## **EPIDEMIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF PANCREATIC PSEUDOCYST**

#### German Mihai\*, Domuta Maria, Maghiar Adrian

\*University of Oradea, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, First December Square, No. 10, Oradea, Romania, e-mail: <u>germanmihai@gmail.com</u>

#### Abstract

Pancreatic pseudocyst is a consequence of acute or chronic pancreatitis, more rarely of a pancreatic trauma. Regardless of etiology, incidence of pancreatic pseudocyst is relatively low. Pancreatic pseudocyst tend to be more common in chronic pancreatitis compared with acute pancreatitis, with an incidence of 30% to 40%, and more frequently in alcohol-induced chronic pancreatitis. Acording to some autors, gender related prevalence is increased in male pacient with a age peak at 45-54 years. Some authors report a significant increase in morbidity and mortality in patients with pancreatic pseudocyst in relation with parameters such as age, severity of acute pancreatitis, alcohol consumption, nutritional status and surgical technique.

Key words: pancreatic pseudocyst, epidemiology

### INTRODUCTION

Regardless of etiology, incidence of pancreatic pseudocyst (PCP) is relatively low, 1.6% - 4.5%, or 0.5-1 per 100 000 adults per year according to some authors (S. Habashi, P.V. Draganov, 2009). PCP tend to be more common in chronic pancreatitis compared with acute pancreatitis, with an incidence of 30% to 40% reported in the literature, and more frequently in alcohol-induced chronic pancreatitis (Andrén-Sandberg Å, Dervenis C., 2004,). Major risk factors for pancreatitis and PCP are gallstones and alcoholism. PCP after pancreatic trauma tend to increase as it grows the severity of road accidents, occupational and sports accidents. Most studies have communicated a higher incidence in males, and it can occure at any age, but the incidence in children is much lower and the etiology is represented in particular by the abdominal trauma (C. Apostolu et al, 2006).

PCP uncomplicated mortality is very rare, postoperatively was reported a rate lower than 1%. Instead, there is increased mortality rates of over 50% for PCP complicated, most commonly referred to bleeding and superinfection. (S. T. Barbu, A. Andren-Sandberg, 2008, S. Habashi, P.V. Draganov, 2009).

### MATERIAL AND METHOD

The study is based on epidemiological analysis of 117 patients diagnosed with pancreatic pseudocyst, and admitted to the Surgical Clinic of Oradea County Hospital and Oradea Pelican Hospital in the period 2002-2010. We took into account demographic aspects, etiological aspects and alcohol consumption, clinical and biological aspects, imaging, therapeutic methods, disease progression, morbidity and mortality rates. Statistical processing of data collected from patient observation charts summarized in research fiches was organized into a Microsoft Excel 2003 database. For statistical analysis data were imported into a EpiInfo database.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Of all patients, 23 (17.55%) were female and 94 (82.45%) male (Fig.1), this gender difference maintaining on all years of study (fig.2)



Fig 2. PCP distribution by gender per study years.

The average age in the group of patients studied was 53.03 years (35 to 71 years) with a predominance decades of life 40-49 and 50-59 (fig.3).



Fig.3. FCP graphical distribution by age.

Of the 117 patients, 67 (57.27%) were from urban areas and the remaining 50 (43, 73%) from rural areas, maintaining the difference in the entire period study (fig.4).



Fig.4. FCP graphical distribution by area of provenience.

Of the total of 117 patients with PCP, 89 patients (76.06%) were active individuals and of these 39 patients (33.33%) worked in contaminant environment. (Fig.5). Considering the conditions of life and work, 83 patients (70.94%) had proper living conditions.



Fig.5. Distribution of patients by activity, educational level and working conditions.

In terms of etiology, alcoholic pancreatitis was identified as the cause of PCP in 89 (76.06%) cases, biliary pancreatitis in 25 (21.36%) cases, abdominal trauma in 3 (2.56%) cases (Fig.6).



Fig. 6. Etiology of PCP.

In relation with age, the preponderance of cases with acute pancreatitis was observed in patients aged 35-54 years and those with chronic pancreatitis between 45-64 years (Fig.7). Biliary diseases were the etiologic factor PCP more common in women, affecting patients aged 38-52 years, and alcohol consumption was more common in men aged 44-68 years.



Fig.7. Prevalence of PCP after acute and chronic pancreatitis according to age.

Postoperative evolution was favorable in 53 (61.62%) cases from a total of 86 (73,5%) patients operated, overall morbidity rate was 24.78% (29 patients) in the study group. There were 4 deaths (3.41%) that occurred in debilitated patients presenting complicated superinfected PCP with appearance of toxic-septic shock and multiple organ failure (fig.8).



Fig.8. Morbidity and mortality of PCP.

# CONCLUSIONS

Of cystic lesions of the pancreas, PCP remains the most common, representing almost 75% of these lesions (V.Surlin et.al, 2008). Evolution of PCP can be asymptomatic in small lesions, so PCP can go unnoticed or is discovered absolutely incidentally within some routine investigations. The main risk factors in the occurrence of PCP are alcoholism and cholelithiasis, the formation of PCP being less common after acute

pancreatitis compared with chronic pancreatitis, and more common in alcoholic chronic pancreatitis, as is reported in literature.

Despite a higher incidence of biliary disease in women, 82.45% of the total number of patients in our study were male, among which is otherwise more commonly encountered chronic alcohol consumption. PCP Global incidence rate was increased in the decades 40-49 and 50-59, and in relation to chronic pancreatitis between 45-64 years. Increased incidence of PCP in active patients from urban areas could be related to a lifestyle governed by physical stress and psychological consequences as inappropriate dietary habits and increased alcohol consumption, commonly in male.

Overall morbidity rate was 24.78% and death occurred in 3.41% cases. There was an increase in the morbidity rate in patients over 50 years (24.78%), especially among debilitated patients with chronic alcoholism and poor nutritional status.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Andrén-Sandberg Å, Dervenis C., 2004, Pancreatic Pseudocysts in the 21st Century. Part I: Classification, Pathophysiology, Anatomic Considerations and Treatment, JOP. J Pancreas (Online) 5:8-24
- 2. C.Apostolou, J.E.J.Krige, P.C.Bornman, 2006, *Pancreatic pseudocyst*, SAJS, vol.44, nr.4 november, p 148-155
- 3. S.T.Barbu, A.Andren-Sandberg, *Pancreatic diseases in 2008, What are the burning issues?*, Casa Cartii de Stiinta, 2008, p 122-125, 155-177
- Diaconescu MR, Vexler R, Costea I, Simon I, Iacomi S., 1996, Colectii lichidiene pancreatice si extrapancreatice succedand pancreatitei acute, Chirurgia, 45:239
- 5. G. Crisan, 1984, *Bolile chirurgicale ale pancreasului*, Ed. Facla, p 73-163, 174-189
- S. Habashi, P. V. Draganov, 2009, *Pancreatic pseudocyst*, World J Gastroenterol, January 7; 15(1): 38-47
- Ioana Grigoraş, 2005, Pancreatita acuta forma severa, Jurnalul de Chirurgie, vol.1, nr.1, p 9-20
- 8. Juvara I., Dragomirescu C., Pacescu E., 1985, *Modalitati evolutive particulare ale* pancreatitei acute: revarsatele lichidiene peritoneale si pleurale cornice, pseudochiste migrate, Chirurgia, 34:161
- 9. Paolo Soliani, Christian Franzini, Stefanie Ziegler, et al, 2004; *Pancreatic Pseudocysts Following Acute Pancreatitis: Risk Factors Influencing Therapeutic Outcomes*, JOP. J Pancreas (Online) 5(5):338-347
- 10. Popa F., Gilorteanu H., Balalau C., 2002, *Pseudochist acut de pancreas*, *Consideratii anatomoclinice si terapeutice asupra unui lot de 12 cazuri*, Chirurgia 97:181.
- Dinesh Singhal, Rahul Kakodkar, Randhir Sud, Adarsh Chaudhary, 2006, *Issues in Management of Pancreatic Pseudocysts*, JOP. J Pancreas (Online) 2006; 7(5):502-507
- V.Surlin, E.Georgescu, Milena Georgescu, D.Margariteanu, D.Cartu, A.Saftoiu, I.Georgescu, 2008, *Modalitati terapeutice actuale in pseudochistul pancreatic*, Craiova Medicala, vol.10 nr.4, pp 285-290