

THE STUDY ON EFFECTS OF THE AROMATICS IN BLOOD CONCENTRATION IN LABORATORY ANIMALS

Bara Camelia*

*University of Oradea, Faculty of Environmental Protection, 26 Gen. Magheru, St., 410048 Oradea; Romania, e-mail: cameliabara@yahoo.com

Abstract

Aromatics substances may be absorbed by employees during exposure at the workplace. Alcoholic beverages may be consumed during occupational inhalation or after shift's end at times. Toluene, ethyl benzene, m-xylene, and mesitylene (1,3,5-methyl benzene) are widespread as solvents in industries and laboratories or in the manufacture and application of glues, paints, printing inks etc. Toxicokinetic interactions between the aromatics and ethanol must be assumed because of the common pathway of biotransformation. From the results information important for the assessment of occupational health risk are to be expected.

Key words: aromatics compounds, laboratory animals, blood.

INTRODUCTION

Toluene, ethyl benzene, m-xylene, and mesitylene (1,3,5-methyl benzene) are widespread as solvents in industries and laboratories or in the manufacture and application of glues, paints, printing inks etc. These aromatics may be absorbed by employees during exposure at the workplace. Alcoholic beverages may be consumed during occupational inhalation or after shift's end at times. Toxicokinetic interactions between the aromatics and ethanol must be assumed because of the common pathway of biotransformation. The blood levels of toluene and m-xylene after inhalation increased significantly in volunteers dosed simultaneously with ethanol. In this view the present experiments in rats should elucidate whether the blood concentrations of inhaled ethyl benzene and mesitylene (both structurally related to toluene and m-xylene) can rise under the influence of ethanol, and whether quantitative differences of this effect due to the structure of these aromatics can occur. From the results information important for the assessment of occupational health risk are to be expected.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Adult female rats, weighing 200-220 g, were housed under conditions as described previously. The following chemicals were used: ethanol, toluene and m-xylene.

Groups of 3 rats each-mixed randomly-were exposed in a 20 l glass chamber under dynamic conditions (air flow 1.25 l/min) for 2 h to various concentrations of the aromatics in air. Atmospheres containing the aromatics fluctuated no more than ± 5 percent and were delivered by means of a specially constructed device (evaporator). One of two corresponding animal groups received 20 mmol ethanol/kg b.w. in physiological saline (0.9 % NaCl, w/v) intraperitoneally before exposure. The other animals were sham-treated with the corresponding volume (5 ml/kg) of physiological saline. During the exposures food and water were withdrawn. Exposure concentrations during the inhalations were monitored repeatedly by GC analyses using air samples (100/ μ l) collected in gas-tight syringes. Blood (0.02 ml) was collected repeatedly for analysis from the retro-orbital plexus of the rats using pipettes. The blood concentrations of ethanol and aromatics were determined simultaneously by gas chromatography using a method described elsewhere. The following analytical conditions were changed: operating temperatures: oven, 85 °C; head space HS-6, 60 °C. The pipettes containing the blood samples were transferred into autosampler vials containing 0.5 ml aqueous NaCl (25 %, w/v) to enhance the volatility of the substances to be determined. Calibration curves were determined on every experimental day. The coefficients of variation indicating the reproducibility of the method were found to be maximally 8 % ($n = 6$ within the daily series). Aromatics containing air samples collected in syringes were transferred into empty autosampler vials and monitored using GC. The coefficients of variation for the air analysis were observed to be lower than 1 %.

The means \pm SEM were calculated from the corresponding individual values determined. The treatment groups were compared with controls using Student's t-test. A p value below 0.05 was considered as significant.

The 2 h exposure concentrations applied in the present study are realistic, because the threshold limit values amount to 100 ppm for toluene, ethyl benzene, and m-xylene referring to an 8-h shift, but no hygienic limit value is assigned to mesitylene. The applied ethanol produced in the rats blood concentrations (Table 1) which are comparable with those achieved in humans after alcohol intake (e.g. approximately 5 mmol/l = 0.023 %). Ethanol enhanced significantly the blood levels of inhaled toluene, ethyl benzene, or m-xylene (Table 1). The blood concentration of toluene appeared more augmented than that of xylene within the same design (220 ppm of the aromatics, 20 mmol ethanol/kg; Table 1). In spite of a lowered exposure concentration (180 ppm) the blood level of ethyl benzene rose markedly more than those of toluene or m-xylene (Table 1). After exposure to a low concentration (110 ppm) the blood level of mesitylene showed a

tendency to increase that was, however, not significant even when the animals had been exposed to as much as 580 ppm (Table 1). The cause of the enhanced blood concentrations of the aromatics observed all over is a displacement of the primary alcohols of toluene, ethyl benzene, m-xylene, and mesitylene – produced by the catalysis of hepatic microsomal oxygenases (first step of degradation) – from the liver alcohol dehydrogenase by ethanol because of a lower affinity to this enzyme system. It is to assume that the degradation pathway of mesitylene to 3,5-dimethylhippuric acid is less affected because ethanol may not be able to effectively displace the mesitylene metabolite 3,5-dimethylbenzyl alcohol from the alcohol dehydrogenase. Assuming that results from well designed animal experiments can help to assess the human health risk, it may be concluded from the present findings that alcohol intake is more critical during or after occupational exposure to ethyl benzene than to the other aromatics. In the case of co-exposure with alcohol mesitylene seems to be the least dangerous compared with the other aromatics. But toluene showed no advantage over m-xylene. A corresponding increase in central nervous disturbances (e.g. depression) may be expected in the occupational area after co-exposure to ethanol and the investigated aromatics.

Table 1

Blood concentrations (means \pm SEM from 3 rats per group) of toluene, ethyl benzene, m-xylene, mesitylene, or ethanol after 2-h inhalation of these aromatics and ip. Application of ethanol at the beginning of the exposure

Solvent	Exposure concentration (ppm)	Blood concentration	
		Solvent (10^{-6} mol/l)	Ethanol (10^{-3} mol/l)
Toluene	220 (a)	56.8 \pm 2.1	
	220 (b)	91.5 \pm 3.5 (+ 61 %) (c)	6.41 \pm 0.63
ethyl benzene	180 (a)	25.3 \pm 2.6	
	180 (b)	60.5 \pm 6.1 (+ 139%)(c)	3.81 \pm .48
m-xylene	220 (a)	67.6 \pm 7.8	
	220 (b)	92.7 \pm 5.1 (+ 37 %) (c)	5.10 \pm 1.00
mesitylene	110 (a)	10.5 \pm 2.0	
	110 (b)	11.3 \pm 0.3 (+ 8 %)	4.92 \pm 0.96
	580 (a)	113.8 \pm 25.8	
	580 (b)	137.0 \pm 9.3 (+ 20 %)	5.03 \pm 0.73

Co-administration before start of exposure: (a) physiological saline (5 ml/kg b.w.) ip. - (b) ethanol (20 mmol/kg b.w.) in physiological saline (final volume 5 ml/kg b.w.) ip.

Enhancement (in percent) in parenthesis. - (c) significant: p less than 0.05.

CONCLUSIONS

Assuming that results from well designed animal experiments can help to assess the human health risk, it may be concluded from the present findings that alcohol intake is more critical during or after occupational exposure to ethyl benzene than to the other aromatics. In the case of co-exposure with alcohol mesitylene seems to be the least dangerous compared with the other aromatics. But toluene showed no advantage over m-xylene. A corresponding increase in central nervous disturbances (e.g. depression) may be expected in the occupational area after co-exposure to ethanol and the investigated aromatics.

REFERENCES

1. Bolt H.M., Riemer K., Schaller K.H., 1994, Wechselwirkungen von Arbeitsstoffen untereinander und Beeinflussung durch Lifestyle-Faktoren, *Arbeitsmed. Sozialmed. Umweltmed.*, 29: 320-323.
2. Bond J.A., Medinsky M.A., 1995, Health risk assessment of chemical mixtures from a research perspective, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 83: 521-525.
3. Cotrau M., Proca M., 1988, *Analytical Toxicology*, Medical Publishing House, București, 149-183 (in Romanian).
4. Haddad S., Tardif R., Viau C., Krishnan K., 1999, A modeling approach to account for toxicokinetic interactions in the calculation of biological hazard index for chemical mixtures, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 108: 303-308.
5. Haddad S., Charest-Tardif G., Tardif R., Krishnan K., 2000, Validation of a physiological modeling framework for simulating the toxicokinetics of chemicals in mixtures, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 167: 199-209.
6. Haddad S., Beliveau M., Tardif R., Krishnan K., 2001, A PBPK modeling-based approach to account for interactions in the health risk assessment of chemical mixtures, *Toxicol. Sci.*, 63: 125-131.
7. Ikeda M., 1995, Exposure to complex mixtures: implications for biological monitoring, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 77: 85-91.
8. INRS, 1978, Essai de détermination d'une valeur limite calculée d'exposition, *Cahiers de notes documentaires*, 93: 550-552.
9. Krishnan K., Haddad S., Beliveau M., Tardif R., 2002, Physiological modeling and extrapolation of pharmacokinetic interactions from binary to more complex chemical mixtures, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 110: 989-994.
10. Medinsky M.A., Schlosser P.M., Bond J.A., 1994, Critical issues in benzene toxicity and metabolism: the effect of interactions with other organic chemicals on risk assessment, *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 102: 119-124.
11. Nedelcheva V., 1996, Effects of acetone on the capacity of o-xylene and toluene to induce several forms of cytochrome P450 in rat liver, *Cent. Eur. J. Public Health*, 4: 119-122.
12. Pathiratne A., Puyear R.L., Brammer J. D., 1986, A comparative study of the effects of benzene, toluene and xylenes on their in vitro metabolism and drugmetabolizing enzymes in rat liver, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 82: 272-80.
13. Purcell K.J., Cason G.H., Gargas M.L., Andersen M.E., Travis C.C., 1990, In vivo metabolic interactions of benzene and toluene, *Toxicol. Lett.*, 52: 141-152.
14. Rumiantsev G.I., 1975, The toxicity of chemical compounds administered on different pathways, *Gig. i. Sanit.*, 2: 25-30.
15. Schaller K.H., Triebig G., 1996, Arbeitsmedizinische Bewertung von organischen Lösungsmittelgemischen, *Arbeitsmed. Sozialmed. Umweltmed.*, 31: 504-512.

16. Sos J., Szelenyi I., 1974, Diets for animal experiments, Ed. Akad. Kiado, Budapest: 11-21.
17. Takeuchi A., Kawai T., Zhang ZW, Ikeda M., 2002, Toluene, xylenes and xylenes isomers in urine as biological indicators of low-level exposure to each solvent, *Int. Arch. Environ.Health*, 75: 387-393.
18. Tardif R., Charest-Tardif G., Brodeur J., 1996, Comparison of the influence of binary mixtures versus a ternary mixture of inhaled aromatic hydrocarbons on their blood kinetics in the rat, *Arch.Toxicol.*, 70: 405-413.
19. Tardif R., Plaa G.L., Brodeur J., 1992, Influence of various mixtures of inhaled toluene and xylene on the biological monitoring of exposure to these solvents in rats, *Can. J. Physiol. Pharmacol.*, 70: 385-393.
20. Zhao W., Misumi J., Yasui T., Aoki K., Kimura T., 1998, Effects of methyl ethyl ketone, acetone, or toluene coadministration on 2,5-hexanedione concentration in the sciatic nerve, serum and urine of rats, *Int. Arch. Occup. Environ.Health*, 71: 236-244.