Analele Universității din Oradea, Fascicula: Ecotoxicologie, Zootehnie și Tehnologii de Industrie Alimentară

# COW MILK QUALITY IN RUCĂR AREA

#### Timar A. \*

\*University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru St., 410048 Oradea; Romania, e-mail: <u>atimar@uoradea.ro</u>

#### Abstract

This study research the quality of fresh cow milk in order to optimised the producing daiery products. Althrough we try to find how parameters of milk are changed during studied period and if this parameters are significant variable. The final results will be integrated in an larger study toghether with the research results regarding the quality of sheep and buffalo milk during studied period. The study was conducted in 2011 during spring in Rucăr, Romania area.

Key words : cow milk, quality parameters, milk conductivity, lactose.

### INTRODUCTION

For evaluation the cow milk quality we study Organolepticall (taste, smel and color) and Physico – chemical parameters. Methods used for analysis are according with romanian standards and are quottation in latest studys. The device used was Lactostar from FunkeGerber company. The cow milk was colected from the farm of Duruianu Ionut. The milk was colected from two cows, Bălțată Românească breed. The milk was colected in the morning at first milking and the cows was in free stabulation on the hill pasture without fertilisation.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Taking samples: We use to take samples glass probes. From serface and upper layers samples was taken with cilindrical probes after homogenisation. Procedure was according to S.TA.S. 9535/1-74 and STA.S. 9535/2-74.

<u>1.Organoleptical analysis</u>: Was study colour, aspect, smell and taste of milk according with Georgescu Gh., 2005. If those parameters was out of normal range milk was considered out of standards and study of those samples was ended.

<u>2.Physical analysis</u> : We study follow parameters : fat percent, non faty dry matter, protein content, acidity, lactose ratio, freezing point, mineral content and milk conductivity.

We use the LactoStar device from Funke Gerber with following parameters :

| Table 1. Laciostal paralle | sters   |
|----------------------------|---|
| Disolving                  | Repetability  |
| 0,01 %                     | +0,02%  |
| 0,01 %                     | +0,03%  |
| 0,01 %                     | +0,03%  |
| 0,01 %                     | +0,04%  |
| - 0,001 °C                 | +0,02%  |
| 0,01 %                     | +0,02%  |
| 0,01 %                     | +0,02%  |
|                            | Disolving<br>0,01 %<br>0,01 %<br>0,01 %<br>0,01 %<br>- 0,001 °C<br>0,01 % |

Table 1. LactoStar parameters

3. Experimental Methodic

Samples was study according following schema :

 $V_1-11$  May;  $V_2-12$  May;  $V_3-13$  May ;  $V_4-14$  May,  $V_5-15$  May,  $V_6-16$  May,  $V_7-17$  May,  $V_8-18$  May,  $V_9-19$  May,  $V_{10}-20$  May,  $V_{11}-21$  May,  $V_{12}-22$  May.

4. Biological material

We study cow milk from two cow Bălțată Românească breed from Rucăr area, farm Duruianu Ionuț.

5. Statistics methodic

We use ANOVA statistic tests for data processing.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

1.Organoleptical analysis:

Colour, Aspect, Smell and Taste was according with standards and was no deviation from this point of wiew.

2.Physical analysis :

| Tuble 1. 1 ut percent, 70 |             |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|---------------------------|-------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| No.                       | Sample      | V1   | V2   | V3   | V4   | V5   | V6   | V7   | V8   | V9   | V10  | V11  | V12  |
| 1                         | Fat percent | 3,21 | 3,11 | 3,23 | 3,31 | 3,12 | 3,45 | 3,32 | 3,14 | 3,53 | 3,21 | 2,98 | 3,11 |

Table 1 Fat percent %

The fat percentage was in the normal range of cow milk. The minimum was 2,98 % as a consequence of the periode and because intensive milking during week.

Table 2. Non faty dry matter, %

|     |        |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | ,    |      |      |      |      |
|-----|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| No. | Sample | V1   | V2   | V3   | V4   | V5   | V6   | V7   | V8   | V9   | V10  | V11  | V12  |
| 1   | SNF,   | 9,69 | 8,91 | 9,23 | 9,38 | 9,64 | 9,42 | 9,15 | 9,57 | 9,47 | 9,34 | 9,61 | 9,28 |
|     | %      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

The SNF is at the higher rates because of the minimum production of milk. That reveal the high value of the milk colected in this periods and it will be valuable for milk evaluation for chees production.

| Table 3 | Protein  | content. | %  |
|---------|----------|----------|----|
| Table 5 | IIUUUIII | content. | /0 |

|     |          |      |      |      | 1 u U | 0 5.11 | otenn e | ontoni | , /0 |      |      |      |      |
|-----|----------|------|------|------|-------|--------|---------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| No. | Sample   | V1   | V2   | V3   | V4    | V5     | V6      | V7     | V8   | V9   | V10  | V11  | V12  |
| 1   | Protein  | 3,67 | 3,71 | 3,41 | 3,47  | 3,59   | 3,67    | 3,71   | 3,51 | 3,47 | 3,21 | 3,12 | 3,33 |
|     | content, |      |      |      |       |        |         |        |      |      |      |      |      |
|     | %        |      |      |      |       |        |         |        |      |      |      |      |      |

The protein content had the same evolution like fat content and is not affected by the period.

| Table 4. Acidity, T <sup>o</sup>                  |             |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|---|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| No. Sample V1 V2 V3 V4 V5 V6 V7 V8 V9 V10 V11 V12 |             |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 1   | Acidity, T° | 18 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 18 |

The milk was fresh, the acidity reveal that the milk was analized after milking and is suitable for cheese production.

Table 5. Lactose ratio, %

|     |         |      |      |      | 10   |      |      |      | / 0  |      |      |      |      |
|-----|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| No. | Sample  | V1   | V2   | V3   | V4   | V5   | V6   | V7   | V8   | V9   | V10  | V11  | V12  |
| 1   | Lactose | 5,33 | 5,21 | 5,61 | 5,32 | 5,12 | 5,34 | 5,41 | 5,27 | 5,29 | 5,28 | 5,17 | 5,24 |
|     | ratio,  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|     | %       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

High ratio of lactose are the consequence of the green feeds and the suplements in cow ratio.

Table 6. Freezing point, C<sup>o</sup>

| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$                        |   |     |        |    |    |    | 1 u | 010 0.1    | reezing | , point,   | U          |            |       |            |       |
|--|---|-----|--------|----|----|----|-----|------------|---------|------------|------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| point, 0,571 0,562 0,531 0,547 0,555 0,573 0,583 0,567 0,581 0,532 0,547 0,571 | ſ | No. | Sample | V1 | V2 | V3 | V4  | V5         | V6      | V7         | V8         | V9         | V10   | V11        | V12   |
|  |   | 1   | point, | _  | -  | -  | -   | -<br>0,555 | 0,573   | -<br>0,583 | -<br>0,567 | -<br>0,581 | 0,532 | -<br>0,547 | 0,571 |

Freezing point is normal and reveal that are no falsifications of the milk.

| Table 7. | Mineral | content  | %  |
|----------|---------|----------|----|
| rable /. | winciai | content, | /0 |

| N | b. Sample | V1   | V2   | V3   | V4   | V5   | V6   | V7   | V8   | V9   | V10  | V11  | V12  |
|---|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1 | Mineral   | 0,76 | 0,75 | 0,72 | 0,77 | 0,73 | 0,75 | 0,74 | 0,75 | 0,75 | 0,75 | 0,75 | 0,76 |
|   | content,  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|   | %         |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

Mineral content is very high at the maximum level for cow milk because the low production and feeding cows with fresh grass.

| No. | Sample        | V1    | V2    | V3    | V4    | V5    | V6    | V7    | V8    | V9    | V10   | V11   | V12   |
|-----|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1   | milk          | 29,56 | 29,54 | 29,54 | 29,56 | 29,51 | 29,55 | 29,56 | 29,56 | 29,54 | 29,54 | 29,56 | 29,56 |
|     | conductivity, |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|     | mS/cm         |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |

The milk conductivity reveal that was no exogen NaCl inside, the milk is authentic.

### CONCLUSIONS

For milk allocation in processing flow it is necessary to have a real image of his quality in real time.

For a better and quick feedback of the milk quality management we use the high-tech Lacto Star electronic milk analyzer for basic physical – chemical parameters. The device was connected to a printer and was also connected to a portable personal computer.

Because of high content in lactose and proteins the milk analyzed is the best row material for acid dairy products. That improves the organoleptical parameters like taste, flavor and viscosity.

The high content in proteins recommends the milk also for cheese production.

Milk freezing point is at levels that are normal and prove the milk good quality.

The milk conductivity reveal that was no exogen NaCl inside, the milk is authentic.

The analyzed milk had all parameters in normal range at the high levels, proper for all kind of dairy products.

There was no significant diferences in the values of analyzed parameters.

REFERENCES

1. American Public Health Association, 1992, "Standard methods for the examination of dairy products". R.T. Marshall PhD, Editor, 16<sup>th</sup> Edition,

2. Ardelean M., R Sestraş., M. Cordea., 2005, Tehnică experimentală horticolă, Edit. Academicpres, Cluj – Napoca,

3. Bani P., Sandrucci S. 2003, Il metodo biologico e la qualità del latte. Sc.Tecn.Lattiero-Casearia, 54, 267-286,

4. Banu, C., 2008, Tratat de industrie alimentară. Probleme generale, Ed. ASAB, București, 608 p

5. Bennedsgraard A.W., Thamsborg S.M., Vaarst M., Enevoldsen C., 2003, Eleven years of organic production in Denmark: herd health and production related to time of conversion and compared to conventional production. Livestock. Prod.. Sci., 80, 121-131,

6. Bishop, J. R. A simple shelf life estimation method as an integral part of a total dairy quality assurance program. *Dairy, Food Environ. Sanitation* 1989, *12*, 698-701.

7. Bishop, J. R.; White, C. H. Assessment of dairy product quality and potential shelf life a review. *J. Food Prot.* 1986, *49*, 739-753.

8. Bishop, J. R.; White, C. H.; Firstenberg-Eden, R. Rapid impedimetric method for determining the potential shelf life of pasteurized whole milk. *J. Food Prot.* 1984, 47, 471-475.

9. Bossuyt, R. A 5-minute ATP platform test for judging the bacterial quality of raw milk. *Neth. Milk Dairy J.* 1982, *36*, 355-364.

10. CZAPLICKA M., PUCHAJDA Z., IWAŃCZUK K., IWULSKI Z., 1993 – Wpływ stanu zdrowotnego wymienia na wydajność i skład mleka krów rasy CB i CB x HF. I Poszczególne laktacje (Effect of health status of the udder on yield and composition of milk in Black – and-White and Black-and- White x HF cows. I. Individual lactations). *Acta Acad. Agricult. Tech. Olst. Zootechnica*, 38, 27-38.

11. GEORGESCU Gh. and col., 2000, Laptele și produsele lactate. Ed. Ceres, București

12. Ghidini S., Zanardi E., Battaglia A., Pinotti M.A., Varisco G., Campanini G., Chizzolini

R., 2002 – Indagine sulla presenza di contaminanti chimici in latte e carne di produzione tradizionale e biologica. Ann.Fac.Med.Vet. Parma, 22, 87-97,

13. Hermansen J.E., 2003, Organic production systems and appropriate development in relation to public expectations. Livestock Prod.Sci., 80, 3/15,

14. KLINDTWORTH M., 1998 – Von der elektronischeu Tierkennzeichnung zum Gesundheitsmanagement. *Elektronik in der landtechnik, FAT – Schriftenreihe*, 59, 33-46.

15. Kouba M., 2003, Quality of organic animal products. Livestock Prod.Sci., 80, 33-40

16. Marsili, R. T. Comparison of solid-phase microextraction and dynamic headspace methods for the gas chromatographicmass pectrometric analysis of light-induced lipid oxidation products in milk. *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* 1999a, *37*, 17-22.

17. Marsili, R. T. SPME-MS-MVA as an electronic nose for the study of off-flavors in milk. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 1999b, *47*, 648-654.

18. Marsili, R. T.; Miller, N. Determination of the cause of offflavors in milk by dynamic headspace GC/MS and multivariate data analysis. In *Food Flavor Formation, Analysis, and Packaging Influences*;

19. MEIER W., 1998 – Elektronik, Lantechnik und "Precision forming". *Elektronik in ger Landtechnik, FAT – Schriftenreihe*, 59, 5-10.

20. Mussinan, C., Contis, E., Ho, C.- T., Parliament, T., Spanier, A., Shaidi, F., Eds.; Elsevier Science Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1998; pp 159-171.

21. Oprean, L., 2002, Analiza microbiologică a produselor alimentare, Ed. Univ. "Lucian Blaga", Sibiu, 182 p.

22. Patel, G. B.; Blankenagel, G. Bacterial counts of raw milk and flavour of the milk after pasteurization and storage. *J. Milk Food Technol.* 1972, *35*, 203-206.

23. Phillips, J. D.; Griffiths, M. W. Bioluminescence and impedimetric methods for assessing shelf life of pasteurized milk and cream. *Food Microbiol.* 1985, *2*, 39-51.

24. Toledo P., Andrèn A., Björck, 2002, Composition of raw milk from sustainable production systems. Int.Dairy J., 12, 75-80,

25. Urbach, G.; Milne, T. The concentration of volatiles in pasteurized milk as a function of storage time and storage temperatures possible indicator of keeping quality. *Aust.J. Dairy Technol.* 1988, *43*, 53-58.

26. Vallejo-Cordoba, B.; Nakai, S. Keeping-quality assessment of pasteurized milk by multivariate analysis of dynamic headspace gas chromatographic data. 1. Shelf life prediction by principal component regression. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 1994, *42*, 989-993.

27. Van Crombrugge, J.; Waes, G.; and Reybroeck W. ATP-F test for estimation of bacteriological quality of raw milk. *Milk Dairy J.* 1989, *43*, 347-354.

28. WĘGLARZY K., WAWRZYŃCZAK S., KACZOR A., BILIK K., BEREZA M., KRASZEWSKI J., 2008 – Proekologiczna technologia produkcji mleka wysokiej jakości na fermie o obsadzie 200 krów w cyklu zamkniętym (Pro-ecological technology of highquality milk production on the farm maintaining 200 cows in closed cycle). Published by the National Research Institute of Animal Production, Cracow, 5-30.,

29. WENDEL G., 1998, Elektronikeinsatz in der Rinderhaltung – von der Identifizierung bis zur Automatisierung. *Elektronik in der Landtechnik, FAT – Schriftenreihe*, 59, 101-112.