Analele UniversităŃii din Oradea

Fascicula: Ecotoxicologie, Zootehnie și Tehnologii de Industrie Alimentară, 2010

CHANGES IN WHEAT GRAIN DURING STORAGE AND EFFECTS OF STORAGE TEMPERATURE

RUSKA L.*, TIMAR A. V.*

*University of Oradea – Faculty of Environmental Protection University of Oradea – Faculty of Environmental Protection atimar@uoradea.ro

Abstract

There is one of the most important crop that provide food, fodders and industrial use, widely spread in cropping on all continents and also one of the older plant taken in culture - Wheat.

The plant has two types, winter and spring wheat. It is easily to be mechanized, in sowing, cropping and harvesting. The harvest is very stabile and can be stored in many ways without serious issues.

In the present study we chose eight cultivars of winter wheat common in Romania, Bihor county. There were Romanian cultivars taken in to study as the following: Dropia (D), Alex (A), Crişana (C), Ariesan (Ar), Apullum (Ap), Fundulea 4 (F4), Flamura 85 (F85), Dumbrava (Du).

The cropping of the studied wheat cultivars was done in 2008 in area of Oradea, Săcuieni and Bicaci. The harvesting was done in June due to climatic conditions.

The storage temperature was measured in order to see the correlation of storage parameters with temperature.

There were studied changes during eight months of storage for following parameters: Protein content, Gluten content, Starch content, Water content, Flour power, Mass of one thousand grains (MMB), Hectolitric mass (MH) and Mineral content.

At the end of the study the data were compared to emphasis the dynamic of changes and best storage program for each cultivar.

Key words: wheat, grain, storage, storage temperature, rheological properties..

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is one of the plant that have great importance as a food product, providing an important share of the carbohydrates and proteins needed by humans and animals.

It is responsible for more than half of the calories consumed by humans and animals. The forms of used of the wheat in human nutrition are highly diversified, but the most common is bread from the ancient times.

Wheat is the harvest that provide for consumers from nutritionally point of view the most economically option. Because of this yearly the wheat production in agricultural crops was increasing. The production increasing because of agrotechnik measure, extending the areas of cropping, increasing the yeld of cultivars and other factors.

The varieties of native Romanian autumn wheat have a share of about 70% of the area cultivated in Romania, representing about 1,5 million

hectares in farms and other important areas in small household that are not taken in to consideration.

In this way there is very important to assess the Romanian grains quality at the harvesting but more important in the storage time. Because of so important share in the cropping at the small and medium farms and also in households the storage assessment of the Romanian wheat cultivars become an important toll in optimization of the storage until use.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Winter wheats grown by private farmers, harvested in 2008 were used in the present study as following: Dropia (D), Alex (A), Crişana (C), Ariesan (Ar), Apullum (Ap), Fundulea 4 (F4), Flamura 85 (F85), Dumbrava (Du).

Those eight cultivars were grown at five sites, in Bihor county around Oradea, Sacuieni and Bicaci.

The fertilisation was done y 60-90-60 phosphorus, nitrogen and potassium during March, April and May. Management also included the use of fungicides, insecticides and herbicides during the growing season according with national regulation.

Sowing was carried out in October and November and wheat harvested during July the following year.

Grains were harvested and stored in warehouses after a drying at 13% moisture. The warehouses were chosen because it is the most common storage infrastructure in use in Romanian smal farms and households based on older infrastructure built in communist time.

There were carried out following measurements of quality parameters:

- Protein content,
- ➢ Gluten content,
- Starch content,
- ➢ Water content,
- \succ Flour power,
- Mineral content
- Mass of one thousand grains (MMB),

Hectolitric mass (MH)

The methods use for the parameters analysis were the following:

- Protein content, NIR by Agricheck from Bruins Instruments,
- Gluten content, NIR by Agricheck from Bruins Instruments,
- Starch content, NIR by Agricheck from Bruins Instruments,
- ➢ Water content, NIR by Agricheck from Bruins Instruments,
- Flour power, by Auerman method,
- Mineral content, by calcinations in a furnace from Nabtherm,

> Mass of one thousand grains (MMB), gravimetric by Atilon scale from Acculab,

Hectolitric mass (MH), electronic by Granomat from Pfeiffer.

During storage the temperature from the warehouses was recorded as monthly average.

The measurements were carried out in triplicate and were done at the beginning of the experience and at the end of every month of storage.

The recorded data were represented in a graphic form for a better correlation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The experience was started in 28th of June 2008 and was ended in 23 of January 2009 when the grains were used.

The following tables presented the variation of the storage parameters during storage.

Table 1. Temperature of storage environment

Month	Temperature average, °C										
Cultivar	D	А	С	Ar	Ар	F4	F85	Du			
0	21,64	20,72	22,14	19,46	21,52	20,65	21,84	22,44			
1	22,24	22,55	23,26	20,65	22,72	21,46	22,16	22,96			
2	22,54	23,72	23,46	21,12	22,84	23,52	22,12	22,96			
3	21,36	22,18	22,62	20,16	21,42	22,15	21,16	22,04			
4	19,65	20,02	20,14	18,44	19,52	20,15	20,14	20,00			
5	18,01	18,42	18,25	17,86	19,32	18,68	19,32	19,42			
6	16,00	15,72	15,86	16,04	15,50	15,85	16,44	17,14			
Table 2 Protein content of the stored grains during six months											

1 4010 1	2. 1 1000111	content of	i une store	a grain	is durin	15 SIA II.	onuno
		Pro	otein content, 9	6			

Month	Protein content, %										
Cultivar	D	А	С	Ar	Ар	F4	F85	Du			
0	12,10	12,90	13,60	11,80	11,24	11,45	11,82	11,91			
1	12,14	12,92	13,62	11,78	11,32	11,40	11,82	11,96			
2	12,12	12,96	13,58	11,72	11,40	11,28	11,88	11,90			
3	12,06	12,86	13,58	11,80	11,42	11,38	11,76	11,88			
4	12,26	12,94	13,72	11,84	11,14	11,42	11,90	11,82			
5	12,18	12,88	13,66	11,90	11,36	11,40	11,78	11,94			
6	12,12	12,94	13,60	11,82	11,20	11,38	11,88	11,92			

Table 3. Gluten content of the stored grains during six months

Month	Gluten content, %									
Cultivar	D	А	С	Ar	Ар	F4	F85	Du		
0	30,2	30,4	32,1	29,6	28,2	28,6	29,5	28,3		
1	30,1	30,3	32,2	29,8	28,0	28,8	29,4	28,5		
2	30,2	30,5	32,1	29,8	28,2	28,8	29,6	28,5		
3	30,3	30,6	32,4	29,8	28,4	28,8	29,7	28,4		
4	30,6	30,9	32,4	29,9	28,5	29,1	29,8	28,5		
5	30,9	31,4	33,4	30,1	29,4	29,3	30,0	28,9		
6	31,2	31,2	33,2	30,2	29,2	29,6	30,2	29,0		

TT 11 4	n 1		C	.1	. 1	•	1 .	•	.1
Table /	Ntarch	content	Δt	the	stored	araine	during	C1V	monthe
1 a n = 1	Staten	COMUCIL	UI.		SUDICU	grams	uuiiiiz	DIA.	monuis
						0		~~~~	

Month	Starch content, %									
Cultivar	D	D A C Ar Ap F4 F85								
0	61,80	60,12	58,19	59,12	58,00	58,22	59,11	60,36		
1	61,78	60,14	58,16	59,14	58,10	58,18	59,14	60,32		
2	61,82	60,08	58,21	59,18	58,04	58,16	59,10	60,35		
3	61,78	60,14	58,18	59,15	58,08	58,20	59,16	60,45		
4	61,76	60,02	58,08	59,10	58,00	58,15	59,14	60,34		
5	61,72	60,00	58,06	59,10	58,02	58,18	59,15	60,38		
6	61,74	60,10	58,04	59,08	58,00	58,16	59,00	60,30		

Table 5. Moisture of the stored grains during six months

Month				Moisture, %				
Cultivar	D	А	С	Ar	Ар	F4	F85	Du
0	14,6	14,8	14,4	14,5	14,7	14,6	14,3	14,0
1	14,4	14,6	14,2	14,2	14,4	14,1	13,9	13,7
2	14,0	14,1	13,9	13,8	14,1	13,9	13,7	13,6
3	13,8	13,7	13,6	13,5	13,7	13,6	13,3	13,4
4	13,8	13,6	13,5	13,4	13,7	13,5	13,3	13,3
5	13,8	13,7	13,6	13,5	13,9	13,6	13,4	13,3
6	13,9	13,8	13,7	13,6	13,9	13,8	13,6	13,3

T 11	1	T1		C (1		4 1	•	1	•	•	.1
Table	6.	Flour	power	of t	he	stored	grains	du	ring	S1X	months
	<u>.</u>		0000	· · ·			Breens	~ ~ ~		~	

Month		Flour power										
Cultivar	D	А	С	Ar	Ар	F4	F85	Du				
0	55	50	58	51	49	48	50	48				
1	54	52	57	53	50	47	50	49				
2	55	51	59	52	50	46	51	50				
3	56	50	58	53	48	48	52	49				
4	57	49	59	52	50	49	52	49				
5	55	51	58	50	50	48	52	50				
6	58	51	60	53	51	49	53	50				

Table 7. Mineral content of the stored grains during six month	Table 7. Mineral	content of the	stored grains	during six	months
--	------------------	----------------	---------------	------------	--------

Month	Mineral content, %									
Cultivar	D	A C Ar Ap F4 F85								
0	1,61	1,66	1,58	1,35	1,54	1,60	1,64	1,66		
1	1,60	1,64	1,59	1,36	1,54	1,58	1,64	1,64		
2	1,62	1,64	1,56	1,35	1,52	1,61	1,65	1,63		
3	1,61	1,65	1,57	1,37	1,53	1,62	1,65	1,65		
4	1,63	1,65	1,58	1,34	1,54	1,60	1,64	1,66		
5	1,62	1,66	1,58	1,34	1,56	1,59	1,62	1,67		
6	1,61	1,64	1,59	1,35	1,55	1,60	1,65	1,65		

Table 8	MMB	of the	stored	grains	during	six	months
1 4010 0.	1,11,17	or the	500100	Siamo	aarmg	0111	momm

Month	MMB, g									
Cultivar	D	А	С	Ar	Ар	F4	F85	Du		
0	48,21	49,07	50,11	47,21	44,68	43,58	42,87	42,29		
1	48,20	49,10	50,10	47,24	44,66	43,62	42,88	42,30		
2	48,22	49,09	50,12	47,20	44,68	43,59	42,88	42,32		
3	48,18	49,08	50,10	47,22	44,71	43,58	42,86	42,29		
4	48,20	49,12	50,11	47,24	44,69	43,57	42,84	42,28		
5	48,23	49,09	50,12	47,25	44,68	43,59	42,87	42,27		
6	48,24	49,09	50,10	47,24	44,68	43,60	42,86	42,24		

Table 9. MH of the stored grains during six months

Month	MH, kg									
Cultivar	D	А	С	Ar	Ар	F4	F85	Du		
0	75,90	76,36	80,10	76,11	74,77	74,45	73,17	72,89		
1	75,85	76,32	80,05	76,08	74,76	74,40	73,12	72,86		
2	75,80	76,30	80,02	76,02	74,72	74,36	73,07	72,86		
3	75,76	76,28	80,00	76,00	74,67	74,30	73,05	72,88		
4	75,78	76,30	80,04	76,04	74,65	74,34	73,08	72,88		
5	75,82	76,32	80,06	76,06	74,68	74,40	73,12	72,89		
6	75,90	76,36	80,10	76,11	74,77	74,45	73,17	72,89		







Fig. 1.1. Protein content, Gluten content, Starch content, Water content, Flour power, Mineral content, Mass of one thousand grains (MMB) evolution during storage

The values recorded shown normal decrease of the parameters during storage. There is a notice regarding a pic of values in the beginning and end of the storing according table 1.1. from this table we can emphases a direct correlation between chemical and physical parameters for the studied cultivars during storage. Temperature maximum values recorded were 23,72 °C and minimum were 15,72 °C, Protein content maximum values recorded were 13,66 % and minimum were 11,28 %, Gluten content maximum values recorded were 33,40 % and minimum were 28,00 %, Starch content maximum values recorded were 61,82 % and minimum were 58,00 %, Water content maximum values recorded were 14,80 % and minimum were 13,30 %, Flour power maximum values recorded were 60,00 and minimum were 56,00, Mineral content maximum values recorded were 1,66 % and minimum were 1,34 %, Mass of one thousand grains (MMB) maximum values recorded were 80,10 g and minimum were 72,86 g.

The most relevant values were related with chemical parameters gluten and mineral content that shown an interesting recovering during storage,

CONCLUSIONS

The storage of studied cultivars for six months confirm the previous researches about correlation between storage and quality properties of the wheat. The studied cultivars had the same attitude related with storage like others.

The only issue was related with duration, temperature of environment and critical parameters. In this way there were parameters that had an almost linear attitude like Starch content, Flour power, Mineral content, Mass of one thousand grains (MMB).

This was explained due to small values of differences and share of this differences related with share of the parameter in the whole grain.

Despite the values the most important was the trend. There were differences between cultivars and also there were differences related with the time of parameters assessment.

In this way it was shown that parameters related with cultivars storage after reaching the physically equilibrium from temperature, water content and mass of the grains recorded recovering of the decreased parameters and reach maturity level, that recommend them to the consumption after six months of storage.

The technological parameters increase the value of the final product and also there is a strong trend to improve the values after three or four months of storage.

The study is relevant for autumn and winter time when was conducted. Anyway there is certain moment when the consumption depleted the reserves, around January - February and the rest of the stored production due to low level in quantity is not relevant regarding to possible losses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The researches were carried out in the project: PN-II-ID-PCE-2008; 1103/2009 "Study of the relationships in the soil-water-plant-atmosphere system on the land affected successively by excess and deficit of moisture from North Western Romania regarding the improve of the yield quantity and quality".

REFERENCES

1. Abramson, D., W. E. Muir, D. S. Jayas, 1999. Multi-year monitoring for quality changes of grain stored in 550 tone capacity grain bins in western Canada. Proceedings of the 7th international working conference on stored-product protection: Vol. 2 (pp. 1301–1313). Chengdu, PR China: Sichuan Publishing House of Science and Technology,

2. Asseng S., A. Bar-Tal, J.W. Bowden, B.A. Keating, A. Van Herwaarden, J.A. Palta, N.I. Huth, M.E. Probert, 2002. Simulation of grain protein content in wheat. European Journal of Agronomy 16, 25–42;

3. Bailey J. E., 1974. Whole grain storage. In Storage of Cereal Grain and Their Products (C. M. Christiansen, ed), 53-78. St. Paul, Minnesota: American Association of Cereal Chemists,

4. Bason M.L., J.A. Ronalds, C.W. Wrigley, 1993. Prediction of safe storage life for sound and weather-damaged malting barley. Cereal Foods World 38, 361–363;

5. Colin Wrigley, Harold Corke, Charles Walker, 2005. Encyclopedia of grain science, Elsevier;

6. Dunkel F.V., 1992. The stored grain ecosystem: a global perspective. J. Stored Prod. Res. 28:73-87

7. Honseney RC , 1986, Principles of Cereal Science and Technology. American Association of Cereal Chemists Inc, St. Paul. Minnesota.

8. Jayas D.S., K. Alagusundaram, G. Shunmugam, W.E. Muir, N. D.G. White, 1994. Simulated temperatures of stored grain bulks. Canadian Agricultural Engineering, 36(4), 239–245

9. Kenneth J., P.E. Hellevang, 1998. Temporary Grain Storage, The Encyclopedia of Grain Science

10. Latif N., Lissik E., 1986. Respiration model for heat and moisture release during grain storage. American Society of Agricultural Engineers, Paper No. 86-6508

11. Matz S., 1970. Cereal Technology, Westport. C.T.. The avi Publishing Company Inc

12. PABI S., D. S. JAYAS, S. CENKOWSKI, 1998. Grain drying: Theory and practice. New York, NY: John Wiley, 303 p;

13. PROCTOR D.L., 1994. Grain storage techniques, Evolution and trends in developing countries, FAO;

14. Rehman ZU, M Yasin, WH Shah, AFM Ehtheshamuddin, 1995, Biochemical changes in rice during storage at three different temperatures. Pak J Sci Ind Res 38: 98–100.

15. SINHA R.N., W.E. MUIR, 1973a. Grain storage: Part of a system (Eds.), Westport, CT: AVI Pub. Co., Inc. (pp. 15–47);

16. WRIGLEY C.W., P.W. GRAS, M.L. BASON, 1994. Maintenance of grain quality during storage, prediction of the conditions and period of "safe" storage. In: HIGHLEY E., E.J. WRIGHT, H.J. BANKS, B.R. CHAMP, (Eds.), Proceedings of the Sixth International Working Conference on Stored-Product Protection, Vol. 2. Canberra, Australia, 17–23 April 1994, pp. 666–670;

17. ***, A.A.C.C. (American Association of Cereal Chemists), 2000. Approved methods. 10th ed. St. Paul, MN