OVERVIEW OF FOOD CONSUMTION EVOLUTION IN THE CONTEXT OF FOOD SECURITY

Bunescu (Vi inoiu) Cristina*

*University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Bucharest, Faculty of Management, Economic Engineering in Agriculture and Rural Development, 59 Mără ☐ ti Avenue., 011464, Bucharest, Romania, e-mail: cristina.bunescu@agricover.ro

Abstract

Food security is a major problem that human society faces today and can be addressed by analyzing food availability and / or consumption of food. The purpose of this paper is to highlight the evolution of the main indicators characterizing food security and attempts to highlight the size of the problem in Romania in particular through the use of statistical methods to quantify indicators of food consumption.

Key words: food security, food consumption, food supply

INTRODUCTION

Food security is a phenomenon directly related to the individual who seeks to on the one hand ensure food availability and on the other hand ensure public access to these resources. Measurement of food security is realized through a system of indicators focused on offering evolution of food demand, food product demand, how to cover the supply through demand etc. Consumption indicators give us a vision of food demand size and thus a vision of consumer behavior in their process of accessing food availability.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The purpose of this paper is to analyze food security through the main indicators of food consumption. To this end we focused on indicators of the structure and dynamics of food consumption in the period 2005-2010.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In our country the consumption of food and non-alcoholic beverages in 2005-2010 represented over 40% of total consumption expenditure (Table 1). In 2010 the share of spending on food products and soft drinks reached 53.8% in farming households and 53.7% in unemployed households. This lower share in employees' households shows that with lower living standards, food and non-alcoholic beverage costs tend to increase.

Table 1

Structure of total consumption expenditure of households

| | Structure of tour consumption expenditure of nouseholds | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | | | | | |
| Total households - lei, monthly per household | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total consumption expenditure | 863.89 | 962.50 | 1104.70 | 1365.36 | 1468.60 | 1486.43 | | | | | |
| Agro-food products and non-alcoholic drinks | 44.2 | 42.3 | 41.7 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 41.0 | | | | | |
| | Employees | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total consumption expenditure | 1132.17 | 1251.38 | 1456.2 | 1727.84 | 1836.94 | 1849.57 | | | | | |
| Agro-food products and non-alcoholic drinks | 39.2 | 37.9 | 37.1 | 37.2 | 37.4 | 37.6 | | | | | |
| | Farme | ers | | | | | | | | | |
| Total consumption expenditure | 658.18 | 693.93 | 779.40 | 931.25 | 1103.67 | 1065.70 | | | | | |
| Agro-food products and non-alcoholic drinks | 57.8 | 57.1 | 56.5 | 55.9 | 53.2 | 53.8 | | | | | |
| | Unempl | oyed | | | | | | | | | |
| Total consumption expenditure | 743.36 | 842.42 | 931.84 | 1097.92 | 1265.17 | 1317.84 | | | | | |
| Agro-food products and non-alcoholic drinks | 55.4 | 53.7 | 53.5 | 55.0 | 54.0 | 53.7 | | | | | |
| Pensioners | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total consumption expenditure | 695.80 | 777.72 | 880.99 | 1135.50 | 1253.30 | 1286.43 | | | | | |
| Agro-food products and non-alcoholic drinks | 53.1 | 50.8 | 51.3 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | | | | | |

Sourse: National Institute of Statistics

Population behavior on consumption is emphasized better by the annual consumption indicators of agro-food products. Thus, we can see in the following table, for vegetable products, an increased consumption of vegetables, vegetable fats and potatoes, and a decrease of almost 20% of fruit and sugar. Regarding the consumption of animal products, except fish products shall be observed declines of over 15% for meat and eggs and around 6% in animal fat and milk.

Table 2 Yearly average consumption, for the main food products, per inhabitant

| | UM | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2010/2005 | | |
|---|--------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Crop products | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cereals and cereal products - in equivalent grains | kg | 214.8 | 207.9 | 206.9 | 204 | 200.8 | 199.6 | 92.9 | | |
| Cereals and cereal products - in equivalent flour | kg | 162.6 | 157.3 | 156 | 154.1 | 151.7 | 150.4 | 92.5 | | |
| Potatoes | kg | 98 | 97.4 | 96.1 | 99.5 | 93.1 | 98.2 | 100.2 | | |
| Vegetables and vegetable products (equivalent fresh vegetables) dried pulses and melons | kg | 162.6 | 181.7 | 164.1 | 176 | 168.2 | 174.4 | 107.3 | | |
| Fruit and fruit products (equivalent fresh fruit) | kg | 75.9 | 83.2 | 67.8 | 66.7 | 62.3 | 63.3 | 83.4 | | |
| Sugar and confectioneries (equivalent refined sugar) | kg | 27.4 | 29 | 24.9 | 23.2 | 25.8 | 22.1 | 80.7 | | |
| Vegetal fats (gross weight) | kg | 14.6 | 15.4 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 16 | 14.8 | 101.4 | | |
| | | Animal j | products | | | | | | | |
| Milk and dairy products in equivalent milk 3,5% fat (butter excluded) | liters | 239.2 | 246.6 | 252.8 | 254.7 | 233.2 | 224 | 93.6 | | |
| Eggs | pieces | 284 | 277 | 268 | 267 | 243 | 239 | 84.2 | | |
| Fish and fish products (fresh fish | kg | 4.5 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 4 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 102.2 | | |

| | UM | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2010/2005 |
|---|----|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| equivalent) | | | | | | | | |
| Meat, meat products and edible offals (equivalent fresh meat) | kg | 68.3 | 69.9 | 66.7 | 66.6 | 67.5 | 60 | 87.8 |
| Animal fats (gross weight) | kg | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 94.4 |

Source: National Institute of Statistics

Reasons for those changes in behavior are varied, such as higher prices, lower living standards and orientation towards a healthy part of the population. But if we analyze daily food consumption for the main products, there is clearly a decrease in basic food nutritional values of the population, a decrease of about 3-10% of calories, proteins and assimilated fats.

Table 3
Daily average food consumption, (expressed in calories and nutrients), per inhabitant

| = #11-1 #11-11-81-11-11 | samption, (expressed in eurories and nativents), per initiation | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| | UM | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2010/2005 |
| Calories | number | 3385 | 3455 | 3290 | 3300 | 3273 | 3147 | 93.0 |
| of which: Animal origin | number | 897 | 925 | 912 | 920 | 888 | 833 | 92.9 |
| Proteins | grams | 112.2 | 114.3 | 111.2 | 111.5 | 107.7 | 103.4 | 92.2 |
| of which: Animal origin | grams | 57.4 | 58.6 | 57.6 | 57.7 | 55.8 | 51.7 | 90.1 |
| Fats | grams | 101.7 | 107.5 | 101.3 | 104.4 | 105.3 | 98.9 | 97.2 |
| of which: Animal origin | grams | 57.2 | 59.1 | 57.8 | 58.6 | 57.3 | 53.6 | 93.7 |
| Carbohydrates | grams | 483.9 | 485.4 | 462.4 | 457.8 | 452.7 | 440.5 | 91.0 |

Source: National Institute of Statistics

Comparison between per capita consumption and production per capita (ratio between agricultural production and population) allows us to see that our country has the potential to ensure food security from own resources, with potential for export of cereals, potatoes and eggs.

Table 4
Yearly average consumption and production, for the main food products, per inhabitant

| | UM | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | | | |
|---|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| Crop products | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cereals and cereal products - in equivalent grains | kg | 214.8 | 207.9 | 206.9 | 204 | 200.8 | 199.6 | | | |
| Production per capita | kg | 894.6 | 730.1 | 362.8 | 782.5 | 692.7 | 779.8 | | | |
| Production/consumption share | % | 416.5 | 351.2 | 175.4 | 383.6 | 345.0 | 390.7 | | | |
| Potatoes | kg | 98 | 97.4 | 96.1 | 99.5 | 93.1 | 98.2 | | | |
| Production per capita | kg | 172.9 | 186.1 | 172.4 | 169.7 | 186.5 | 153.2 | | | |
| Production/ consumption share | % | 176.4 | 191.1 | 179.4 | 170.6 | 200.3 | 156.0 | | | |
| Vegetables and vegetable products (equivalent fresh vegetables) dried pulses and melons | kg | 162.6 | 181.7 | 164.1 | 176 | 168.2 | 174.4 | | | |
| Production per capita | kg | 167.6 | 191.8 | 144.7 | 177.6 | 181.7 | 180.3 | | | |
| Production/consumption share | % | 103.1 | 105.6 | 88.2 | 100.9 | 108.0 | 103.4 | | | |
| Animal products | | | | | | | | | | |

323

| | UM | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
|---|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Milk and dairy products in equivalent milk 3,5% fat (butter excluded) | liters | 239.2 | 246.6 | 252.8 | 254.7 | 233.2 | 224 |
| Production per capita | liters | 280.3 | 299.3 | 283.4 | 274.4 | 262.6 | 229.2 |
| Production/consumption share | % | 117.2 | 121.4 | 112.1 | 107.7 | 112.6 | 102.3 |
| Eggs | pieces | 284 | 277 | 268 | 267 | 243 | 239 |
| Production per capita | pieces | 338.1 | 344.2 | 302.8 | 311.2 | 289.3 | 289.2 |
| Production/ consumption share | % | 119.0 | 124.3 | 113.0 | 116.6 | 119.1 | 121.0 |
| Meat, meat products and edible offals (equivalent fresh meat) | kg | 68.3 | 69.9 | 66.7 | 66.6 | 67.5 | 60 |
| Production per capita | kg | 69.7 | 64.9 | 69.8 | 66.3 | 67.2 | 60.9 |
| Production/ consumption share | % | 102.0 | 92.8 | 104.6 | 99.5 | 99.6 | 101.5 |

Source: National Institute of Statistics

But we must not lose sight of the fact that much of this production does not reach the end consumer and repeatedly resorting to imports. Thus, food security remains an important issue especially given the high degree of development of self-consumption and lack of distribution channels that create failure in local production entering domestic market.

CONCLUSIONS

Food security seen through changes in consumption demonstrates a lowering of living standards achieved by reducing consumption in animal products and some plant products, and decrease of nutritional value of the daily ration. The potential to ensure food security from own resources exist, but it can be achieved only if the agro-food system can create real links between domestic production and population consumption.

REFERENCES

1. Romanian Statistical Yearbook 2011, National Institute of Statistics