant:

- the average weight of the leaves/plant was of 44.7 g and represents 68%;
- the average weight of the shoots/plant was of 20.7 g and represents 32% (table 1)

Table 1

Bio-morphological determinations performed at *Salvia officinalis* L. harvesting, Ist year of vegetation, cultivated in organic system (Jucu, 2009)

Average weight of the plant (g)	Average weight of the leaves (g)	Average weight of the shoots (g)
65.4 (100%)	44.7 (68%)	20.7 (32%)

#### **2. The bio-morphological determinations in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie, in the II<sup>nd</sup> year of vegetation (2010);**

According to the bio-morphological determinations presented in table 2, in organic experiment with *Salvia officinalis* L. resulted the followings:

- the average weight of the plant increases from the first phase up to the fourth phase of harvesting (from 60.5 g up to 264.2 g)
- the average weight of the leaves increases from the first phase up to the fourth phase of harvesting (from 35.1 g up to 166.2 g), and their share of the total bio-mass is within 42 - 63%;

- the average weight of the vegetative shoots increases from the first up to the fourth harvesting phase (from 4.6 g up to 48.9 g), and their share is within 8 - 19%

- the average weight of the shoots with flower buds increases from the first up to the third harvesting phase (from 20.8 g up to 83.6 g) and decreases in the fourth harvesting phase to 49.1 g; the share is within 18 - 43 %

*Table 2*

Bio-morphological determinations performed when all four pheno-phases of *Salvia officinalis* L. specie were harvested, in organic experiment, II<sup>nd</sup> year of vegetation (Jucu, 2010)

Harvesting phases	Average weight of the plant (g)	Average weight of the leaves (g)	Average weight of the vegetative shoots (g)	Average weight of the shoots with buds (g)
F <sub>1</sub>	60.5 (100%)	35.1 (58%)	4.6 (8%)	20.8 (34%)
F <sub>2</sub>	190 (100%)	80.0 (42%)	28.4 (15%)	81.6 (43%)
F <sub>3</sub>	256.5 (100%)	140.3 (55%)	32.6 (13%)	83.6 (32%)
F <sub>4</sub>	264.2 (100%)	166.2 (63%)	48.9 (19%)	49.1 (18%)

### 3. The establishment of the vegetal raw material production in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie, in the second year of vegetation (2010);

The herbal production increases from the first harvesting phase up to the fourth harvesting phase, As we can find in table 3, the herbal production records positive distinct significant differences in the second harvesting phase (10200 kg/ha) and positive very significant in the third (14955 Kg/ha) and fourth (16555 kg/ha) harvesting phases compared to control, first harvesting phase (3933 kg/ha).

*Table 3*

The fresh herbal production in the II<sup>nd</sup> year of vegetation in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie, cultivated in organic system (Jucu, 2010)

Harvesting phase	Density pl/ha	Herbal production		± Difference	Significance
		kg/ha	%		
V <sub>1</sub> (Mt)	66700	<b>3933</b>	100	0	-
V <sub>2</sub>	66700	<b>10200</b>	259.3	6266	XX
V <sub>3</sub>	66700	<b>14955</b>	380.2	11022	XXX
V <sub>4</sub>	66700	<b>16555</b>	420.9	12622	XXX

DL 5%= 5117.59

DL 1%= 6923.65

DL 0.1%= 9253.89

#### 4. Determination of the content of the active principles, in the second year of vegetation (2010), in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie

The content in ursolic acids from the dried herba (table 4), cultivated in organic system, varies from 0.72 g% up to 1.37 g%. The highest content in ursolic acids was recorded in the first harvesting phase, and was of 1.37 g%. The production of ursolic acids, function of the production of herba/ha, increases from the first up to the fourth harvesting phase (from 5.4 kg/ha up to 20.2 kg/ha).

Table 4

The production of ursolic acid from dried herba by harvesting phenophases, in *Salvia officinalis* L., in the second vegetation year, cultivated in organic system (Jucu, 2010)

Harvesting phase	Herbal production Kg/ha	g% ursolic acid	Ursolic acids production kg/ha
<b>F<sub>1</sub> (Mt)</b>	<b>3933</b>	1.37	5.4
<b>F<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>10200</b>	0.72	7.3
<b>F<sub>3</sub></b>	<b>14955</b>	0.97	14.5
<b>F<sub>4</sub></b>	<b>16555</b>	1.22	20.2

The most increased content in oleanolic acids was recorded in the first harvesting phase, of 0.65 g%, and production of these acids increases from the first up to the fourth harvesting phase (from 2.6 kg/ha up to 8.3 kg/ha) (table 5).

Table 5

The production of oleanolic acid from dried herb by harvesting pheno-phases, in *Salvia officinalis* L. specie, second year of vegetation, cultivated in organic system (Jucu, 2010)

Harvesting phase	Herbal production Kg/ha	g% oleanolic acid	Oleanolic acids production
<b>F<sub>1</sub> (Mt)</b>	<b>3933</b>	0.65	2.6
<b>F<sub>2</sub></b>	<b>10200</b>	0.36	3.7
<b>F<sub>3</sub></b>	<b>14955</b>	0.40	5.9
<b>F<sub>4</sub></b>	<b>16555</b>	0.50	8.3

#### CONCLUSIONS

1. From the bio-morphological determinations performed in the first year of vegetation (2009), results that the leaves represent 68% of the average weight of the plant, and shoots represent 32%.

2. From the bio-morphological determinations performed in the second year of vegetation (2010) results that:

- the average weight of the plant, leaves and shoots increases from the first up to the fourth harvesting phase;
- the average weight of the shoots with buds increases from the first up to the third harvesting phase and decreases in the fourth harvesting phase;

3. The production of fresh herba in 2010, increases from the first phase (3933 kg/ha) up to the fourth harvesting phase (16555 kg/ha).

4. The content and production of ursolic and oleanolic acids increases from the first up to the fourth harvesting phase.

The highest content of ursolic and oleanolic acids was recorded in the first harvesting phase.

#### Acknowledgments

The research was performed in the frame of the project: PN-II-5.1-032/2007 "Implementation of the standardization system of the vegetal raw material for increasing competitiveness in medicinal plants species that can be rendered valuable in pharmaceutical industry and cosmetics"

#### REFERENCES

1. Baricevic, D. and Bartol, T., 2000, *The biological/pharmacological activity of the Salvia genus V.*, Pharmacology in: Kintzios, S.E., Editor, Sage: The Genus Salvia, Harwood Academic Publishers, Abingdon, Marston;
2. Brezeanu Creola, Ambăruș Silvica, Cristea Tina Oana, 2008 - Biochemical and physiologic studies on salvia (*Salvia Officinalis* L) Facultatea de Horticultură Iași, 29 – 31 Mai 2008 Lucrări științifice, Seria Horticultură, Editura "Ion Ionescu de la Brad", I.S.S.N. 1454 – 7376, p. 155-162.
3. Cuvelier, M. E., Berset, C. and Richard, H., 1994, Antioxidant constituents in sage (*Salvia officinalis*), *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 42, pp. 665-669,
4. Constantinovici Mariana, Vasile Plugaru, Cătălina Druțu, Dan Vârban, 2010, Comparative evaluation of production potential of standardized raw vegetal material for triterpenic acids content using conventional and ecological technologies of cultivation applied to *Ocimum basilicum* and *Satureja hortensis* species, *Lucrări Științifice Iasi*, vol. 53, seria Agronomie;
5. Druțu Adina-Cătălina, Elvira Gille, 2009, Influence of ecologic fertilizers over *Salvia Officinalis* L. and *Allium Cepa* L., *Rev. Lucrări Științifice Iași, Seria Agronomia*, vol. 52 (1)
6. Filipic, M., Baricevic, D., , 1998, Inhibitory effect of *Salvia officinalis* extracts on SOS functions induced by UV-irradiation, in *Abstract Book of the 28th Annual Meeting of the European Environmental Mutagen Society (EEMS)*, p. 169, Salzburg, Austria;
7. Liu, J., 1995, Pharmacology of oleanolic and ursolic acid, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 49, pp. 57-68.
8. Muntean Leon S., S. Cernea, G. Morar, M. M. Duda, Dan I. Vârban, S. Muntean, 2011, *Fitotehnie*, Ed. Risoprint, ISBN 978-973-53-0506-2, pag 639-640;

9. Muntean Leon S., Mircea Tămaș, Sorin Muntean, Leon Muntean, Marcel M. Duda, Dan I. Vârban, Simion Florian, 2007, *Tratat de plante medicinale cultivate și spontane*, Ed. Risoprint Cluj-Napoca, ISBN 978-973-751-463-9, pag. 402-411;
10. Neagu Elena, Gabriela Paun Roman and Gabriel Lucian Radu, 2011, Antioxidant capacity of some *Salvia Officinalis* concentrated extracts, *Rev. Roum. Chim.*, 56(8), 777-782
11. Paula C. Santos-Gomes and Manuel Fernandes-Ferreira, 2001, Organ- and Season-Dependent Variation in the Essential Oil Composition of *Salvia officinalis* L. Cultivated at Two Different Sites, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, , 49 (6), pp 2908–2916.
12. Paun, E. și colab., 1986 – *Tratat de plante medicinale si aromatice cultivate*, Ed. Academiei RSR Bucuresti, p. 220.
13. Plugaru V., 2000, *Tehnologii de cultură la plante medicinale și aromatice*, Ed. Orizonturi București, *Salvia officinalis* L. Pag 140-145
14. Rădulescu Valeria, Silvia Chiliment, Eliza Oprea, 2004, Capillary gas chromatography – mass spectrometric investigation of volatile and semi-volatile compounds of *Salvia officinalis*, , *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1027, pg. 121-126.
15. Setnescu T., M. Lungulescu, E. Oprea, S. Jipa, D. Ilie, R. Setnescu, 2008, Antioxidative effect of *Salvia* and *Lonicera* extracts in thermal oxidation of PP as studied by chemiluminescence, *MoDeSt 2008*, Liège (Belgia);
16. Stan, N. și colab., 2001 – *Legumicultura*, vol II, Ed. „Ion Ionescu de la Brad”, Iasi, p. 47.
17. Szoke, E., 2009, Chemical and genetic relationships among sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) cultivars and Judean sage (*Salvia judaica* Boiss.), *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, , 57 (11), pp 4663–4667.
18. Vârban D., Vârban R., Imre A., 2005, *Plante medicinale cultivate și din flora spontană*, Ed. Risoprint, Cluj-Napoca, pag. 57
19. Verzea, Maria si colab., 2002 – *Tehnologii de cultura la plantele medicinale si aromatice*, Ed. Orizonturi Bucuresti, p. 140.
20. Tamas M, Fagarasan E., Ionescu C., 1986, Contributii la studiul fitochimic al produsului *Salviae Folim*, *Rev. Farmacia* vol. 34, nr. 3, pag. 181-186.